

# PASSAGE TIME MOMENTS FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL DIFFUSIONS

S. BALAJI\* AND

S. RAMASUBRAMANIAN,\*\* \* Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore

## Abstract

Let  $\tau_r$  denote the hitting time of  $B(0 : r)$  for a multidimensional diffusion process. We give verifiable criteria for finiteness/infiniteness of  $E_x(\tau_r^p)$ . As an application we exhibit classes of diffusion processes which are recurrent but  $E_x(\tau_r^p)$  is infinite  $\forall p > 0, |x| > r > 0$ ; this includes the two-dimensional Brownian motion and the reflecting Brownian motion in a wedge with a certain parameter  $\alpha = 0$ .

*Keywords:* Hitting time; recurrent diffusions; generator; diffusion coefficients; sub/super-martingales; reflecting Brownian motion in a wedge

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: Primary 60J60; 60J65

## 1. Introduction

Recently, Menshikov and Williams (1996) have given conditions for finiteness/infiniteness of  $p$ th moments ( $p > 0$ ) of passage times of a continuous non-negative stochastic process in terms of sub/super-martingale inequalities for powers of the process. In this note we use these ideas to get conditions in terms of suitable Lyapunov-type functions for finiteness/infiniteness of  $E(\tau_r^p)$  where  $\tau_r$  denotes the hitting time of  $B(0 : r)$  for a multidimensional diffusion process; and then use such functions in turn to obtain easily verifiable criteria in terms of the diffusion coefficients. No non-degeneracy assumption is made.

If a diffusion is transient it follows that  $E_x(\tau_r^p) = \infty$  for any  $p > 0, |x| > r$ . However if the diffusion is recurrent,  $E_x(\tau_r^p)$  can be finite only for certain  $p, r, x$ . (For a one-dimensional Brownian motion  $E_x(\tau_r^p) < \infty$  (or  $E_x(\tau_r^p) = \infty$ ) for  $p < \frac{1}{2}$  (or  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ ),  $x > r$ ; this can be seen using Section 3 of Menshikov and Williams (1996).) In fact, as an application of our results, we exhibit a class of recurrent diffusions in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for which  $E_x(\tau_r^p) = \infty$  for all  $p > 0, |x| > r, r > 0$ . This class includes the two-dimensional Brownian motion and the reflecting Brownian motion in a wedge with the Varadhan-Williams parameter  $\alpha = 0$ .

## 2. Criteria for multidimensional diffusions

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, P)$  be a complete filtered probability space; let  $\{Z(t) : t \geq 0\}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted diffusion process with generator

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}. \quad (1)$$

If  $L$  is non-degenerate we need to assume only continuity of  $a_{ij}(\cdot), b_i(\cdot)$ ; if  $L$  is degenerate we have to assume that  $a(\cdot) := ((a_{ij}(\cdot)))$  has a Lipschitz-continuous square root and that  $b_i(\cdot)$  are Lipschitz continuous so that the diffusion is well defined. In the context of the question we are investigating we can as well assume that the processes are non-explosive.

Denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the collection of all  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d : \mathbb{R})$  such that

- (a)  $u \geq 0, u(0) = 0, u(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (b) for each  $r > 0$  there exist  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$  with

$$u^{-1}([0, r_1]) \subseteq B(0 : r) \subseteq u^{-1}([0, r_2]). \tag{2}$$

*Note.* Suppose  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d : \mathbb{R})$  satisfies (a) above and is of the form  $u(x) = u_1(r)u_2(\theta)$ , where  $x = (r, \theta)$  is the polar decomposition; then  $u \in \mathcal{G}$ .

For  $u \in \mathcal{G}, r > 0$  define the non-negative process  $X(t) = u(Z(t)), t \geq 0$  and the stopping times  $\tau_r = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |Z(t)| \leq r\}, \sigma_r = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \leq r\}$ . For  $u \in \mathcal{G}, r, r_1, r_2$  satisfying (2) note that

$$\sigma_{r_2} \leq \tau_r \leq \sigma_{r_1}. \tag{3}$$

For  $u \in \mathcal{G}, q > 0$  by Itô's formula observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u^q(Z(t)) - u^q(Z(s)) &= M(t) - M(s) + \int_s^t qu^{q-2}(Z(\alpha))[u(Z(\alpha))Lu(Z(\alpha)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(q-1)\langle a(Z(\alpha))\nabla u(Z(\alpha)), \nabla u(Z(\alpha)) \rangle] d\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where  $M(\cdot)$  is a stochastic integral.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $r > 0, p > 0$  be fixed. Suppose there exist  $u \in \mathcal{G}, \epsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$u(z)(Lu)(z) + \frac{1}{2}(2p-1)\langle a(z)\nabla u(z), \nabla u(z) \rangle < -\epsilon_0 \tag{5}$$

for all  $z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty])$ . Then for  $z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty]), E_z(\tau_r^\beta) < \infty$  for all  $0 < \beta < p$  if  $p < 1$ , and also for  $\beta = p$  if  $p \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty])$  and let  $Z(0) = z$ . In view of (3) it is enough to prove that  $E(\sigma_{r_1}^\beta) < \infty$  for concerned  $\beta$ . Putting  $\tilde{X}(t) = X(t \wedge \sigma_{r_1})$ , by (4) and (5) we get for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,

$$(\tilde{X}(t))^{2p} \leq (\tilde{X}(s))^{2p} + M(t \wedge \sigma_{r_1}) - M(s \wedge \sigma_{r_1}) - 2p\epsilon_0 \int_s^t I_A(\alpha)(\tilde{X}(\alpha))^{2p-2} d\alpha,$$

where  $A = [0, \sigma_{r_1}]$ . Consequently,

$$E((\tilde{X}(t \wedge \eta_i))^{2p} | \mathcal{F}_s) \leq (\tilde{X}(s \wedge \eta_i))^{2p} - 2p\epsilon_0 E\left(\int_s^t I_{B_i}(\alpha)(\tilde{X}(\alpha))^{2p-2} d\alpha | \mathcal{F}_s\right), \tag{6}$$

where  $\{\eta_i\}$  is a sequence of localizing stopping times for the local martingale  $\{M(t)\}$ , and  $B_i = [0, \sigma_{r_1} \wedge \eta_i]$ . Letting  $\eta_i \uparrow \infty$  in (6) we get

$$E((\tilde{X}(t))^{2p} | \mathcal{F}_s) \leq (\tilde{X}(s))^{2p} - 2p\epsilon_0 E\left(\int_s^t I_A(\alpha)(\tilde{X}(\alpha))^{2p-2} d\alpha | \mathcal{F}_s\right). \tag{7}$$

The required result now follows in view of (7) and Theorem 2.1 of Menshikov and Williams (1996).

**Corollary 1.** Let  $r > 0$  be fixed. Suppose there exist  $u \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that (i)  $Lu^2(z) \leq 0$ ,  $z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty))$ , (ii)  $\inf\{\langle a(z)\nabla u(z), \nabla u(z) \rangle : z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty))\} > 0$ . Then  $E_z(\tau_r^p) < \infty$  for all  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty))$ .

*Proof.* Clear as  $p < 1$  and  $2uLu + (2p - 1)\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle = Lu^2 + (2p - 2)\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $r > 0$  be fixed. Suppose there exist  $u \in \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $Lu^{2p}(z) \leq -\epsilon u^{2p-2}(z)$ ,  $z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty))$ . Then  $E_z(\tau_r^q) < \infty$  for all  $q \leq p$ ,  $z \in u^{-1}([r_1, \infty))$ .

*Proof.* Immediate as  $Lu^{2p} = 2pu^{2p-1}Lu + p(2p - 1)u^{2p-2}\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $r > 0$ ,  $p > 0$  be fixed. Suppose there exist  $u \in \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $0 < K < \infty$ ,  $\lambda_0 < \infty$  such that

$$0 \leq u(z)(Lu)(z) + \frac{1}{2}(2p - 1)\langle a(z)\nabla u(z), \nabla u(z) \rangle < K \quad (8)$$

for all  $z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty))$ , and

$$\sup\{\langle a(z)\nabla u(z), \nabla u(z) \rangle : z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty))\} \leq \lambda_0. \quad (9)$$

Then  $E_z(\tau_r^\beta) = \infty$  for all  $\beta > p$ ,  $z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty))$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty))$  and let  $Z(0) = z$ . In view of (3) it is enough to prove that  $E(\sigma_{r_2}^\beta) = \infty$  for  $\beta > p$ . Put  $\tilde{X}(t) = X(t \wedge \sigma_{r_2})$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Using (4), the first inequality in (8) and an argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get that  $\{(\tilde{X}(t))^{2p} : t \geq 0\}$  is a local submartingale. Next observe that

$$uLu + \frac{1}{2}\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle = uLu + \frac{1}{2}(2p - 1)\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle - (p - 1)\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle.$$

Therefore using the first inequality in (8), (9) and a similar argument shows that  $\{\hat{X}^2(t) + \mu(t \wedge \sigma_{r_2}) : t \geq 0\}$  is a local submartingale for any  $\mu \geq \lambda_0[(p - 1) \vee 0]$ . Similarly for any  $\gamma > (1 \vee p)$ ,

$$uLu + \frac{1}{2}(2\gamma - 1)\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle = uLu + \frac{1}{2}(2p - 1)\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle + (\gamma - p)\langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle.$$

Hence (4), the second inequality in (8), (9) and an analogous argument give that for  $\gamma > (1 \vee p)$ ,  $\{\hat{X}(t)^{2\gamma} - \nu \int_0^t I_F(\alpha)(\hat{X}(\alpha))^{2\gamma-2} d\alpha : t \geq 0\}$  is a local supermartingale for any  $\nu \geq K + (\gamma - p)\lambda_0$ , where  $F = [0, \sigma_{r_2}]$ . Now apply Corollary 2.4 of Menshikov and Williams (1996) to get the result.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $r > 0$ ,  $p > 0$  be fixed. Suppose there exist  $u \in \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\lambda_0 < \infty$ ,  $0 < K < \infty$  such that (9) holds and

$$-2(p - 1)\langle a(z)\nabla u(z), \nabla u(z) \rangle \leq Lu^2(z) \leq K,$$

for  $z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty))$ . Then  $E_z(\tau_r^\beta) = \infty$  for all  $\beta > p$ ,  $z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $Lu^2 = 2uLu + \langle a\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle$  it is immediate.

Next for  $x \neq 0$  set

$$A(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x)x_i x_j / |x|^2, \quad B(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ii}(x), \quad C(x) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^d x_i b_i(x).$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $r > 0$  be fixed.

(a) Suppose there exist  $\epsilon > 0, p > 0$  such that

$$B(x) + C(x) + 2(p - 1)A(x) \leq -\epsilon$$

for all  $|x| \geq r$ . Then  $E_x(\tau_r^\beta) < \infty$  for all  $0 < \beta < p$  if  $p < 1$ , and also for  $\beta = p$  if  $p \geq 1$ , for any  $|x| \geq r$ .

(b) Suppose there exist  $p > 0, \lambda_0 < \infty, 0 < K < \infty$  such that

$$0 \leq B(x) + C(x) + 2(p - 1)A(x) \leq K$$

$$A(x) \leq \lambda_0$$

for all  $|x| \geq r$ . Then  $E_x(\tau_r^\beta) = \infty$  for all  $\beta > p, |x| > r$ .

(c) If eigenvalues of  $a(\cdot)$  are bounded and bounded away from zero then for  $|x| > r$ ,

$$E_x(\tau_r^\beta) < \infty \quad \text{for all } p < \inf\{1 - [(B(x) + C(x))/2A(x)] : |x| \geq r\},$$

$$E_x(\tau_r^\beta) = \infty \quad \text{for all } p > \sup\{1 - [(B(x) + C(x))/2A(x)] : |x| \geq r\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $u(x) = |x|$  outside a neighbourhood of the origin note that

$$Lu(x) = \frac{1}{2|x|}(B(x) + C(x) - A(x))$$

away from the origin. So assertions (a) and (b) are easy to see applying Theorems 1 and 2. Under the non-degeneracy hypothesis in (c) one can divide by  $A(x)$ ; so (c) follows using (a), (b).

To illustrate our results we consider the following class of examples. Recurrence and transience of this class of diffusions have been studied by Friedman (1975).

**Example 1.** Let  $b_i(\cdot) \equiv 0$ , and

$$a_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + \frac{g(|x|)}{|x|^2}x_i x_j,$$

where  $g(\cdot)$  is a continuous function vanishing near 0, and

$$-1 < \mu \equiv \inf_r g(r) \leq \sup_r g(r) \equiv \nu < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Observe that  $C(x) \equiv 0, B(x) = d + g(|x|), A(x) = 1 + g(|x|)$ ; therefore

$$1 - \frac{B(x) + C(x)}{2A(x)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d - 1}{2(1 + g(|x|))}. \tag{11}$$

Also, by (10),  $0 < 1 + \mu \leq A(x) \leq \nu + 1 < \infty$ ; and by (10) and (11)

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d - 1}{2(1 + \mu)} \leq 1 - \frac{B(x) + C(x)}{2A(x)} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d - 1}{2(1 + \nu)}. \tag{12}$$

Using (10)–(12), in view of Theorem 3(c), the following are easily obtained.

- (a) For a diffusion in this class  $E_x(\tau_r^p) = \infty$  for any  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $|x| > r$ .  
 (b) For a diffusion in this class with  $\mu > (d - 2)$ , we have  $E_x(\tau_r^p) < \infty$  for any  $r > 0$ ,

$$p < \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(d-1)}{2(1+\mu)} \right], \quad |x| > r.$$

(In particular, for any one-dimensional diffusion in this class  $E_x(\tau_r^p) < \infty$  for any  $p < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x > r$ .)

(c) For a diffusion in this class with  $-1 < \mu \leq \nu \leq (d - 2)$  we get  $E_x(\tau_r^p) = \infty$  for any  $p > 0$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $|x| > r$ .

(d) Suppose  $d \geq 2$ , and  $g(r) = (d - 2 - h(r))/(1 + h(r))$ , where  $h$  is a non-negative function with  $h(r) \leq 1/\log r$  for all large  $r$ . In such a case the diffusion is known to be recurrent; see pp. 202–203 of Friedman (1975). Also it is easily seen that  $-1 < \mu \leq \nu \leq (d - 2)$ . Thus  $E_x(\tau_r^p) = \infty$  for any  $p > 0$ ,  $|x| > r > 0$  for such a diffusion. Observe that the two-dimensional Brownian motion is such a diffusion.

**Example 2.** Take  $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $b_i(x) = -1/x_i$ , for  $|x| > r$ . By Theorem 3(c), it is seen that for  $|x| > r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_x(\tau_r^p) < \infty & \quad \text{if } p < \frac{1}{2}(d + 2), \\ E_x(\tau_r^p) = \infty & \quad \text{if } p > \frac{1}{2}(d + 2). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Reflecting Brownian motion in a wedge

Let  $D$  denote the two-dimensional wedge given in polar coordinates by  $D = \{(r, \theta) : r > 0, 0 < \theta < \xi\}$  where  $\xi \in (0, 2\pi)$ ; the two arms of  $D$  are  $\partial_1 D = \{(r, \theta) : r \geq 0, \theta = 0\}$ ,  $\partial_2 D = \{(r, \theta) : r \geq 0, \theta = \xi\}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $v_i$  be a vector such that  $\langle v_i, n_i \rangle = 1$  where  $n_i$  is the inward normal vector to  $\partial_i D \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ ; let  $\theta_i$  denote the angle  $v_i$  makes with  $n_i$ , with  $\theta_i$  being positive if and only if  $v_i$  points towards the corner. Observe that  $0 \leq \theta_i < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Define  $\alpha = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/\xi$ .

It is a fundamental result due to Varadhan and Williams (1985) that if  $\alpha < 2$  then a unique reflecting Brownian motion  $\{Z(t) : t \geq 0\}$  in  $\bar{D}$  exists with directions of reflection on the boundary given by  $v_i$  on  $\partial_i D \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ; the process has been defined as the solution of the appropriate submartingale problem. Moreover, if  $\alpha < 0$  the process never hits  $(0, 0)$  and is transient; if  $0 < \alpha < 2$  the process hits  $(0, 0)$  with probability one and is recurrent; if  $\alpha = 0$  the process is recurrent but does not hit the corner point  $(0, 0)$ ; see Williams (1985).

We apply our analysis to the stopping time  $\tau_r = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |Z(t)| \leq r\}$ ,  $r > 0$  to get the following.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $r > 0$  be fixed. If  $\alpha = 0$  then  $E_z(\tau_r^p) = \infty$  for any  $p > 0$ ,  $|z| > r$ .

*Proof.* By the obvious modifications necessary to make the proof of Theorem 2 go through in the present context, for each  $r > 0$ ,  $p > 0$  we need a function  $u \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $u$  vanishes near  $(0, 0)$  and

$$0 \leq u(z) \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(z) + \frac{1}{2} (2p - 1) |\nabla u(z)|^2 \leq K, \quad z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty)) \cap \bar{D} \quad (13)$$

$$\sup\{|\nabla u(z)|^2 : z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty)) \cap \bar{D}\} < \infty, \quad (14)$$

$$\langle v_i, \nabla u(z) \rangle = 0, \quad z \in u^{-1}([r_2, \infty)) \cap \partial_i D, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (15)$$

Let  $r_0$  be arbitrary but fixed. Let  $\phi, u$  be functions such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(r, \theta) &= \log r + \theta \tan \theta_1, \\ u(r, \theta) &= \exp(\phi(r, \theta)) = r \exp(\theta \tan \theta_1),\end{aligned}$$

for  $r \geq \frac{1}{2}r_0$ ,  $0 < \theta < 2\pi$ . Note that  $u$  can be extended to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  so that  $u \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $u = 0$  near  $(0, 0)$ . Observe that

$$\nabla u := \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}, \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right) = u \nabla \phi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u &:= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} \\ &= u \left[ \Delta \phi + \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

on  $B(0 : \frac{1}{2}r_0)^c$ . Since  $\langle v_i, \nabla \phi \rangle = 0$  on  $\partial_i D$  it is clear that (15) is satisfied with  $r_2 = r_0$ . Also for any  $p > 0$ ,

$$u \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + \frac{1}{2} (2p - 1) |\nabla u|^2 = p(1 + \tan^2 \theta_1) \exp(2\theta \tan \theta_1) \quad (16)$$

$$|\nabla u|^2 = (1 + \tan^2 \theta_1) \exp(2\theta \tan \theta_1) \quad (17)$$

on  $B(0 : \frac{1}{2}r_0)^c$ . As  $0 \leq \theta_1 < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ , (13) and (14) are now clear from (16), (17) for any  $p > 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 1.** If  $\alpha > 0$ , using the function  $u(r, \theta) = r(\cos(\alpha\theta - \theta_1))^{1/\alpha}$  analogously one can obtain Theorem 4.1 of Menshikov and Williams (1996); this is what is essentially being done in their proof.

## References

- FRIEDMAN, A. (1975). *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, Vol. I. Academic Press, New York.
- MENSHIKOV, M. AND WILLIAMS, R. J. (1996). Passage-time moments for continuous nonnegative stochastic processes and applications. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **28**, 747–762.
- VARADHAN, S. R. S. AND WILLIAMS, R. J. (1985). Brownian motion in a wedge with oblique reflection. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **38**, 405–443.
- WILLIAMS, R. J. (1985). Recurrence classification and invariant measure for reflecting Brownian motion in a wedge. *Ann. Prob.* **13**, 758–778.