

## Inequalities for the $q$ -Permanent. II

A. K. Lal\*

*Indian Statistical Institute  
New Delhi-110016, India*

Submitted by T. Ando

---

### ABSTRACT

For a complex number  $q$ , the  $q$ -permanent of an  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , written  $\text{per}_q(A)$ , is defined as

$$\text{per}_q(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{l(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is the symmetric group of degree  $n$ , and  $l(\sigma)$  the number of inversions of  $\sigma$  [i.e., the number of pairs  $i, j$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ ]. The function is of interest in that it includes both the determinant and the permanent as special cases. It is known that if  $A$  is positive semidefinite and if  $-1 \leq q \leq 1$ , then  $\text{per}_q(A) \geq 0$ . We obtain results for the  $q$ -permanent, a few of which are generalizations of some results of Ando. © 1998 Elsevier Science Inc.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  denote the symmetric group on  $n$  symbols. If  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , then  $l(\sigma)$  will denote the number of inversions of  $\sigma$ . Recall that an inversion of  $\sigma$  is a pair  $(i, j)$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ .

---

\*The author thanks the University Grants Commission of India for a research fellowship.  
Address correspondence to: A. K. Lal, Dept. of Maths, IIT Kanpur, Kanpur, India 208016.

For a square matrix  $A$ , we write  $A \geq 0$  to indicate that  $A$  is Hermitian positive semidefinite. Also,  $A \geq B$  means that  $A \geq 0$ ,  $B \geq 0$ , and  $A - B \geq 0$ .

For a complex number  $q$ , the  $q$ -permanent of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = ((a_{ij}))$ , denoted by  $\text{per}_q(A)$ , is defined as

$$\text{per}_q(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{l(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

Observe that  $\text{per}_{-1}(A) = \det A$ ,  $\text{per}_0(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ , and  $\text{per}_1(A) = \text{per } A$ , where  $\det$  and  $\text{per}$  denote determinant and permanent, respectively. Here we have made the usual convention that  $0^0 = 1$ . The  $q$ -permanent thus provides a parametric generalization of both the determinant and the permanent. The main purpose of this paper is to prove inequalities for the  $q$ -permanent for  $q \in [-1, 1]$  which generalize the results in [2].

We introduce further notation. If  $m \geq 2$  is an integer and  $q$  a complex number, then we define the generalized factorial of a positive integer  $m$  as

$$m!! = (1 + q)(1 + q + q^2) \cdots (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{m-1}).$$

We also set  $0!! = 1!! = 1$ . For convenience our notation here does not involve  $q$ . Note that if  $q = -1$  then  $m!! = 0$  for  $m \geq 2$ . For convenience we will make the convention that  $0/0 = 0$  throughout. This saves us the trouble of considering the case  $q = -1$  separately at each stage. We will also use the fact that  $l(\sigma) = l(\sigma^{-1})$ .

For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  and for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  we define  $d_A(\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}$ , the diagonal product of  $A$  corresponding to the permutation  $\sigma$ . If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then the Schur power matrix of  $A$ , denoted by  $\Pi(A)$ , has been defined as follows (see, for example, [8]). The rows and columns of  $\Pi(A)$  are indexed by  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . If  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , then the  $(\sigma, \tau)$  entry of  $\Pi(A)$  is

$$d_{\tau\sigma^{-1}(A)} = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}.$$

It can be seen that  $\Pi(A)$  is a principal submatrix of the Kronecker product  $\otimes^n A = A \otimes A \otimes \cdots \otimes A$  taken  $n$  times, and therefore, if  $A \geq 0$  then  $\Pi(A) \geq 0$ .

If  $q$  is a complex number, define the  $n! \times n!$  matrix  $\Gamma_{n,q}$  as follows. The rows and columns of  $\Gamma_{n,q}$  are indexed by  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . If  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , then the  $(\sigma, \tau)$

entry of  $\Gamma_{n,q}$  is  $q^{l(\tau\sigma^{-1})}$ . We set

$$\Pi_q(A) = \Pi(A) \circ \Gamma_{n,q}$$

where  $\circ$  denotes the Hadamard product.

Let  $V$  be the Euclidean space of all complex-valued functions on  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . Then  $V$  is of dimension  $n!$ . The canonical Kronecker basis for  $V$  is  $\{\delta_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n\}$  which is ordered lexicographically. Then it is clear that the space  $L(V)$  of linear endomorphisms on  $V$  can be identified with  $n! \times n!$  complex matrices. For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , we define,  $U_\sigma \in L(V)$  by  $U_\sigma \delta_\tau = \delta_{\sigma\tau}$ . Then  $U : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow L(V)$  is known as the left regular representation on  $\mathcal{S}_n$ . It is easily seen that we also have

$$\Pi(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} d_A(\sigma) U_{\sigma^{-1}},$$

and hence we get

$$\Pi_q(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{l(\sigma)} d_A(\sigma) U_{\sigma^{-1}}.$$

Observe that

$$\text{per}_q(A) = \frac{1}{n!} \langle \Pi_q(A) \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the column vector of all ones. It has been proved by Bożejko and Speicher [4] that if  $q \in [-1, 1]$  then  $\Gamma_{n,q} \geq 0$ . It then follows from (1) that if  $A \geq 0$  and  $q \in [-1, 1]$  then  $\text{per}_q(A) \geq 0$ .

## 2. GRAM'S INEQUALITY FOR THE SCHUR POWER MATRIX

We need to develop some preliminaries before coming to the main result in this section. The following notation will be used. If  $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , then  $P^\pi$  will denote the permutation matrix corresponding to  $\pi$ . Thus the  $(i, j)$  entry of  $P^\pi$  is 1 if  $j = \pi(i)$  and 0 otherwise. We denote by  $\Omega(r, n)$  the set of all  $r$ -tuples  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$  of nonnegative integers  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r k_i = n$ .

Let  $B = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r)$  be an  $n \times r$  matrix, where  $B_i$  denotes the  $i$ th column of  $B$ . If  $\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)$ , then we denote by  $B(\mathbf{k})$  the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$\left( \underbrace{B_1, \dots, B_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{B_2, \dots, B_2}_{k_2}, \dots, \underbrace{B_r, \dots, B_r}_{k_r} \right).$$

We will deal with partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For  $\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)$  let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$  denote the collection of all partitions

$$\mathcal{U} = (U_1, \dots, U_r)$$

of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that the cardinality of  $U_i$  is  $k_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

Choose and fix  $\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)$ . Let  $I_1 = \{1, \dots, k_1\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $I_r = \{n - k_r + 1, \dots, n\}$ . We call  $\mathcal{I} = (I_1, \dots, I_r)$  the *standard partition* in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$ .

If  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$  and if  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , then we say that  $\sigma \ll \mathcal{U}$  if  $\sigma$  maps  $U_j$  onto  $I_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$ , and let  $B$  be an  $n \times r$  matrix. We define

$$e_B(\mathcal{U}) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{i \in U_j} b_{ij}.$$

Suppose  $-1 < q \leq 1$ . We construct matrices  $G, H$  as follows. Both  $G$  and  $H$  are square matrices whose order is the same as the cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$  (which equals  $n!/k_1! \cdots k_r!$ ). The rows and columns of  $G, H$  are indexed by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$ . If  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})$ , then the  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  entry of  $G$  and  $H$  is defined as

$$g_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{k_1! \cdots k_r!} z_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{k_1! \cdots k_r!} \sum_{\sigma \ll \mathcal{U}} \sum_{\tau \ll \mathcal{V}} q^{l(\tau\sigma^{-1})} \quad (2)$$

and

$$h_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{k_1!! \cdots k_r!!} \sum_{\sigma \ll \mathcal{U}} \sum_{\tau \ll \mathcal{V}} q^{l(\sigma) + l(\tau)}.$$

LEMMA 1. *With the above notation,  $G \geq H$ . Equality holds if  $q = 1$ .*

*Proof.* See Lemma 2 in Bapat and Lal [3]. ■

The main result of this section is *Gram's inequality for the Schur power matrix*, which in turn gives us Gram's inequality for the  $q$ -permanent. The result generalizes Theorem 1 in Bunce [5].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A \geq 0$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix, and suppose  $A = BB^*$ , where  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_r)$  is  $n \times r$ . Then for  $q \in [-1, 1]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_q(A) &\geq \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)} \frac{1}{k_1!! \cdots k_r!!} \Pi_q(B[1, 2, \dots, n | \mathbf{k}]) \\ &\quad \times \Pi_q(B^*[\mathbf{k} | 1, 2, \dots, n]). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

*Equality holds in (3) if  $q = \pm 1$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose  $-1 < q \leq 1$ . Using the fact that the  $q$ -permanent is a multilinear function of each column, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_q(A) &= \Pi_q(BB^*) \\ &= \Pi_q\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \overline{b_{1j}} B_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^r \overline{b_{nj}} B_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)} \frac{1}{k_1! \cdots k_r!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \overline{d_{B(\mathbf{k})}(\pi)} \Pi_q(B(\mathbf{k})P^\pi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result will be proved if we show that for any  $\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{k_1! \cdots k_r!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \overline{d_{B(\mathbf{k})}(\pi)} \Pi_q(B(\mathbf{k})P^\pi) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k_1!! \cdots k_r!!} \Pi_q(B[1, 2, \dots, n | \mathbf{k}]) \Pi_q(B^*[\mathbf{k} | 1, 2, \dots, n]). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Fix  $\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)$ , and let  $C = B(\mathbf{k})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \overline{d_{B(\mathbf{k})}(\pi)} \Pi_q(B(\mathbf{k})P^\pi) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \overline{d_C(\sigma)} \Pi_q(C_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, C_{\sigma(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n} \overline{d_C(\sigma)} d_C(\tau) q^{l(\tau\sigma^{-1})} U_\sigma U_{\tau^{-1}} \\
&= \sum_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})} \overline{e_B(\mathcal{U})} e_B(\mathcal{V}) z_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}} U_\tau U_{\sigma^{-1}}, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $z_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}$  has been defined in (2). Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_q(B[1, 2, \dots, n \mid \mathbf{k}]) \Pi_q(B^*[\mathbf{k} \mid 1, 2, \dots, n]) \\
&= \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{l(\sigma)} d_C(\sigma) U_{\sigma^{-1}} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{l(\tau)} d_C(\tau) U_{\tau^{-1}} \right)} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n} \overline{d_C(\tau)} d_C(\sigma) q^{l(\sigma)+l(\tau)} U_\tau U_{\sigma^{-1}} \\
&= \sum_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k})} \overline{e_B(\mathcal{U})} e_B(\mathcal{V}) U_\tau U_{\sigma^{-1}} \sum_{\sigma \ll \mathcal{U}} \sum_{\tau \ll \mathcal{V}} q^{l(\sigma)+l(\tau)}. \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1, (5), and (6) together imply (4). If  $q = 1$ , then the expressions (5), (6) are equal and (4) holds with equality. If  $q = -1$ , then again, keeping in mind our convention that  $0/0 = 0$ , it is easily seen that equality holds in (4). That completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $A \geq 0$  be an  $n \times n$  complex matrix, and suppose that  $A = BB^*$ , where  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_r)$  is  $n \times r$ . Then for  $q \in [-1, 1]$*

$$\text{per}_q(A) \geq \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)} \frac{1}{k_1!! \cdots k_r!!} |\text{per}_q(B(\mathbf{k}))|^2. \quad (7)$$

*Equality holds in (7) if  $q = \pm 1$ .*

*Proof.* From (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Pi_q(A) \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle \\ & \geq \left\langle \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(r, n)} \frac{1}{k_1!! \cdots k_r!!} \Pi_q(B[1, 2, \dots, n | \mathbf{k}]) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \Pi_q(B^*[\mathbf{k} | 1, 2, \dots, n]) \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the result. ■

### 3. INEQUALITIES USING INDUCED MATRICES

We now define the  $k$ th *induced matrix*  $P_{k,q}(A)$  of an  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$ . It is the  $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$ -square matrix whose entries are

$$\frac{\text{per}_q(A[\alpha | \beta])}{\sqrt{\mu_q(\alpha) \mu_q(\beta)}}$$

arranged lexicographically in  $\alpha, \beta \in G_{k,n}$ . Recall that  $G_{k,n}$  denotes the set of all nondecreasing sequences  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  of integers,  $1 \leq \alpha_i \leq n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , that  $A[\alpha | \beta]$  is the  $k \times k$  matrix whose  $\{i, j\}$  entry is  $a_{\alpha_i \beta_j}$ , and that  $\mu_q(\alpha)$  is the product of the generalized factorials of the multiplicities of the distinct integers in  $\alpha$ . We will write  $n_k$  in place of  $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** For  $q \in [-1, 1]$  the map  $A \mapsto P_{k,q}(A)$  possesses the following properties:

- (i)  $P_{k,q}(I_n) = I_{n_k}$ ,  $I_n$  being the identity matrix of order  $n$ .
- (ii)  $P_{k,q}(cA) = c^k P_{k,q}(A)$  and  $P_{k,q}(A^*) = P_{k,q}(A)^*$ .
- (iii)  $P_{k,q}(A)$  is positive semidefinite if  $A$  is so.
- (iv)  $P_{k,q}(A + B) \geq P_{k,q}(A) + P_{k,q}(B)$  if  $A, B$  are positive semidefinite.
- (v)  $P_{k,q}((A + B)^{1/k}) \geq P_{k,q}(A^{1/k}) + P_{k,q}(B^{1/k})$  if  $A, B$  are positive semidefinite.

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) can be easily verified. For (iii) we proceed as follows.

For each  $\alpha, \beta \in G_{k,n}$  consider the matrix  $A[\alpha | \beta]$ , which is a  $k \times k$  matrix. Then  $\Pi(A[\alpha | \beta])$  is a  $k! \times k!$  matrix. If  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_k$  then the  $(\sigma, \tau)$  entry of  $\Pi(A[\alpha | \beta])$  is

$$d_{A[\alpha | \beta]}(\tau\sigma^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^k a_{\alpha_{\sigma(i)}\beta_{r(i)}}.$$

Note that any two rows (columns) of  $\Pi(A[\alpha | \beta])$  have same elements except for the positions that they appear at. We now construct a square matrix  $\hat{A}$  of order  $k!n_k$  from  $A$ . The matrix  $\hat{A}$  is partitioned into blocks of size  $k! \times k!$ . That is,  $\hat{A}$  has  $n_k \times n_k$  blocks with each block of size  $k! \times k!$ . We index these blocks using  $\alpha, \beta \in G_{k,n}$  and arrange them lexicographically. Then for a fixed  $\alpha, \beta \in G_{k,n}$ , we put the matrix  $\Pi(A[\alpha | \beta])$  in the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  block. Note that in this way we have indexed the rows and columns of  $\hat{A}$  by  $\hat{\alpha}_\sigma$ 's arranged lexicographically.

Since  $A \geq 0$ , we have  $\otimes^k A \geq 0$ , implying  $(\otimes^k A) \otimes J_{k!} \geq 0$ , where  $J_{k!}$  denotes the  $k! \times k!$  matrix with each entry 1. Observe that  $\hat{A}$  is a principal submatrix of  $(\otimes^k A) \otimes J_{k!}$  corresponding to the rows and columns  $\hat{\alpha}_\sigma$ 's. Hence  $\hat{A} \geq 0$ .

Let  $x_\mu^t = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_k})$ , where  $x_i = 1/\sqrt{\mu_q(\alpha^i)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_k$ , and  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n_k}$  are the totality of elements of  $G_{k,n}$ . Thus if  $\mathcal{M}_{n_k} = x_\mu x_\mu^t$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{n_k} \geq 0$  and is of order  $n_k \times n_k$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}_{n_k} \otimes J_{k!} \geq 0$ . Also,  $J_{n_k} \otimes \Gamma_{k,q} \geq 0$ , as  $\Gamma_{k,q} \geq 0$ . Hence  $\hat{A} \circ (J_{n_k} \otimes \Gamma_{k,q}) \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$\hat{A} \circ (J_{n_k} \otimes \Gamma_{k,q}) \circ (\mathcal{M}_{n_k} \otimes J_{k!}) \geq 0.$$

Let  $A_\Gamma$  be the matrix obtained by adding the elements of each block of  $\hat{A} \circ (J_{n_k} \otimes \Gamma_{k,q}) \circ (\mathcal{M}_{n_k} \otimes J_{k!})$ . Then it is easily seen that

$$A_\Gamma = k!P_{k,q}(A).$$

Hence  $P_{k,q}(A) \geq 0$ , and thus we have proved (iii).

Reasoning as in case (iii), with the fact that for positive semidefinite matrices  $A$  and  $B$  we have  $\otimes^k(A+B) \geq \otimes^k A + \otimes^k B$ , gives us (iv). Part (v) follows from the result proved by Lieb [6] and Ando [1], that the map  $A \mapsto \otimes^k A^{1/k}$  is concave and positively homogeneous, hence superadditive—that is, if  $A, B \geq 0$  then

$$\otimes^k(A+B)^{1/k} \geq \otimes^k A^{1/k} + \otimes^k B^{1/k}$$

—and from the reasoning used to prove (iii). ■



COROLLARY 5. Suppose  $n = mk$ , and let each  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  be partitioned as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{pmatrix},$$

in which each  $A_{ij}$  is  $k \times k$ . Then the map  $\Phi_q$  from  $M_n$ , the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices, to  $M_m$  defined by

$$\Phi_q(A) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{per}_q(A_{11}) & \text{per}_q(A_{12}) & \cdots & \text{per}_q(A_{1m}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{per}_q(A_{m1}) & \text{per}_q(A_{m2}) & \cdots & \text{per}_q(A_{mm}) \end{pmatrix}$$

possesses the following properties:

- (i)  $\Phi_q(I_n) = I_m$ .
- (ii)  $\Phi_q(cA) = c^k \Phi_q(A)$  and  $\Phi_q(A^*) = \Phi_q(A)^*$ .
- (iii)  $\Phi_q(A)$  is positive semidefinite if  $A$  is so.
- (iv)  $\Phi_q(A + B) \geq \Phi_q(A) + \Phi_q(B)$  if  $A, B$  are positive semidefinite.
- (v)  $\Phi_q((A + B)^{1/k}) \geq \Phi_q(A^{1/k}) + \Phi_q(B^{1/k})$  if  $A, B$  are positive semidefinite.

*Proof.* This follows from the observation that  $\Phi_q(A)$  is the principal submatrix of the induced matrix  $P_{k,q}(A)$  on fixed indices. Hence there is a positive (i.e., order preserving) linear map  $\phi$  from  $M_{n_k}$  to  $M_m$  such that  $\phi(I_{n_k}) = I_m$  and

$$\Phi_q(A) = \phi(P_{k,q}(A)) \quad \text{for every } A. \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 6. The map  $\Phi_q$  is completely positive in the sense that if  $A_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) are  $n \times n$  matrices such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{p1} & \cdots & A_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_q(A_{11}) & \cdots & \Phi_q(A_{1p}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi_q(A_{p1}) & \cdots & \Phi_q(A_{pp}) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 5 with  $pn$  instead of  $n$ . ■

COROLLARY 7. *The map  $\Phi_q$  possesses the following properties:*

- (vi)  $\Phi_q(A)^* \Phi_q(A) \leq \Phi_q(A^*A)$ .
- (vii)  $\Phi_q(A)^{-1} \leq \Phi_q(A^{-1})$  if  $A$  is positive definite.

*Proof.* (vi) follows from Theorem 6 on observing that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^*A & A^* \\ A & I_n \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Similarly (vii) follows from the inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & I_n \\ I_n & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

for positive definite  $A$ . ■

With  $k = n$ ,  $\Phi_q(A)$  reduces to  $\text{per}_q(A)$ ; hence we have the following consequence of Theorem 6.

COROLLARY 8. *Let  $A, B, C$  be  $n \times n$  matrices. If*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C^* & B \end{bmatrix}$$

*is positive semidefinite, then*

$$|\text{per}_q(C)|^2 \leq \text{per}_q(A) \text{per}_q(B).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 6, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{per}_q(A) & \text{per}_q(C) \\ \overline{\text{per}_q(C)} & \text{per}_q(B) \end{bmatrix} \geq 0;$$

hence its determinant is nonnegative. ■

#### 4. INEQUALITIES OF SCHWARTZ TYPE

Let  $A_i, B_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be  $n \times n$  matrices.

**THEOREM 9.** For any  $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) and  $-1 \leq q \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i B_i^* A_i \right) \right|^2 \leq \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i B_i^* (A_i A_i^*)^{\lambda_i} B_i \right) \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i (A_i^* A_i)^{1-\lambda_i} \right).$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i B_i^* (A_i A_i^*)^{\lambda_i} B_i & \sum_i B_i^* A_i \\ \sum_i A_i^* B_i & \sum_i (A_i^* A_i)^{1-\lambda_i} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_i \begin{bmatrix} B_i^* & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (A_i A_i^*)^{\lambda_i} & A_i \\ A_i^* & (A_i^* A_i)^{1-\lambda_i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_i & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 8 it suffices to prove that

$$\Delta_i := \begin{bmatrix} (A_i A_i^*)^{\lambda_i} & A_i \\ A_i^* & (A_i^* A_i)^{1-\lambda_i} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Let  $|A_i| = (A_i^* A_i)^{1/2}$ . Then there exists a unitary matrix  $U_i$  such that  $A_i = U_i |A_i|$ . Then obviously  $(A_i A_i^*)^{\lambda_i} = U_i |A_i|^{2\lambda_i} U_i^*$  and  $(A_i^* A_i)^{1-\lambda_i} =$

$|A_i|^{2(1-\lambda_i)}$ ; hence

$$\Delta_i = \begin{bmatrix} U_i |A_i|^{\lambda_i} \\ |A_i|^{1-\lambda_i} \end{bmatrix} \left[ |A_i|^{\lambda_i} U_i^*, |A_i|^{1-\lambda_i} \right] \geq 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 10. For  $q \in [-1, 1]$  we have

$$\left| \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i B_i^* A_i \right) \right|^2 \leq \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i B_i^* B_i \right) \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i A_i^* A_i \right).$$

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 9 with  $\lambda_i = 0$  for each  $i$ . ■

REMARK. When  $p = 1$  this inequality can be written as

$$|\text{per}_q(B^*A)|^2 \leq \text{per}_q(B^*B) \text{per}_q(A^*A),$$

which is the *Cauchy-Schwartz inequality* for the  $q$ -permanent and was proved in Bapat and Lal [3].

COROLLARY 11. If  $|A_i| = (A_i^* A_i)^{1/2}$  then

$$\left| \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i A_i \right) \right|^2 \leq \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i |A_i^*| \right) \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i |A_i| \right).$$

In particular, if all  $A_i$  are normal then

$$\left| \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i A_i \right) \right| \leq \text{per}_q \left( \sum_i |A_i| \right).$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from Theorem 9 with  $\lambda_i = 0$  and  $B_i = I_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . ■

COROLLARY 12. *If  $A$  is positive semidefinite and doubly stochastic, then for any  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$*

$$\left| \text{per}_q \left( \frac{1}{n} J_n - \lambda \left( A - \frac{1}{n} J_n \right) \right) \right| \leq \text{per}_q(A).$$

*Proof.* Since  $(1/n)J_n$  is an orthogonal projection such that  $(1/n)AJ_n = (1/n)J_n A = (1/n)J_n$ , it follows that  $A - (1/n)J_n \geq 0$ . Then by Corollary 10 and Corollary 5(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{per}_q \left( \frac{1}{n} J_n - \lambda \left( A - \frac{1}{n} J_n \right) \right) \right| &\leq \text{per}_q \left( \frac{1}{n} J_n + \lambda \left( A - \frac{1}{n} J_n \right) \right) \\ &\leq \text{per}_q \left( \frac{1}{n} J_n + A - \frac{1}{n} J_n \right) \\ &= \text{per}_q(A). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Certain inequalities of Minkowski type in Ando [2] can also be generalized to inequalities for the  $q$ -permanent.

We now apply the previous results to obtain more inequalities for the  $q$ -permanent. The next results partially generalize results of Marcus and Minc [7].

THEOREM 13. *If  $A = ((a_{ij}))$  is a positive semidefinite  $n \times n$  matrix with row sums  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ , then*

$$\text{per}_q(A) \geq \frac{n!!}{s(A)^n} \prod_{i=1}^n |r_i|^2,$$

*provided  $s(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is positive semidefinite, there exists a matrix  $C$  such that  $A = C^*C$ . Suppose  $C = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  where for each  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $x_i^t = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in})$ . Thus

$$a_{ij} = (C^*C)_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^n x_{it} \bar{x}_{jt} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^n x_{it} \bar{x}_{jt} = r_i.$$

Since  $s(A) \neq 0$ , let  $u = [1/\sqrt{s(A)}] \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ , i.e.,  $u_j = [1/\sqrt{s(A)}] \sum_{t=1}^n x_{tj}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It is easily seen that  $\langle u, u \rangle = 1$ . Let us define an  $n \times n$  matrix  $D$  as  $D = (u, u, \dots, u)$ . Then it can easily be shown that

$$\text{per}_q(D^*D) = \text{per}_q(J_n) = n!!.$$

We also have

$$C^*D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(A)}} \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_1 & \cdots & r_1 \\ r_2 & r_2 & \cdots & r_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_n & r_n & \cdots & r_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\text{per}_q(C^*D) = \frac{n!!}{[s(A)]^{n/2}} \prod_{i=1}^n r_i.$$

Now again, by the remark on Corollary 10, we get the required result.  $\blacksquare$

**COROLLARY 14.** *If all the rows of a positive definite  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  are equal to  $k$ , then*

$$\text{per}_q(A) \geq n!! \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^n.$$

*Proof.* Easily follows from Theorem 13.  $\blacksquare$

**THEOREM 15.** *If  $A$  is a positive semidefinite matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then*

$$\text{per}_q(A) \geq n!! \sum_{t=1}^n |\xi_t|^2 \lambda_t^n,$$

where  $\xi_t$  is the product of the coordinates of the unit eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_t$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is positive semidefinite, we have  $A = U^*DU$ , where  $U$  is unitary and  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Let  $D^{1/2} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  with  $\alpha_i = \lambda_i^{1/2}$ . Using Gram's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}_q(A) &= \text{per}_q(U^*D^{1/2}(U^*D^{1/2})^*) \\ &\geq \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Omega(n, n)} \frac{1}{k_1!! \cdots k_n!!} \left| \text{per}_q(U^*D^{1/2}(\mathbf{k})) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

If we retain only those  $n$ -tuples  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  for which one of the  $k_i$ 's is equal to  $n$  and others zero, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}_q(A) &\geq \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{n!!} \left| \text{per}_q\left(U^*D^{1/2}\left(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{n}_{t\text{th}}, 0, \dots, 0\right)\right) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n!!} \sum_{t=1}^n \left| n!! \prod_{j=1}^n u_{tj} \alpha_t^n \right|^2 \\ &= n!! \sum_{t=1}^n |\xi_t|^2 \lambda_t^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. ■

**THEOREM 16.** *If  $A$  is a positive semidefinite matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then*

$$\text{per}_q(A) \geq n!! \sum_{t=1}^n |\xi_t|^2 \lambda_t^n + |\text{per}_q(U)|^2 \det A,$$

where the  $\xi_t$ 's are defined as in the above theorem.

*Proof.* In addition to the  $n$ -tuples for which one of the  $k_i$ 's is equal to  $n$  and others zero, we also retain on the RHS of (8) the  $n$ -tuple  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Then (8) yields the required result. ■

*I thank Professor R. B. Bapat for bringing reference [2] to my attention.*

## REFERENCES

- 1 T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps among positive definite matrices and its application to Hadamard products, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 26:203–241 (1979).
- 2 T. Ando, Inequalities for permanents, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 10:18–36 (1981).
- 3 R. B. Bapat and A. K. Lal, Inequalities for the  $q$ -permanent, Second Conference of the International Linear Algebra Society (Lisbon, 1992), *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 197/198:397–409 (1994).
- 4 M. Bożejko and R. Speicher, An example of a generalized Brownian motion, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 137:519–531 (1991).
- 5 John W. Bunce, The Binet-Cauchy theorem and inequalities for the Schur power matrix, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 30:5–11 (1991).
- 6 E. H. Lieb, Convex trace functions and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson conjecture, *Adv. Math.* 11:267–288 (1973).
- 7 M. Marcus and H. Minc, Generalized matrix functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 116:316–329 (1965).
- 8 R. Merris, The permanental dominance conjecture, in *Current Trends in Matrix Theory* (Frank Uhlig and Bob Grone, Eds.), Elsevier, 1987, pp. 213–223.