ON A SIMPLIFIED METHOD OF EXPRESSING THE COMPONENTS OF THE SECOND ORDER INTERACTION IN A 3' FACTORIAL DESIGN

K. KISHEN

Statistical Laboratory, Calcutta.

In a 3×3×3 factorial design, it is well-known that the eight degrees of freedom for the second order interaction are given by the four contrasts, each yielding two degrees of freedom and being between the three groups of treatments in each of the following four cyclically generated sets:

TABLE 1. THE FOUR CYCLICALLY GENERATED SETS.

	I			11			111			ıv	
1	2	3	1	8	2	1	2	3	1	8	2
2	8	1	8	2	1	a	1	2	2	1	3
8	1	2	2	1	8	2	8	1	8	9	1
2	3	1	2	1	3	2	3	1	2	1	8
8	1	2	1	8	2	ı	2	3	8	2	1
١	2	3	8	2	1	8	1	2	1	8	2
3	1	2	3	2	1	8	1	2	8	2	1
1	2	8	2	1	â	2	8	1	1	3	2
2	8	1	1	8	2	ı	2	8	2	ı	8

Of the other infinite number of ways of partitioning the degrees of freedom for the second order interaction, the one of most significance in fertilizer trials is that of splitting these up into unitary elements corresponding to the interaction of the three linear responses, etc., obtained when the two degrees of freedom for each of the three main reflects have been split up into two single degrees of freedom, corresponding to the linear tesponse and the curvature of the response curve. These eight components are, therefore, N, K, P, N, P, N, K, P, N, N, K, P, N, P, N, K, P, N, N, P, N, P, N, N, P, N

Let the treatments in the design be all combinations of three levels of nitrogen, three of potash and three of superphosphate. Fisher' has given the suitable compound for the linear component N, K, P₁₁ but has not given them for the remaining seven, nor has be given a general method of obtaining them. It is the purpose of the present note to formulate a general rule for obtaining the requisite expressions in the various cases and giving these in each case.

Considering any one of the components, say N, K, P, we have

$$N_2$$
 K_4 $P_3 = (n_3 - 2n_2 + n_1)$ $(k_3 - 2k_2 + k_1)$ $(p_3 - 2p_3 + p_1)$.

The expressions for the quadratic responses within each bracket on the right-hand side should next be broken up into the difference between the excess of the third level over the second and that of the second level over the first. We then, have, on expansion.

$$\begin{split} N_1 \ N_2 \ P_4 &= \left\{ (n_1 - n_2) - (n_2 - n_1) \right\} \left\{ (k_1 - k_2) - (k_2 - k_1) \right\} \left\{ (p_1 - p_2) - (p_2 - p_1) \right\} \\ &= (n_2 - n_1) \left(k_1 - k_2 \right) \left(p_2 - p_1 \right) - (n_1 - n_2) \left(k_1 - k_1 \right) \left(p_2 - p_1 \right) \\ &- (n_3 - n_2) \left(k_2 - k_1 \right) \left(p_2 - p_2 \right) + (n_3 - n_1) \left(k_1 - k_1 \right) \left(p_2 - p_1 \right) \\ &- (n_2 - n_1) \left(k_2 - k_2 \right) \left(p_2 - p_2 \right) - \left(n_1 - n_1 \right) \left(k_1 - k_2 \right) \left(p_2 - p_1 \right) \\ &+ (n_3 - n_1) \left(k_2 - k_2 \right) \left(p_2 - p_2 \right) - (n_3 - n_1) \left(k_2 - k_2 \right) \left(p_2 - p_2 \right). \end{split}$$

Now, each of these expressions or any other with eight treatment combinations obtained in this manner, four of which are with a positive and the other four with a negative sign, can be expressed as a compound of eight of the Latin Squares suitably chosen from the aggregate of twelve, two being taken from each cyclic set. The rule is straightforward and general. Mark out on the 3×3 Latin Square (see Fig. 1) of which her rows represent the three levels of nitrogen and columns the three levels of potash, the four points which give the four combinations of nitrogen and potash of the given expression. Then select out those Latin Squares from the aggregate of twelve of which the two levels of superphosphate in the given expression constitute either of the two cross-joins of the above four points. For instance, let us obtain the required compound for

The four points have been marked in Fig. 1 by crosses:

FIG. 1.

Then the following eight squares have the numbers 2 or 3 (levels of superphosphate in the expression) at the two cross-joins:

TARLE 2. THE EIGHT SQUARES.

_				_		_		_			_
2	8	1	8	1	2	2	1	8	3	2	1
8	1	2	ı	2	8	ı	8	2	2	ı	8
1	2	3	2	8	1	8	2	1	1	8	2
_		_	_	_	_	⊢	_		_		
2	3	1	8	ı	2	2	1	3	8	2	1
1	2	8	2	8	1	8	2	1	ı	8	2
3	1	2	ı	2	3	ı	3	2	2	1	8
_									L_		

SECOND ORDER INTERACTION IN A FACTORIAL DESIGN

Knowing the signs of the eight treatment combinations in the above expression, we immediately obtain, by comparing coefficients of the treatments, the required signs of the Latin Squares in order as +-+--+.

Each of the 8 treatment combinations is seen to be repeated thrice and the treatment combinations other than these eight get cancelled out. Hence we have

	$3(n_3-n_3)(k_3-k_2)(p_3-p_3)$													
	2	3	1	3	1	2		2	ı	3		3	2	1
=	3	1	2 -	1	2	3	+	1	3	2	-	2	1	3
	1	2	3	2	3	- 1		3	2	ı		l i	3	2
	2	۳3	1 3 2 +	3	1	2		2	1	3		3	2	11
-	t	2	3 +	2	3	-t	_	3	2	1	+	1	3	2
	3	1	2	l i	2	3		1	3	2		2	- 1	3

Similarly for others; and, finally, the required expression for N₁K₁P₁ may be obtained by taking the algebraic sum of the appropriate compounds for all the eight expressions above

Adopting this procedure all through, we finally obtain the following:

Ador	ting th	ıs pr	ocedure all ti	iroug	th, we	finally -	obtain	the fol	lowing	:		
i. 3	N, K, I	٠,										
			3 2	3	t į	3	1	2	1	3	2	
= -	_ 2	3	1 - 3	1	2	+2 1	2	3 +	2 3	3 2 1	1	
	- 1 2 3	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	3 1 2	3	+2 3 1 2	3	2 3 1	2	1	1 3	
										3		
	. 1	•	2 2	1	3	فلد	1	2 _	2 1	2	3	
	- 2 1 3	2	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$	2 1 3	2	+ 3 2	3	3 -	3	3 2 1	1 3 2	
	3	1	2 1	3	2	2		3	3	2	,	
4	. 3 2 1	3	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	1	3	- 2 3 1	2	3 1 2	1	2 3 1	2	
	1	2	3 13	2	1	1	3	2	2	ı	1 2 3	
ii. 3	N, K,	Ρ,										
			3 2	3	1.1	3	ı	2)	1	3	2	
_	. 2	3	1 + 3		2	-2 1	2	3 +	2 2	3	1	
	1 2 3	1	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$	2	3	$-2\begin{vmatrix} 3\\1\\2\end{vmatrix}$	3	3 +	3	3	1 2	
										3	1.1	
	. 2 1 3	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	-	:	1 3 2	ĩ	3 +		3	3 2	
-	٠ ١	3	2 - 2		3	3	1	2 +	١,		3	
				3								
	3	1	2 1	3	2	$-2\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3\\1\end{vmatrix}$	1	3 1 2	3	2 3 1	- 1	
_	. 2	3	1 + 2	1 2	3	-2 3	2	1 +	ı	3	2	
	. 3	1 3 2	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	2	- 1	1	3	2	2	ı	2 3	
	N, K, P		-									
			3 1 1 2	3	1.1	1.2	1	3	3	2	1.1	
	;	-	1 1 3	ĭ	2	آ ا	3	2 -	2	1	3	
= -	- 1 2 3	,	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$	3 1 2	3	+ 2 1 3	2	3 -	1	2 1 3	3 2	
	•											
	. 3	2 1 3	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$	1	2	+ 1 2 3		3 -	13	2	2 3	
-	- 3	ι	2 + 2	3	1	+ 2	1	3 -	1,	3	2	
	2	3	1 1	2	3	3	2	1	2	1	3	

VOL. 4]	SANKI	IVA: THE	IND	IAN JOURN	AL O	F STATISTIC	s	[PART 4
iv. 3 N	, K, P,							
	1 2 2 3 3 1	3 + 2 1 3 2 1	3 1 2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	1 2 3	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\3\\1 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix}$	3 2 !	2 1 3
-	2 l l 3 3 2	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$	2 1 3	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix}$	2 1 3	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	3 2 1	3 2
+	3 I 2 3 I 2	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3 1 2	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3\\1 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} 2\\3\\1 \end{vmatrix}$	1 2 3	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	2 3 1	2 3
v. N, I	C, P,							
						$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$		
+	1 2 3 1 2 3	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$	1 3 2	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$	3 1 2	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3\\1 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 3\\1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	2 3 1	1 2 3
ví. N _z								
						$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$		
-	1 2 3 1 2 3	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$	1 3 2	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	3 1 2	2 3 1 + 3 1 2	2 3 1	1 2 3
vii. N								
						$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3\\1 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1\\3\\2 \end{vmatrix}$		
						$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$		
+	3 1 2 3 1 2	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	3 1 2	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3\\1 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} 2\\3\\1 \end{vmatrix}$	1 2 3	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	2 3 1	2 3

REFERENCE

1. FISHER, R. A.: The Design of Experiments. Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd, 2ud Edition, 1937.