

ON STATISTICS INDEPENDENT OF A COMPLETE SUFFICIENT STATISTIC

By D. BASU

Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

1. INTRODUCTION

If $\{P_\theta\}$, $\theta \in \Omega$, be a family of probability measures on an abstract sample space \mathfrak{S} and T be a sufficient statistic for θ then for a statistic T_1 to be stochastically independent of T it is necessary that the probability distribution of T_1 be independent of θ . The condition is also sufficient if T be a boundedly complete sufficient statistic. Certain well-known results of distribution theory follow immediately from the above considerations. For instance, if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are independent $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$'s then the sample mean \bar{x} and the sample variance s^2 are mutually independent and are jointly independent of any statistic f (real or vector valued) that is independent of change of scale and origin. It is also deduced that if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are independent random variables such that their joint distribution involves an unknown location parameter θ then there can exist a linear boundedly complete sufficient statistic for θ only if the x 's are all normal. Similar characterizations for the Gamma distribution also are indicated.

2. DEFINITIONS

Let $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{A})$ be an arbitrary measurable space (the sample space) and let $\{P_\theta\}$, $\theta \in \Omega$, be a family of probability measures on \mathcal{A} .

Definition 1: Any measurable transformation T of the sample space $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{A})$ onto a measurable space $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a statistic. The probability measures on \mathcal{B} induced by the statistic T are denoted by $\{P_\theta^T\}$, $\theta \in \Omega$.

For every $\theta \in \Omega$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists an essentially unique real valued \mathcal{B} -measurable function $f_\theta(A|t)$ on \mathcal{I} such that the equation

$$P_\theta(A \cap T^{-1}B) = \int_B f_\theta(A|t) dP_\theta^T \quad \dots (1)$$

holds for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The set of points t for which $f_\theta(A|t)$ falls outside the closed interval $(0, 1)$ is of P_θ^T -measure zero for every $\theta \in \Omega$. We call $f_\theta(A|t)$ the conditional probability of A given that $T = t$ and that θ is the true parameter point.

Definition 2: A statistic T is said to be independent of the parameter θ if, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $P_\theta^T(B)$ is the same for all $\theta \in \Omega$.

Definition 3: The two statistics T and T_1 , with associated measurable spaces $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{B})$ and $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ respectively, are said to be stochastically independent of each other if, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$

$$P_\theta(T^{-1}B \cap T_1^{-1}B_1) = P_\theta(T^{-1}B)P_\theta(T_1^{-1}B_1)$$

for all $\theta \in \Omega$.

Now,

$$P_{\theta}(T^{-1}B \cap T_1^{-1}B_1) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} f_{\theta}(T_1^{-1}B_1|t) dP_{\theta}^T.$$

It follows, therefore, that a necessary and sufficient condition in order that T and T_1 are stochastically independent is that the integrand above is essentially independent of t , i.e.

$$f_{\theta}(T_1^{-1}B_1|t) = P_{\theta}(T_1^{-1}B_1) = P_{\theta}^T(B_1)$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$ excepting possibly for a set of P_{θ}^T -measure zero.

Definition 4: The statistic T is called a sufficient statistic (Halmos and Savage, 1949) if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a function $f(A|t)$ which is independent of θ and which, satisfies equation (1) for every $\theta \in \Omega$.

Let G be the class of all real valued, essentially bounded, and \mathcal{B} -measurable functions on \mathcal{X} .

Definition 5: The family of probability measures $\{P_{\theta}^T\}$ is said to be boundedly complete (Lehmann and Scheffé, 1950) if for any $g \in G$ the identity

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} g(t) dP_{\theta}^T = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Omega \quad \dots (2)$$

implies that $g(t) = 0$ excepting possibly for a set of P_{θ}^T -measure zero for all θ . $\{P_{\theta}^T\}$ is called complete if the condition of essential boundedness is not imposed on the integrand in (2). The statistic T is called complete (boundedly complete) if the corresponding family of measures $\{P_{\theta}^T\}$ is so.

3. SUFFICIENCY AND INDEPENDENCE

For any two statistics T_1 and T we have for any $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$

$$P_{\theta}^T(B_1) = P_{\theta}(T_1^{-1}B_1) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f_{\theta}(T_1^{-1}B_1|t) dP_{\theta}^T. \quad \dots (3)$$

Now if T be a sufficient statistic then the integrand is independent of θ and if, moreover, T_1 is stochastically independent of T then the integrand is essentially independent of t also. Thus, the right hand side of (3) is independent of θ and so we have

Theorem 1: Any statistic T_1 stochastically independent of a sufficient statistic T is independent of the parameter θ .

That the direct converse of the above result is not true will be immediately apparent if we take for the sufficient statistic T the identity mapping of $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$ into itself. No statistic T_1 independent of θ will then be stochastically independent of T excepting in the trivial situation where T_1 is essentially equal to a constant. We, however, have the following weaker but important converse.

Theorem 2: If T be a boundedly complete sufficient statistic then any statistic T_1 which is independent of θ is stochastically independent of T .

STATISTICS INDEPENDENT OF A COMPLETE SUFFICIENT STATISTIC

Proof: Since T is sufficient the integrand in (3) is independent of θ . It is also essentially bounded. Now the left hand side of (3) is independent of θ since T_1 is independent of θ . Hence, from bounded completeness of $\{P_{\theta}^T\}$ it follows that the integrand in (3) is essentially independent of t as well. That is, T_1 is stochastically independent of T .

In the next section we demonstrate how the above theorem may be used to get a few interesting results in distribution theory.

4. SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF DISTRIBUTIONS WITH LOCATION AND SCALE PARAMETERS

Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a random variable in an n -dimensional Euclidean space whose probability distribution involves an unknown location parameter μ and a scale parameter $\sigma > 0$. Then any measurable function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which is independent of change of origin and scale, i.e.

$$f\left(\frac{x_1 - a}{b}, \dots, \frac{x_n - a}{b}\right) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all a and $b > 0$ is independent of the unknown parameter (μ, σ) . Now, if there exists a boundedly complete sufficient statistic T for (μ, σ) then f must be stochastically independent of T . For example, if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are independent observations on a normal variable with mean μ and s.d. σ then it is well known that $T = (\bar{x}, s)$ is a sufficient statistic (\bar{x} is the sample mean and s the sample s.d.). The completeness of T follows from the unicity property of the bivariate Laplace transform. It then follows from Theorem 2 that any measurable function $g(\bar{x}, s)$ of \bar{x} and s is stochastically independent of any measurable function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of the observations that is independent of change of origin and scale. The functions g and f need not be real valued. For instance, we may have

$$g = (\sum x_i^2, \sum_{(i,j)} x_i x_j)$$

$$\text{and } f = \left(\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{s^2}, \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^4}{s^4}, \dots \right).$$

Again the stochastic independence of \bar{x} and s follows from the fact that, for any fixed σ , the statistic \bar{x} is a complete sufficient statistic for μ and that s , by virtue of its being independent of change of origin, is independent of the location parameter μ .

Now let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be independent random variables with joint d.f. $F_1(x_1 - \theta), F_2(x_2 - \theta), \dots, F_n(x_n - \theta)$.* Since θ is a location parameter it follows that any linear function $\sum a_i x_i$ with $\sum a_i = 0$ is independent of θ . If $\sum b_i x_i$ is a boundedly complete sufficient statistic for θ then from Theorem 2 it follows that $\sum a_i x_i$ is independent of $\sum b_i x_i$.

* For the sake of notational convenience, we make no distinction between random variables and the values that they may assume.

Now, since $\sum b_i x_i$ is a sufficient statistic it follows that every $b_i \neq 0$. For, if possible, let $b_j = 0$. Then x_j is stochastically independent of $\sum b_i x_i$ and so from Theorem 1 x_j is independent of the parameter θ which contradicts the assumption that the d.f. of x_j is $F_j(x_j - \theta)$. Again, we can take all the a_i 's different from zeros. Thus, the two linear functions $\sum a_i x_i$ and $\sum b_i x_i$ (with non-zero coefficients) of the independent random variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , are stochastically independent. Therefore,† all the x_i 's must be normal variables. We thus have the following:

Theorem 3: *If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , are independent random variables such that their joint d.f. involves an unknown location parameter θ then a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $\sum b_i x_i$ is a boundedly complete sufficient statistic for θ is that $b_i > 0$ and that x_i is a normal variable with mean θ and variance b_i^{-1} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).*

Let us now turn to the case of the Gamma variables. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , be independent Gamma variables with the same scale parameter $\theta > 0$, i.e., the density function of x_i is

$$f_i(x) dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m_i)\theta^{m_i}} x^{m_i-1} e^{-x/\theta} dx \quad (x \geq 0, \theta > 0, m_i > 0).$$

It is clear then that $\sum x_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ and its completeness follows from the unicity property of the Laplace transform. Thus, we at once have the well known result that $\sum x_i$ is stochastically independent of any function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ that is independent of change of scale (i.e. independent of θ).

Recently it has been proved by R. G. Laha that if x_1, \dots, x_n , are independent and identically distributed chance variables and if $\sum x_i$ is independent of $\sum a_i x_i / (\sum x_i)^2$ then (under some further assumptions) all the x_i 's must be Gamma variables. Using this result we can immediately get a characterization of the Gamma distribution analogous to Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- DABU, D. (1951): On the independence of linear functions of independent chance variables. *Bull. Int. Stat. Inst.*, 33, Pt. 2, 83-96.
- DARMOIS, G. (1951): Sur diverses propriétés caractéristiques de la loi de probabilité de Laplace-Gauss. *Bull. Int. Stat. Inst.*, 33, Pt. 2, 79-82.
- (1953): Analyse générale des liaisons stochastiques—Etude particulière de l'analyse factorielle linéaire. *Rev. Inst. Internat. Stochastique*, 21, 2-8.
- JALNOS, P. R. AND NAYAK, L. I. (1949): Application of the Radon-Nikodym theorem to the theory of sufficient statistics. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 20, 223-241.
- LEHMANN, E. L. AND SCHEFFÉ, H. (1959): Completeness, similar regions and unbiased estimation. *Sankhyā*, 10, 305-310.
- JAMA, R. G. (1954): On a characterization of the Gamma distribution. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 25, 784-787.

† This result was first conjectured (and proved under certain assumptions) by the author in 1951. The proof without any assumption is due to G. Darmais (1953).