

A NOTE ON THE STRUCTURE OF A STOCHASTIC MODEL CONSIDERED BY V. M. DANDEKAR*

By D. BASU

Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

Mr. Dandekar starts with the stochastic process x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots , ad inf. which may be characterised by the following defining postulates:

Π_1 : Each x_i can take only the two values 0 (= failure) and 1 (= success) and the probability that $x_0 = 1$ is p .

Π_2 : For any m (or less) consecutive x_i 's at most one can be 1.

Π_3 : If any $m-1$ (or more) consecutive x_i 's are known to be zeros then the next x_i is 1 with probability p .

Π_4 : If $x_0 = 0$ then the conditional stochastic process x_1, x_2, \dots is the same as the original process x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots .

Let $P_n = P(x_n = 1)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ($P_0 = p$, $q = 1-p$) and let $\varphi(t)$ be the generating function $\sum_0^{\infty} P_n t^n$.

The recurrence relation

$$P_n = pP_{n-m} + qP_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $P_r = 0$ for negative r is easily verified.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= p + \sum_1^{\infty} P_n t^n \\ &= p + \sum (pP_{n-m} + qP_{n-1}) t^n \\ &= p + p t^m \varphi(t) + q t \varphi(t), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\varphi(t) = p / (1 - qt - pt^m).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(1/z) &= pz^m / (z^m - qz^{m-1} - p) \\ &= z(pz^{m-1} / (z - \alpha_1) \dots (z - \alpha_m)). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that the zeros $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ of the polynomial $z^m - qz^{m-1} - p$ are all distinct. Hence we have

$$\varphi(1/z) = z \sum_1^m c_i (z - \alpha_i)^{-1} \quad \text{where} \quad c_i = pz_i \{mz_i - (m-1)q\}^{-1},$$

or

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_1^m c_i (1 - \alpha_i t)^{-1}.$$

Equating co-efficients of t^n we have

$$P_n = \sum_1^m c_i \alpha_i^n.$$

* Dandekar, V. M. (1955): Certain modified forms of binomial and Poisson distributions, *Sankhy*, 15, Part 3.

Now, it is easily seen that one of the α_i 's is unity and that all the others lie within the unit circle (provided $q > 0$).

Therefore
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \frac{p}{m - (m-1)q} = \frac{p}{1 + (m-1)p} \text{ (if } q > 0\text{)}.$$

Mr. Dandekar considers the following problem:

If we make an abrupt start on the stochastic process x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots , i.e. if we take on x_n without knowing what n is and without knowing what happened to the previous x_i 's then what is the probability that $x_n = 1$?

The question stated as above has no answer. If n were known then the answer is P_n . If we have a priori knowledge about n being a random variable then the answer is $\sum P_i q_i$ where $q_i = P(n = i)$. Mr. Dandekar arrives at the conclusion

$$P(x_n = 1) = p / (1 + (n-1)p)$$

by an ingenious argument.

As we have noted before this is the limit of P_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$. When Mr. Dandekar makes an abrupt start on the stochastic process (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) he implicitly assumes that the process is in operation for an indefinitely long time. He then gets a new stochastic process (y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots) with the following characteristics:

Π'_1 : The marginal distribution of each y_i is the same—each taking the two values 0 and 1 with probabilities $1 - \pi$ and π respectively.

Π'_2 : Same as Π_2 with x_i replaced by y_i .

Π'_3 : Same as Π_3 with x_i replaced by y_i .

It is easy to verify that the above three properties may be taken as the defining postulates of the stochastic process (y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots) . That $\pi = p / (1 + (m-1)p)$ may then be proved as follows:

By Π'_1 , $P(y_{m-1} = 1) = \pi$. By Π'_3 , the event $y_{m-1} = 1$ can happen only if $y_0 = y_1 = \dots = y_{m-2} = 0$ and by Π'_2 , $P(y_{m-1} = 1 | y_0 = y_1 = \dots = y_{m-2} = 0) = p$. By Π'_1 and Π'_3 , the probability that at least one of the first $(m-1)$ y_i 's is 1 is $(m-1)\pi$.

Hence
$$\pi = \{1 - (m-1)\pi\}p$$

or
$$\pi = p / (1 + (m-1)p).$$

If it is known that r ($r < m-1$) consecutive y_i 's are zeros then the probability that the next y_i is 1 is

$$1 - \frac{1 - (r+1)\pi}{1 - r\pi} = \pi / (1 - r\pi).$$

(This follows from Π'_1 and Π'_3).

I wish to thank Professor C. R. Rao for drawing my attention to this problem.

Paper received: January, 1955.