

Inequalities for Mixed Schur Functions

R. B. Bapat

Indian Statistical Institute
7, S.J.S. Sansanwal Marg
New Delhi, 110016, India*

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

If $A^k = (a_{ij}^k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are $n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrices and if $\alpha \in S_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where S_n is the symmetric group of degree n , an inequality is obtained for the "mixed Schur function,"

$$\sum_{\alpha, \tau \in S_n} \alpha(\sigma) \overline{\alpha(\tau)} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha(j), \tau(j)}^n.$$

When the matrices A^k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are all equal, we get some known results due to Schur as consequences of the inequality. It is also deduced that the mixed discriminant of a set of positive semidefinite matrices exceeds or equals the geometric mean of their determinants.

1. INTRODUCTION

If A is a hermitian positive definite (positive semidefinite) matrix, we write $A > 0$ ($A \geq 0$). Also, $A \geq B$ means that $A \geq 0$, $B \geq 0$, and $A - B \geq 0$. The determinant and the permanent of the matrix A will be denoted by $|A|$ and $\text{per} A$, respectively. As usual, S_n denotes the symmetric group of degree n , and $\epsilon(\sigma) = 1$ or -1 according as $\sigma \in S_n$ is even or odd.

In this paper we prove some inequalities for "mixed" Schur functions (this concept will be made precise later). As an example, we state the following, which is a special case of a more general inequality which we prove.

*This work was done while the author was visiting California State University at Hayward.

THEOREM 1. Let $A^k = (a_{ij}^k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be $n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrices. Then

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}^i \geq \prod_{k=1}^n |A^k|^{1/n}, \quad (i)$$

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}^i \geq \prod_{k=1}^n |A^k|^{1/n}. \quad (ii)$$

The expression on the left-hand side of (ii) has been termed "mixed discriminant" in the literature [1, 8]. Analogously, the expression appearing in (i) may be thought of as the "mixed permanent" of the matrices A^1, \dots, A^n . When A^1, \dots, A^n are all equal to A , the inequality in (i) specializes to the well-known result of Schur that if $A \geq 0$, then $\text{per } A \geq |A|$.

We now introduce some notation. It will be convenient for us to assume throughout that the elements of S_n have been ordered in the following way: if $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$, then σ precedes τ if σ^{-1} precedes τ^{-1} in the lexicographic ordering, or equivalently, if the first nonzero difference $\sigma^{-1}(i) - \tau^{-1}(i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is negative. Thus, the elements of S_3 are ordered as follows:

123, 132, 213, 312, 231, 321

Let $A^k = (a_{ij}^k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be $n \times n$ matrices, and let $A^1 \times A^2 \times \dots \times A^n$ be their Kronecker product. Let $\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ be the $n! \times n!$ matrix defined as follows. Index the rows as well as the columns of $\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ by S_n . If $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$, then the (σ, τ) entry of $\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ is equal to

$$a_{\sigma(1)\tau(1)}^1 a_{\sigma(2)\tau(2)}^2 \cdots a_{\sigma(n)\tau(n)}^n.$$

It may be verified that $\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ is a principal submatrix of $A^1 \times A^2 \times \dots \times A^n$. The following result is immediate from this observation.

LEMMA 2. If A^1, \dots, A^n are hermitian positive semidefinite, then so is $\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n)$.

We now give an example. If A^1, A^2, A^3 are given by

$$A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\Pi(A^1, A^2, A^3) = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 18 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 9 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that since each A^i is a direct sum of a 1×1 matrix and a 2×2 matrix, $\Pi(A^1, A^2, A^3)$ turns out to be a direct sum of three 2×2 matrices due to the ordering of S_3 that we adopt. This observation is used in the proof of Theorem 6.

When $A^k = A$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$; the matrix $\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n) = \Pi(A, \dots, A)$ will be denoted simply by $\Pi(A)$, and this agrees with the notation first introduced by Soules [10]. The matrix $\Pi(A)$ has been denoted by \tilde{A} in [2].

In a very important paper, Schur [9] generalized the Hadamard determinant inequality in a substantial way. We now describe two results from that paper. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, let G be a subgroup of S_n , and let λ be a character of G . Define the function

$$d_G^\lambda(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

The following result from [9] is commonly known as Schur's inequality, and it has inspired a great amount of research (see, for example, [4], [5], [6], [7, Chapter VI]).

THEOREM 3. *Let $A \geq 0$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, let G be a subgroup of S_n , and let λ be a character of G . Then*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(\text{id})} d_G^\lambda(A) \geq |A|.$$

Schur derives Theorem 3 by first proving the following result and then making use of the fact that $[1/\lambda(\text{id})]d_G^\lambda(A)$ is in the field of values of $\Pi(A)$. In fact, if λ is the vector of order $n!$ whose σ th entry is $\lambda(\sigma)/\sqrt{|\sigma(G)|}$, then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(\text{id})} d_G^\lambda(A) = \lambda^* \Pi(A) \lambda.$$

THEOREM 4. *If $A \geq 0$, then $|A|$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\Pi(A)$.*

It is interesting to note that although Theorem 3 has received a good amount of attention, Theorem 4 has remained more or less unnoticed. This point was also made in [2]. In fact, in a 1983 paper, Soules [10] gives Theorem 4 as an open problem, along with the other (still unsolved) open conjecture that if $A \geq 0$, then $\text{per} A$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\Pi(A)$. The result in Theorem 4 has, however, been noted in some works of Marcus [4, 6]. In [4], Marcus gives an alternative proof of Theorem 4 using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. The purpose of the present paper is to show that when $A^k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the matrix $\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ dominates a certain diagonal matrix, whose diagonal entries are in terms of the principal minors of A^1, \dots, A^n (see Theorem 6). The result obtained is more general than Theorem 4.

The determinant and the permanent are two of the most extensively studied functions associated with a matrix. There are various generalizations of these two functions which appear in the literature. If λ is a character of S_n , the term "immanant" has been used by Littlewood [3] for the function $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}$. If G is a subgroup of S_n and if λ is a character of G , then the term "generalized matrix function" or "Schur function" has been used to denote the function

$$d_G^\lambda(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

It must be remarked that quite frequently, further restrictions are placed on the character while defining a generalized matrix function. The typical restrictions are that the character is irreducible or that it is of degree 1.

If α is an arbitrary function from S_n to the complex numbers, then we use the term "mixed Schur function" to denote

$$d^\alpha(A^1, \dots, A^n) = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \alpha(\sigma) \overline{\alpha(\tau)} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}^i.$$

The main inequality that we obtain for mixed Schur functions is stated in Theorem 7.

2. RESULTS

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, we denote by A_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the principal submatrix of A formed by deleting the first j rows and the first j columns of A . Also, we make the convention that $A_0 = A$ and that $|A_n| = 1$.

THEOREM 5. Let $A^k > 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be $n \times n$ matrices, and let $B^k > 0$ be obtained from A^k by replacing a_{11}^k with $|A^k|/|A_1^k|$ and by replacing all remaining entries in the first row and the first column of A^k with zeros, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n) \geq \Pi(B^1, \dots, B^n).$$

Proof. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let C^k be obtained from A^k by replacing a_{11}^k with $a_{11}^k - |A^k|/|A_1^k|$. It is easy to show (see, for example, [2, Theorem 1]) that $C^k \geq 0$. It follows from the definition that

$$\Pi(C^1, \dots, C^n) = \Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n) - \Pi(B^1, \dots, B^n)$$

and the proof is complete, since $\Pi(C^1, \dots, C^n) \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.

THEOREM 6. Let $A^k > 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be $n \times n$ matrices, and let $Z = (z_{ij})$ be the $n \times n$ matrix defined by

$$z_{ij} = \frac{|A_{i-1}^j|}{|A^j|}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore, let $D(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ be the diagonal matrix of order $n!$ with its σ th diagonal entry equal to $\prod_{i=1}^n z_{i\sigma(i)}$. Then

$$\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n) \geq D(A^1, \dots, A^n).$$

Proof. Using the notation and the conclusion of Theorem 5, we have

$$\Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n) \geq \Pi(B^1, \dots, B^n).$$

In view of the ordering of S_n that we employ, it can be seen that

$$\Pi(B^1, \dots, B^n) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \frac{|A^k|}{|A_1^k|} \Pi(A_1^k, A_1^k, \dots, A_1^{k-1}, A_1^{k+1}, \dots, A_1^n),$$

where \oplus denotes direct sum. Now the result follows by induction.

THEOREM 7. Let $A^i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be $n \times n$ matrices, and let $\alpha: S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \alpha(\sigma) \overline{\alpha(\tau)} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}^i \geq \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} |\alpha_\sigma|^2 \prod_{i=1}^n z_{i\sigma(i)}, \quad (i)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \alpha(\sigma) \overline{\alpha(\tau)} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}^i \geq \text{per } Z \geq n! \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |A^k| \right)^{1/n}, \quad (ii)$$

if $|\alpha(\sigma)| = 1$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Proof. Let α be the vector of order $n!$ whose σ th entry is $\alpha(\sigma)$. Then, by Theorem 6,

$$\alpha^* \Pi(A^1, \dots, A^n) \alpha \geq \alpha^* D(A^1, \dots, A^n) \alpha,$$

and that is (i).

The first inequality in (ii) follows immediately from (i), whereas the second inequality follows by an application of the arithmetic-mean-geometric-mean inequality, since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } Z &\geq n! \left(\prod_{i=1}^n z_{i\sigma(i)} \right)^{1/n!} \\ &= n! \left(\prod_{i,j=1}^n z_{ij} \right)^{1/n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i,j=1}^n z_{ij} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^n z_{ij} \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n |A^i|. \end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 1 is clearly a simple consequence of Theorem 7. Setting $A^i = A$ and $A^2 = \dots = A^n = B$ in Theorem 1, we get the following.

COROLLARY 8. Let $A \geq 0$, $B \geq 0$ be $n \times n$ matrices. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \operatorname{per} B(i, j) \geq n|A|^{1/n}|B|^{1-1/n}, \quad (\text{i})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} |B(i, j)| \geq n|A|^{1/n}|B|^{1-1/n}, \quad (\text{ii})$$

where $B(i, j)$ is the submatrix obtained by deleting the i th row and the j th column of B .

It is a pleasure to thank Professor Russ Merris for taking a careful look at the first draft of the paper and for his hospitality during my stay in Hayward.

REFERENCES

1. A. D. Alexandrov, On the theory of mixed volumes of convex bodies (in Russian), *Mat. Sb.* 3(45):227–251 (1938).
2. R. B. Bapat and V. S. Sunder, An extremal property of the permanent and the determinant, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 76:153–163 (1986).
3. D. E. Littlewood, *The Theory of Group Characters*, Oxford U.P., London, 1940.
4. M. Marcus, On two classical results of I. Schur, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 70:685–688 (1964).
5. M. Marcus and G. W. Soules, Some inequalities for combinatorial matrix functions, *J. Combin. Theory* 2(2):145–163 (1967).
6. M. Marcus, Inequalities for matrix functions of combinatorial interest, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 17(6):1023–1031 (1969).
7. R. Merris, *Multilinear Algebra*, Monograph Ser., Inst. for the Interdisciplinary Applications of Algebra and Combinatorics, Univ. of California, Santa Barbara, 1975.
8. H. Minc, Theory of permanents 1978–1981, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 12:227–263 (1983).
9. I. Schur, Über endliche Gruppen und Hermitesche Formen, *Math Z.* 1:184–207 (1918).
10. G. W. Soules, Constructing symmetric nonnegative matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 13:241–251 (1983).

Received 14 June 1985; revised 13 November 1985