

A NOTE ON THE SPECIAL UNITARY GROUP OF A DIVISION ALGEBRA

B. A. SETHURAMAN AND B. SURY

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. If D is a division algebra with its center a number field K and with an involution of the second kind, it is unknown if the group $SU(1, D)/[U(1, d), U(1, D)]$ is trivial. We show that, by contrast, if K is a function field in one variable over a number field, and if D is an algebra with center K and with an involution of the second kind, the group $SU(1, D)/[U(1, d), U(1, D)]$ can be infinite in general. We give an infinite class of examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a number field, and let D be a division algebra with center K , with an involution of the second kind, τ . Let $U(1, D)$ be the unitary group of D , that is, the set of elements in D^* such that $d\tau(d) = 1$. Let $SU(1, D)$ be the special unitary group, that is, the set of elements of $U(1, D)$ with reduced norm 1. An old theorem of Wang [7] shows that for any central division algebra over a number field, $SL(1, D)$ is the commutator subgroup of D^* . It is an open question (see [4] p. 536) whether the group $SU(1, D)$ equals the group $[U(1, D), U(1, D)]$ generated by unitary commutators.

We show in this note that, by contrast, if K is a function field in one variable over a number field, and if D is an algebra with center K and with an involution of the second kind, the group $SU(1, D)$ modulo $[U(1, D), U(1, D)]$ can be infinite in general. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, and let ζ be a primitive n -th root of one. Then, there exists a division algebra D of index n with center $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)(x)$ which has an involution of the second kind such that the corresponding group $SU(1, D)/[U(1, D), U(1, D)]$ is infinite.*

Our algebra will be the symbol algebra $D = (a, x; \zeta, K, n)$ where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)(x)$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ is such that $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)(\sqrt[n]{a}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)] = n$. This is the K -algebra generated by two symbols r and s subject to the relations $r^n = a$, $s^n = x$, and $sr = \zeta rs$. If we write L for the K subalgebra of D generated by r , it is clear that L is just the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt[n]{a})(x)$. The Galois group L/K is generated by σ that sends r to ζr : note that conjugation of L by s has the same effect as σ on L . An easy computation

shows that x^n is the smallest power of x that is a norm from L to K , so standard results from cyclic algebras ([3] Chap. 15.1] for instance) show that D is indeed a division algebra. It is well known that D has a valuation on it that extends the x -adic valuation on K . This valuation will be crucial in proving our theorem.

2. THE VALUATION ON D

We recall here how the x -adic valuation is defined on D . Recall first how the x -adic (discrete) valuation is defined on any function field $E(x)$ over a field E : it is defined on polynomials $f = \sum_i a_i x^i$ ($a_i \in E$) by $v(f) = \min\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}$, and on quotients of polynomials f/g by $v(f/g) = v(f) - v(g)$. The value group Γ_L is \mathbb{Z} , while the residue \bar{L} is E . This definition gives valuations on all three fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})(x)$, K , and L , all of which we will refer to as v . These fields have residues (respectively) $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt[n]{a})$ with respect to v . It is standard that the valuation v on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})(x)$ extends uniquely to K , a fact that will be crucial to us.

With v as above, we define a function, also denoted v , from D^* to $(1/n)\mathbb{Z}$ as follows: first, note that each d in D^* can be uniquely written as $d = l_0 + l_1 s + \cdots + l_{n-1} s^{n-1}$, for $l_i \in L$. (We will call each expression of the form $l_i s^i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, a *monomial*.) Define $v(s) = 1/n$, and $v(l_i s^i)$ as $v(l_i) + i v(s)$. Note that the n values $v(l_i s^i)$; $0 \leq i < n$ are all distinct, since they lie in different cosets of \mathbb{Z} in $(1/n)\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, exactly one of these n monomials has the least value among them, and we define $v(d)$ to be the value of this monomial. It is easy to check that v indeed gives a valuation on D . We find $\Gamma_D = (1/n)\mathbb{Z}$, so $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_K = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Also, the residue \bar{D} contains the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt[n]{a})$. The fundamental inequality ([5] p. 21)] $[D : K] \geq [\Gamma_D/\Gamma_K][\bar{D} : \bar{K}]$ shows that $\bar{D} = \bar{L} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt[n]{a})$.

Note that since D is valued, the valuation v (restricted to K) extends uniquely from K to D ([6]).

3. COMPUTATION OF $SU(1, D)$ AND $[U(1, D), U(1, D)]$

Write k for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})(x)$, and τ for the nontrivial automorphism of K/k that sends ζ to ζ^{-1} . Note that since a and x belong to the field k , we may define an involution on D that extends the automorphism of K/k by the rule $\tau(fr^i s^j) = \tau(f)\zeta^{ij} r^i s^j$ for any $f \in K$ (so $\tau(r) = r$, $\tau(s) = s$; see [2] Lemma 7].)

Proof of the theorem. Let d be in $U(1, D)$, so $d\tau(d) = 1$. Since v and $v \circ \tau$ are two valuations on D that coincide on k , and since v extends uniquely from k to K , and then uniquely from K to D , we must have $v \circ \tau = v$. Thus, we find $2v(d) = 0$, that is, d must be a unit. Then, for any d and e in $U(1, D)$, we take residues to find $\overline{ded^{-1}e^{-1}} = \overline{d\bar{e}\bar{d}^{-1}\bar{e}^{-1}}$. However, $\bar{D} = \bar{L} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)(\sqrt[n]{a})$ is commutative, so \bar{d} and \bar{e} commute, so $\overline{ded^{-1}e^{-1}} = 1$.

Note that we have a natural inclusion of \bar{L} in the v -units of L ; we identify \bar{L} with its image in L . Under this identification, for any $l \in \bar{L} \subseteq L$, $\bar{l} = l$. Since the commutator of two elements in $U(1, D)$ has residue 1, it suffices to find infinitely many elements in $SU(1, D) \cap \bar{L}$ to show that $SU(1, D)$ modulo $[U(1, D), U(1, D)]$ is infinite.

Write L_1 and L_2 (respectively) for the subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})(r)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ of \bar{L} ; note that L_2 is the residue field of K . Then the involution τ on D acts as the nontrivial automorphism of \bar{L}/L_1 , so for any $l \in \bar{L}$, $l\tau(l)$ is the norm map from \bar{L}

to L_1 . The automorphism σ of L/K restricts to an automorphism (also denoted by σ) of \bar{L}/L_2 , and it is standard that the reduced norm of l viewed as an element of D is just the norm of l from L to K ([3] Chap. 16.2] for instance), and hence the norm of l from \bar{L} to L_2 . We thus need to find infinitely many $l \in \bar{L}$ such that $N_{\bar{L}/L_1}(l) = N_{\bar{L}/L_2}(l) = 1$.

Now, the set $S_1 = \{l \in \bar{L} : N_{\bar{L}/L_1}(l) = 1\}$ is indexed by the L_1 points of the torus $T_1 = R_{\bar{L}/L_1}^{(1)} \mathbf{G}_m$ (see [4], §2.1). Similarly, the set $S_2 = \{l \in \bar{L} : N_{\bar{L}/L_2}(l) = 1\}$ is indexed by the L_2 points of the torus $T_2 = R_{\bar{L}/L_2}^{(1)} \mathbf{G}_m$. To show that $S_1 \cap S_2$ is infinite, we switch to a common field by noting that the groups $T_1(L_1)$ and $T_2(L_2)$ are just the k_0 points of the groups $(R_{L_1/k_0} T_1)$ and $(R_{L_2/k_0} T_2)$ respectively, where $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$. Thus, it suffices to check that $(R_{L_1/k_0} T_1 \cap R_{L_2/k_0} T_2)(k_0)$ is infinite, and for this, it is sufficient to check that $(R_{L_1/k_0} T_1 \cap R_{L_2/k_0} T_2)^0(k_0)$ is infinite. As both $R_{L_1/k_0} T_1$ and $R_{L_2/k_0} T_2$ are k_0 -tori, the connected component $(R_{L_1/k_0} T_1 \cap R_{L_2/k_0} T_2)^0$ is a k_0 -torus as well, since it is a connected commutative group defined over k_0 consisting of semisimple elements. So, its k_0 points are Zariski dense in its $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ points by a theorem of Grothendieck (see p. 120 of [1]). Hence, it suffices to check that there are infinitely many $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ points in $(R_{L_1/k_0} T_1 \cap R_{L_2/k_0} T_2)^0$. But for this, it clearly suffices to check that there are infinitely many $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ points in $(R_{L_1/k_0} T_1 \cap R_{L_2/k_0} T_2)$.

Write any $l \in \bar{L}$ as $l = X + (\zeta - \zeta^{-1})Y$ where $X, Y \in L_1$. Then, $X = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i r^i$ and $Y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i r^i$ where $x_i, y_i \in k_0$. Consider the equations $N_{\bar{L}/L_1}(l) = 1$ and $N_{\bar{L}/L_2}(l) = 1$. Rewrite these in terms of powers of r , invoking the actions of σ and τ and using the fact that $r^n = a$. The first equation now involves the $2n$ variables x_i, y_i and has coefficients in L_1 . Equating the coefficients of r^i ($i = 0, \dots, n - 1$) on both sides, we get n equations in the variables x_i, y_i with coefficients in k_0 . Similarly, the second equation involves the variables x_i, y_i and has coefficients in L_2 . Using the fact that $(\zeta - \zeta^{-1})^2 \in k_0$ and equating the coefficients of 1 and $\zeta - \zeta^{-1}$ on both sides, we get two equations in the variables x_i, y_i with coefficients in k_0 . As $n \geq 3$, we have $n + 2 < 2n$, and these equations have infinitely many common solutions over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. This proves the theorem. \square

4. CONCRETE ILLUSTRATION FOR $n = 3$

We illustrate the theorem for $n = 3$ by concretely constructing infinitely many elements in $SU(1, D)/[U(1, D), U(1, D)]$. We take $a = 2$ for simplicity. Write $l = a + b\sqrt{-3}$, where a and b are in L_1 . Then $N_{\bar{L}/L_1}(l) = a^2 + 3b^2 = 1$ has a parametrized set of solutions $a = \frac{s^2 - 3}{s^2 + 3}$, $b = \frac{2s}{s^2 + 3}$, for $s \in L_1$. Write $s = t_0 + t_1 r + t_2 r^2$ for $t_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and substitute in a and b above. Then compute $N_{\bar{L}/L_2}(l)$, noting that $\sigma(s) = (t_0 + \omega t_1 r + \omega t_2 r^2)$. We solve for the t_i so that $N_{\bar{L}/L_2}(l) = 1$. We claim that if we take $t_0 = 1$ and $t_1 = 0$, then for arbitrary $t_2 = t$, $N_{\bar{L}/L_2}(l) = 1$. Indeed, $l = u/v$, where

$$\begin{aligned} u &= 2\omega + t^2 r - 2t\omega^2 r^2, \\ v &= 2 + t^2 r + t r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, an easy computation, using $r^3 = 2$, shows that

$$N_{\bar{L}/L_2}(u) = (2\omega + t^2 r - 2t\omega^2 r^2)(2\omega + t^2 \omega r - 2t\omega r^2)(2\omega + t^2 \omega^2 r - 2t r^2) = -8t^3 + 2t^6.$$

Similarly,

$$N_{L/L_2}(v) = (2 + t^2r + tr^2)(2 + t^2\omega r + t\omega^2r^2)(2 + t^2\omega^2r + t\omega r^2) = -8t^3 + 2t^6.$$

Thus, we have an infinite set of solutions and we are done. (Actually, the parametric solution above was first obtained using MathematicaTM. The program gives other parametric solutions as well, for instance, $t_0 = 0, t_1 = -\frac{1}{2t_2}$.)

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 1991. MR1102012 (92d:20001)
- [2] Patrick J. Morandi and B.A. Sethuraman, *Noncrossed product division algebras with a Baer ordering*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **123** 1995, 1995–2003. MR1246532 (95i:16019)
- [3] Richard S. Pierce, *Associative Algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 88, Springer-Verlag, 1982. MR0674652 (84c:16001)
- [4] V.P. Platonov and A.S. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994. MR1278263 (95b:11039)
- [5] O.F.G. Schilling, *The Theory of Valuations*, Math Surveys, No. 4., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1950. MF0043776 (13:315b)
- [6] Adrian R. Wadsworth, *Extending valuations to finite dimensional division algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **98** 1986, 20–22. MR0848866 (87i:16025)
- [7] S.Wang, *On the commutator group of a simple algebra*, Amer. J. Math., **72** 1950, 323–334. MR0034380 (11:577d)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY NORTHRIDGE, NORTHRIDGE, CALIFORNIA 91330

E-mail address: al.sethuraman@csun.edu

URL: <http://www.csun.edu/~asethura/>

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 8TH MILE MYSORE ROAD, BANGALORE 560 059, INDIA

E-mail address: sury@isibang.ac.in