# Codes from Veronese and Segre Embeddings and Hamada's Formula

## S. P. Inamdar and N. S. Narasimha Sastry

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 8th Mile, Mysore Road, Bangalore 560059, India E-mail: inamdar@isibang.ac.in, nsastry@isibang.ac.in

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received February 10, 2000; published online August 21, 2001

In this article we study the codes given by l hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}_q^n$  to obtain a new formula for the dimension of codes given by (n-l) flats. We also obtain a new formula for the dimension of the vth order generalized Reed-Muller code and describe the code given by the hyperplane intersections of the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{P}_q^n \times \mathbb{P}_q^m$ . © 2001 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This article grew out of our attempt to understand the methods of [6] in the context of Veronese and Segre embeddings of projective spaces over finite fields.

Let  $q=p^e$ , p a prime, and P denote the n dimensional projective space over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The zero set in P of a homogeneous polynomial of degree l over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is called a l hypersurface in P. Let k be a field of characteristic p. Let  $C_k^n(l,q)$  denote the subspace of  $k^P$  spanned by the characteristic functions of l hypersurfaces in P. Our main results give a basis for  $C_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n(l,q)$  consisting of monomial functions (Theorem 2.5), its cardinality (Theorem 2.13) and therefore the dimension of  $C_k^n(l,q)$ .

Let  $\tilde{C}_k^n(l,q)$  denote the subspace of  $k^P$  spanned by the characteristic functions of l flats in P. Clearly,  $C_k^n(l,q) = \tilde{C}_k^n(n-l,q)$  for l=0,1. We prove this equality for all  $l \le n$  (Theorem 3.3). Therefore, Theorem 2.13 provides an alternative to the well-known Hamada's formula [4, Theorem 1]. This identification also follows from recent results of M. Bardoe and P. Sin and we thank P. Sin for pointing this and sending us a copy of [2]. Apart from a conceptually different approach, our formula is also simpler. See Remarks 3.5 and 3.6. In Appendix, we use our formula to write certain explicit formulae. See [1, Corollary 5.7.5, pp. 186] for the words of minimum weights of these codes and [2] for their PSL(n+1,q) module structure.

[3] discusses words of minimum weight of their duals and a reformulation of Hamada's formula.

In Section 4, we give a new formula for the dimension of the  $\nu$ th order generalized Reed–Muller code (Theorem 4.1). In Section 5, we describe the code over k generated by the characteristic functions of intersections of the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{P}_q^n \times \mathbb{P}_q^m$  in  $\mathbb{P}_q^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$  with hyperplanes (Theorem 5.1).

### 2. THE *l* HYPERSURFACE CODE

Let  $R = \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, ..., X_n]$ . For any graded ring S, we denote by  $S_t$  its  $t^{\text{th}}$  graded piece. The zero set of an element in  $R_1$  in P is also the zero set of its  $l^{\text{th}}$  power. Therefore  $C_k^n(l,q)$  contains the code generated by the hyperplanes of P and thus the all one vector 1. Hence  $C_k^n(l,q) = k \mathbf{1} \oplus D_k^n(l,q)$  where  $D_k^n(l,q)$  is the k span of the characteristic functions of complements of l hypersurfaces in P. If  $f \in R_l$ , then  $f^{q-1}$  defines the characteristic function of the complement of the l hypersurface defined by f.

Let  $T = \mathbb{F}_q[Z_m | m \in R_l$ , m a monomial]. We denote by  $\varphi_l$  the  $l^{\text{th}}$  Veronese homomorphism from T to R defined by  $\varphi_l(Z_m) = m$  and  $\varphi_l(\lambda) = \lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$  (See [5, pp. 23]). Linear forms in T correspond to l forms in R under  $\varphi_l$ . Thus the characteristic function of the complement of a l hypersurface in P is given by  $\varphi_l(h^{q-1})$  for some  $h \in T_1$ . Thus  $D_k^n(l,q)$  is spanned by functions on P defined by elements of the form  $\varphi_l(h^{q-1})$ ,  $h \in T_1$ . Further, the  $\mathbb{F}_q$  span  $T_{q-1}^{\dagger}$  of  $\{h^{q-1}: h \in T_1\}$  has a basis consisting of monomials  $Z_{m_0}^{a_0} \cdots Z_{m_r}^{a_r}$  of degree (q-1) such that the multinomial coefficient  $\binom{q-1}{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r}$  is not divisible by p. Thus,

PROPOSITION 2.1.  $D_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n(l,q)$  consists of functions on P defined by elements of  $\varphi_l(T_{q-1}^{\dagger})$ . Therefore,  $D_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n(l,q)$  has a monomial basis.

A monomial in  $R_{l(p-1)}$  can be written as a product of (p-1) monomials in  $R_l$ . Therefore we have

Lemma 2.2. The map  $\varphi_l$  induces a surjection from the vector space  $T_{p-1}$  onto  $R_{l(p-1)}$ .

For an integer  $a_i$ , let  $a_i = \sum a_{i,j} p^j$  denote its *p*-adic expression.

DEFINITION 2.3. We denote by  $S_{n,e}^{l,r}$  the set of monomials  $X^a = X_0^{a_0} \cdots X_n^{a_n}$  of degree (l-r)(q-1) such that there exist integers  $1 \le r_1, ..., r_{e-1} \le l$  such that (i)  $\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j \ge e-1} a_{i,j} p^{j-e+1} = p(l-r) - r_{e-1}$  and (ii)  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,j} = pr_{j+1} - r_j$  for all  $0 \le j \le e-2$  with  $r_0 = l-r$ . In this case, we say that  $(r_0, r_1, ..., r_{e-1})$  is the associated tuple of  $X^a$ .

Lemma 2.4.  $X^a \in S_{n,e}^{l,0}$  if and only if there exist monomials  $X^b \in R_{l(p-1)}$  and  $X^c \in S_{n,e-1}^{l,0}$  such that  $X^a = (X^b)^{p^{e-1}} X^c$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X^a = X_0^{a_0} \cdots X_n^{a_n} \in S_{n,e}^{l,0}$  with associated tuple  $(l, r_1, ..., r_{e-1})$ . Choose integers  $b_i$  such that  $lp - l = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i$  with  $0 \le b_i \le \sum_{j \ge e-1} a_{i,j} p^{j-e+1}$ . Let  $X^c = X^a / (\prod (X_i^{b_i})^{p^{e-1}}) = X_0^{c_0} \cdots X_n^{c_n}$ .

Then,  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j \ge e-1} c_{i,j} p^{j-e+1} = l - r_{e-1}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j \ge e-2} c_{i,j} p^{j-e+2} = lp - r_{e-2}$ . Since  $c_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$  for  $0 \le j \le e-2$ , we have  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i,j} = r_{j+1} p - r_{j}$  for every  $0 \le j \le e-3$ . Hence  $X^{e} \in S_{n,e-1}^{n}$  with associated tuple  $(l, r_{1}, ..., r_{e-2})$ .

every  $0 \le j \le e - 3$ . Hence  $X^c \in S_{n,e-1}^{l,0}$  with associated tuple  $(l, r_1, ..., r_{e-2})$ . Conversely, let  $X^b = X_0^{b_0} \cdots X_n^{b_n} \in R_{l(p-1)}$ ,  $X^c = X_0^{c_0} \cdots X_n^{c_n} \in S_{n,e-1}^{l,0}$  with associated tuple  $(r_0, ..., r_{e-2})$  and  $X^a = X^c(X^b)^{p^{(e-1)}} = X_0^{a_0} \cdots X_n^{a_n}$ . Since  $\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j \ge e-2} c_{i,j} p^{j-e+2} = lp - r_{e-2}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j \ge e-1} c_{i,j} p^{j-e+2} = rp$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^n c_{i,e-2} = (l-r) \ p - r_{e-2}$  for some  $0 \le r \le l-1$ . Also,  $\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j \ge e-1} a_{i,j} p^{j-e+1} = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j \ge e-1} c_{i,j} p^{j-e+1} + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i = lp - (l-r)$ . Moreover,  $a_{i,j} = c_{i,j}$  for  $j \le e-2$ . Hence  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,j} = r_{j+1} p - r_j$  for  $0 \le j \le e-3$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,e-2} = (l-r) \ p - r_{e-2}$ . Thus  $X^a \in S_{n,e}^{l,0}$  with associated tuple  $(r_0, ..., r_{e-2}, l-r)$ .

THEOREM 2.5.  $C^n_{\mathbb{F}_q}(l,q)$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_q$  span of 1 and the functions on P defined by elements of  $S^{l,0}_{n,e}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M \in T_{q-1}^{\dagger}$  be a monomial. Then there exist monomials  $M_0, ..., M_{e-1}$  in  $T_{p-1}$  such that  $M = \prod_{j=0}^{e-1} (M_j)^{p^j}$  (See [6, p. 357].) Therefore,  $\varphi_I(M) = \prod_{j=0}^{e-1} (\varphi_I(M_j))^{p^j}$ . Now Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 imply

$$S_{n,e}^{l,0} = \{ \varphi_l(M) \mid M \in T_{q-1}^{\dagger}, M \text{ a monomial} \}.$$

Proposition 2.1 now proves the theorem.

We now determine distinct functions on P given by elements of  $S_{n,e}^{l,0}$ . Let I be the ideal in R generated by  $X_i^q - X_i$  for  $0 \le i \le n$  and  $\prod_{i=0}^n (1 - X_i^{q-1})$ . Then R/I is the ring of functions on P.

Lemma 2.6 [6, Lemma 4]. Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, ..., Y_N]$  be a polynomial having degree at most q-1 in each of the variables. If f vanishes on  $\mathbb{F}_q^{N+1}$  then f is the zero polynomial.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let  $S_{n,e}^{l,r}(q-1)$  denote the subset of  $S_{n,e}^{l,r}$  consisting of elements all of whose exponents are at most q-1.

PROPOSITION 2.8. For  $1 \le l \le n$ ,  $S_{n,e}^{l,r}$  and  $S_{n,e}^{l,r+1} \cup S_{n,e}^{l,r}(q-1)$  define the same set of functions on P.

*Proof.* Since  $S_{n,e}^{l,l-1} = S_{n,e}^{l,l-1}(q-1)$ , we assume that  $r \le l-2$ . Let  $X^a = X_0^{a_0} \cdots X_n^{a_n}$  be an element of  $S_{n,e}^{l,r} \setminus S_{n,e}^{l,r}(q-1)$  with associated tuple  $(r_0, ..., r_{e-1})$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a_0 \ge q$ . Then the monomials  $X^b = X^a/X_0^{q-1}$  and  $X^a$  define the same function on P. We prove that  $X^b \in S_{n,e}^{l,r+1}$ .

Case 1.  $a_{0,j} = p-1$  for  $0 \le j \le e-1$ . In this case,  $r_1 \ge 2$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,0} = pr_1 - (l-r) \ge p-1$  and  $(l-r) \ge 2$ . Similarly,  $r_j \ge 2$  for all  $1 \le j \le e-1$ . Thus  $X^b \in S_{n,e}^{l,r+1}$  with associated tuple  $(r_0-1,...,r_{e-1}-1)$ .

Case 2.  $a_{0,j} < p-1$  for some  $j \le e-1$ . Let  $0 \le t \le e-1$  be the smallest integer such that  $a_{0,t} < p-1$ . As before,  $r_j \ge 2$  for all  $j \le t$  and  $b_{0,j} = 0$  for all  $j \le t-1$ ,  $b_{0,t} = a_{0,t} + 1$ ,  $b_{0,j} = a_{0,j}$  for all  $t < j \le e-1$ . Also,  $\sum_{j \ge e} b_{0,j} p^{j-e+1} = (\sum_{j \ge e} a_{0,j} p^{j-e+1}) - p$ . Thus  $X^b \in S_{n,e}^{l,r+1}$  with associated tuple  $(r_0 - 1, ..., r_t - 1, r_{t+1}, ..., r_{e-1})$ .

We now produce for every  $X^b$  in  $S_{n,e}^{l,r+1}$  an element of  $S_{n,e}^{l,r}$  which defines the same function as  $X^b$  on P. Let  $(s_0, ..., s_{e-1})$  be the associated tuple of  $X^b$  and t be the smallest integer such that  $p^t \not\mid b_i$  for some i. We assume without loss of generality that  $b_0$  is not divisible by  $p^t$ . We prove that  $X^b X_0^{q-1} \in S_{n,e}^{l,r}$ . Let  $X^a = X^b X_0^{q-1}$ . For  $1 \le j \le \min\{t, e-1\}$ , we have  $s_i < (l-1)$  since  $ps_{i+1} - s_i = 0$  and  $s_0 \le l$ .

Case 1.  $t \ge e-1$ . In this case  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i,j} = a_{0,j} = p-1$  for all j < e-1. Thus  $X^a \in S_{n,e}^{l,r}$  with associated tuple  $(s_0 + 1, ..., s_{e-1} + 1)$ .

Case 2.  $t \le e-2$ . We have  $a_{0, j} = p-1$  for all  $j \le t-1$ ,  $a_{0, t} = b_{0, t}-1$  and  $a_{0, j} = b_{0, j}$  for t < j < e. Thus  $X^a \in S_{n, e}^{l, r}$  with associated tuple  $(s_0 + 1, ..., s_t + 1, s_{t+1}, ..., s_{e-1})$ .

Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.8 imply

Corollary 2.9.  $\bigcup_{r=0}^{l-1} S_{n,e}^{l,r}(q-1)$  is a basis for  $D_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n(l,q)$ .

DEFINITION 2.10. Let  $\alpha$  and j be positive integers and let  $N_{i\alpha-j,n}$  denote the number of monomials of degree  $i\alpha-j$  in (n+1) variables with all exponents less than  $\alpha$ .

PROPOSITION 2.11. For positive integers  $\alpha$  and j,

$$N_{i\alpha-j,\,n} = \sum_{r\,=\,0}^{i\,-\,1}\,(\,-\,1\,)^r \binom{n+1}{r} \binom{n+i\alpha-j-r\alpha}{n}\,.$$

*Proof.* If  $a_i = k_i \alpha + r_i$  with  $k_i \geqslant 0$ ,  $0 \leqslant r_i \leqslant \alpha - 1$ , then  $X_0^{a_0} \cdots X_n^{a_n} = (X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_n^{k_n})^{\alpha} X_0^{r_0} \cdots X_n^{r_n}$ . Thus, a degree  $(s\alpha - j)$  monomial is uniquely a product of the  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$  power of a monomial of degree (s-r) and a monomial of degree  $(r\alpha - j)$  whose exponents are less than  $\alpha$ . Further  $\binom{n+r}{r}$  is the

number of monomials of degree r in (n+1) variables. Hence for  $1 \le s \le i$ , we have

$$\binom{n+s\alpha-j}{n} = \sum_{r=1}^{s} \binom{n+s-r}{n} N_{r\alpha-j,n}.$$

Solution to this set of equations in variables  $N_{r\alpha-j,n}$  is unique due to the invertibility of the matrix A whose (s, r)th entry is  $\binom{n+s-r}{n}$  for  $s \ge r$  and 0 otherwise. Thus to check the formula, we need to prove that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{i-1} (-1)^r \binom{n+1}{r} \binom{n+i\alpha-j-r\alpha}{n}$$

$$= \binom{n+i\alpha-j}{n} - \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \binom{n+i-r}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} (-1)^t \binom{n+1}{t} \binom{n+r\alpha-j-t\alpha}{n}.$$

We compare the coefficients of  $\binom{n-j+m\alpha}{n}$  for every  $1 \le m \le i$ . For m=i, the coefficient on both sides is 1. For  $1 \le m \le i-1$ , the coefficient of  $\binom{n-j+m\alpha}{n}$  on the left side is  $(-1)^{i-m}\binom{n+1}{i-m}$ . The coefficient on the right side of the equation is  $-\sum_{t=0}^{i-1-m}(-1)^t\binom{n+1}{t}\binom{n+i-t-m}{n}$ . So we need to prove that  $\sum_{t=0}^{i-m}(-1)^t\binom{n+1}{t}\binom{n+i-t-m}{n} = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{t=0}^{i-m}(-1)^t\binom{n+1}{t}\prod_{r=1}^n(r+i-t-m) = 0$ . That is, u=i-m is a root of

$$\sum_{t=0}^{u} (-1)^{t} {n+1 \choose t} \prod_{r=1}^{n} (X+r-t).$$

We can assume that  $u \le n+1$ , since  $\binom{n+1}{t} = 0$  for all t > n+1. Also, for  $u+1 \le t \le n+1$ , u+r=t for  $1 \le r \le n$ . Thus, u is a root of  $\sum_{t=u+1}^{n+1} (-1)^t \binom{n+1}{t} \prod_{r=1}^n (X+r-t)$ . Therefore, it is enough to show that u is a root of

$$P_n(X) = \sum_{t=0}^{n+1} (-1)^t \binom{n+1}{t} \prod_{r=1}^n (X+r-t).$$

However,  $P_n(X)$  is the zero polynomial since the coefficient of  $X^{n-h}$  in  $P_n(X)$  is a linear combination of sums  $\sum_{t=0}^{n+1} t^g (-1)^t \binom{n+1}{t}$  for  $0 \le g \le h$  and each of these sums is zero (by induction on g).

COROLLARY 2.12. The cardinality of  $S_{n,e}^{l,r}(q-1)$  is

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_{e-1} \leq l \\ r_0 = r_n = l - r}} \prod_{j=0}^{e-1} \sum_{t=0}^{r_{j+1}-1} (-1)^t \binom{n+1}{t} \binom{n+pr_{j+1} - r_j - tp}{n}.$$

*Proof.* For  $X^a$  in  $S_{n,e}^{l,r}(q-1)$  with associated tuple  $(r_0, ..., r_{e-1})$ , we have  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,j} = pr_{j+1} - r_j$  for  $0 \le j \le e-1$  with  $1 \le r_1, ..., r_{e-1} \le l$  and  $r_0 = r_e = l - r$ . The corollary now follows from the uniqueness of the *p*-adic expression of  $a_i$  and Proposition 2.11 with  $\alpha = p$ .

Corollaries 2.9 and 2.12 imply:

THEOREM 2.13. The dimension of  $C_k^n(l,q)$  is

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_{e-1} \leq l \\ r_0 = r_e = i}} \prod_{j=0}^{e-1} \sum_{t=0}^{r_{j+1}-1} (-1)^t \binom{n+1}{t} \binom{n+pr_{j+1}-r_j-pt}{n}.$$

Remark 2.14. If l=1, the dimension is  $1+({p-1+n\choose n})^e$ . Since  $C_k^n(1,q)$  is the hyperplane code, above formula thus agrees with the known formula.

#### 3. THE IDENTIFICATION

In this section, we identify the code given by l hypersurfaces with the one given by (n-l) flats in P. This identification generalizes Remark 2.14 and provides an alternative to Hamada's formula.

For an integer  $a=\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}a_ip^i$ , with  $0\leqslant a_i\leqslant p-1$  we define [a]=a,  $[pa]=pa-a_{e-1}(q-1)=a_{e-1}+a_0p+\cdots+a_{e-2}p^{e-1}$ , and  $[p^ja]=[p[p^{j-1}a]]$  for  $2\leqslant j\leqslant e-1$ . Note that the coefficient of  $p^i$  in the p-adic expression of  $[p^ja]$  is  $a_l$  where l+j=i mod(e). For  $X^a=X_0^{a_0}\cdots X_n^{a_n}$ , we write  $X^{[p^ja]}$  for  $X_0^{[p^ja_0]}\cdots X_n^{[p^ja_n]}$ . If  $X^a\in S^{l,r}_{n,e}(q-1)$  with associated tuple  $(r_0=l-r,\ r_1,\ ...,\ r_{e-1})$  then,  $X^{[pa]}\in S^{l,l-r_{e-1}}_{n,e}$  with associated tuple  $(r_{e-1},\ r_0,\ ...,\ r_{e-2})$ . For  $\alpha\in \mathbb{F}_q$ , we have  $\alpha^{[p^ja]}=\alpha^{p^ja}$ , thus  $X^{[p^ja]}$  and  $X^{p^ja}$  define the same function on  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$ .

By Proposition 2.8,  $S = \bigcup_{r=0}^{l-1} S_{n,e}^{l,r}(q-1)$  is a basis for  $D_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n(l,q)$ . Let B denote the subset of  $D_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n(l,q)$  consisting of polynomials  $\sum_{j=0}^{e-1} \alpha^{p^j} X^{[p^j a]}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $X^a \in S$ . Note that every element of B takes values in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Proposition 3.1. *B* spans  $D_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(l,q)$ .

*Proof.* Let V denote the  $\mathbf{F}_p$  span of B. We check that for  $X^a \in S$ , the dimension of the  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -span of  $\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{e-1} \alpha^{p^j} X^{{\mathbb{F}}^{p^j}a}\right\} \mid \alpha \in {\mathbb{F}}_q$  is the cardinality t of  $\left\{X^{{\mathbb{F}}^{p^j}a}\right\} \mid 0 \leqslant j \leqslant e-1$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p}(V) = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_q}(D^n_{{\mathbb{F}}_q}(l,q))$  and  $D^n_{{\mathbb{F}}_p}(l,q) = V$ .

Since the function  $X^a$  on  $\mathbf{F}_q^{n+1}$  is same as  $X^{\lceil p'a \rceil} = X^{p'a}$ , it takes values in  $\mathbf{F}_{p'}$ . Let  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_t$  be a basis of  $\mathbf{F}_{p'}$  over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  and  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$  be a preimage of  $\alpha_i$  under the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_{p'}$ . Since the  $\mathbf{F}_p$  linear map  $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha^p, ..., \alpha^{p'-1})$  from  $\mathbb{F}_{p'} \to (\mathbb{F}_{p'})^t$  is injective, it takes a  $\mathbb{F}_p$  basis of

 $\mathbb{F}_{p^t}$  to a linearly independent set. Therefore the set  $\{\sum_{j=0}^{e-1} \beta_i^{p^j} X^{[p^ja]} = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \alpha_i^{p^j} X^{[p^ja]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  is linearly independent.

For convenience, we state a theorem of Delsarte; see for example [1, Theorem 5.7.3, Example 5.7.2, pp. 187–188].

PROPOSITION 3.2. The  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -span of the incidence matrix of the design of points versus (n-l) flats of P consists of functions on P defined by the polynomials  $p(X_0,...,X_n) = \sum_{l_0,\,l_1,...,\,l_n} d(l_0,...,l_n) \, X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_n^{l_n}$  in  $\bigoplus_{l=1}^\infty R_{l(q-1)}$  such that  $0 \leq l_i \leq q-1$ , and for every  $0 \leq j \leq e-1$ 

- 1.  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} [p^{j}l_{i}] \leq l(q-1)$ .
- 2.  $d([p^{j}l_{0}], ..., [p^{j}l_{n}]) = (d(l_{0}, ..., l_{n}))^{p^{j}}$ .

Theorem 3.3.  $C_k^n(l, q) = \tilde{C}_k^n(n - l, q)$ .

*Proof.* (A) We prove that  $C_k^n(l,q) \subseteq \tilde{C}_k^n(n-l,q)$ . See also [1, Theorem 5.7.7, Exercise 5.7.2, pp. 190–192] for l=2. It is enough to prove that  $D_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(l,q) \subseteq \tilde{C}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(n-l,q)$ . The set B spans  $D_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(l,q)$  by Proposition 3.1. Since each element of B satisfies conditions of Proposition 3.2, inclusion follows.

(B) We show  $C_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(l,q) \supseteq \widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n(n-l,q)$  by induction on l. An l hypersurface which is a union of hyperplanes is called a *monomial l hypersurface*. For  $1 \le r \le l-1$ , the zero set of a monomial of degree r is also the zero set of a monomial of degree l. Thus a monomial l hypersurface under a change of variables is the zero set of a monomial of degree at most l.

We claim that the characteristic function  $\chi_L$  of any (n-l) flat L in P can be written as a  $\mathbb{F}_p$  linear combination of characteristic functions of monomial l hypersurfaces all of whose irreducible components contain L.

For l=1, the statement is obvious. We now assume by way of induction that the statement is true for (n-r) flats with  $r \le l-1$ . Thus the characteristic function of any (n-r) flat is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$  linear combination of characteristic functions of monomial l hypersurfaces all of whose irreducible components contain L.

Any (n-l) flat L can be written as an intersection of a hyperplane H and a (n-l+1) flat L' such that  $L' \not\subseteq H$ . Thus,  $\chi_L = \chi_{L'} + \chi_H - \chi_{L' \cup H}$ . If  $\chi_{L'} = \sum a_i \chi_{P_i}$ , with each  $P_i$  a monomial (l-1) hypersurface and  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$  then  $P_i \cup H$  is a monomial l hypersurface and  $\chi_{L' \cup H} = \sum a_i \chi_{P_i \cup H}$ . Thus the claim.

Now Theorems 2.5 and 3.3 yield

COROLLARY 3.4. If  $k \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\tilde{C}_k^n(n-l,q)$  is generated by monomial functions.

*Remark* 3.5. Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 are some of the consequences of much stronger results of Bardoe and Sin which describe all GL(n+1)

submodules of  $k^P$  using representation theory (see [2, Lemma 5.2 and Sect. 8]). However, our methods are different and elementary.

Remark 3.6. We note that unlike Hamada's formula, for fixed l and e, the number of terms in the formula of Theorem 2.13 is independent of n. Thus, asymptotically for fixed values of l and e, our formula is a simpler alternative to Hamada's formula.

When q = p, Theorems 2.13 and 3.3 imply

THEOREM 3.7. The dimension of  $\tilde{C}_k^n(n-l, p)$  is

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{t} \binom{n+1}{t} \binom{n+ip-i-tp}{n}.$$

Remark 3.8. When q=p, the only GL(n+1,p) submodules of  $k^P$  are  $\tilde{C}_k^n(l,p)$  for  $0 \le l \le n$  together with the complement of k.1 in them; see for example [2, Theorem A]. Thus taking orthogonal complements with respect to Hamming metric on  $k^P$  induces an isomorphism between  $\tilde{C}_k^n(l,p)/\tilde{C}_k^n(l+1,p)$  and  $\tilde{C}_k^n(n-l,p)/\tilde{C}_k^n(n-l+1,p)$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{C}_{k}^{n}(n-l, p) \simeq k\mathbf{1} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{l} \tilde{C}_{k}^{n}(l-i, p)/\tilde{C}_{k}^{n}(l-i+1, p).$$

Thus Theorem 3.7 can also be obtained using above isomorphism and Hamada's formula for  $\tilde{C}_k^n(l-i,p)/\tilde{C}_k^n(l-i+1,p)$ .

#### 4. GENERALIZED REED-MULLER CODES

In this section we use Proposition 2.11 to obtain a formula for the dimension of the  $v^{\text{th}}$  order generalized Reed-Muller code  $R_{\mathbb{F}_q}(v, n+1)$ . Recall that  $R_{\mathbb{F}_q}(v, n+1)$  is the subspace of the space of functions from  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by elements of  $\bigoplus_{m=0}^v R_m$ .

Theorem 4.1. Let  $v = i_0 q - j_0$  with  $0 \le j_0 \le q - 1$ , then

$$\dim(R_{\mathbb{F}_q}(v, n+1)) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{i_0} \sum_{j=j_r}^{q-1} \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} (-1)^t \binom{n+1}{t} \binom{n+rq-j-tq}{n},$$

where  $j_r = 0$  if  $r < i_0$  and  $j_{i_0} = j_0$ .

*Proof.* The factor 1 corresponds to degree zero functions. For  $1 \le m \le v$ , we write m = rq - j with  $1 \le r \le i_0, j_r \le j \le q - 1$  and use Proposition 2.11 with  $\alpha = q$  to compute the number of monomials of degree m all of whose exponents are at most q-1.

Remark 4.2. Note that for fixed q and v, number of terms in the above formula is independent of n unlike in [1, Theorem 5.4.1, p. 154].

## 5. SEGRE EMBEDDINGS

Let  $R = \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, ..., X_n]$ ,  $T = \mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, ..., Y_m]$  and  $S = \mathbb{F}_q[Z_{ij} | 0 \le i \le n, 0 \le j \le m]$ . The Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$  is defined by the map

$$(a_0, ..., a_n, b_0, ..., b_m) \mapsto (a_i b_j),$$

where  $a_i b_j$  occur in the lexicographic order on (i, j) (See [5, pp. 25]). Let  $S_k^{n,m}(q)$  (resp.  $\tilde{S}_k^{n,m}(q)$ ) denote the k span of characteristic functions of the intersections of Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{P}_q^n \times \mathbb{P}_q^m$  in  $\mathbb{P}_q^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$  with the hyperplanes (resp. complements of hyperplanes). The all one vector 1 on the Segre embedding is in  $S_k^{n,m}(q)$ . Therefore,  $S_k^{n,m}(q) = k\mathbf{1} \oplus \tilde{S}_k^{n,m}(q)$ . Let  $\tilde{D}_{k}^{n}(n-1,q)$  denote the k span of the characteristic functions of the complement of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}_{a}^{n}$ .

Proposition 5.1.  $\tilde{S}_k^{n,m}(q) = \tilde{D}_k^n(n-1,q) \otimes \tilde{D}_k^m(m-1,q)$  and so has dimension  $(\binom{n+p-1}{p-1})\binom{m+p-1}{p-1})^e$ .

*Proof.* We note that restriction of functions on  $\mathbb{P}_q^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$  to the Segre embedding is given by the graded ring homomorphism  $s: S \to R \otimes T$ defined by  $Z_{ij} \mapsto X_i Y_j$ . Thus,  $S_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n,m}(q)$  consists of functions in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X_0,...,X_n,Y_0,...,Y_m]$  which arise as restrictions of elements of  $S_{q-1}^{\dagger}$ . For a monomial M in S, we write  $s(M) = s(M)_X s(M)_Y$  where  $s(M)_X \in R$  and  $s(M)_Y \in T$ . Then,  $M \in S_{q-1}^{\dagger}$  if and only if  $s(M)_X \in R_{q-1}^{\dagger}$  and  $s(M)_Y \in T_{q-1}^{\dagger}$ . This proves that  $\tilde{S}_k^{n,m}(q) = \tilde{D}_k^n(n-1,q) \otimes \tilde{D}_k^m(m-1,q)$ . The dimension follows from Remark 2.14.

*Remark* 5.2. When n=m=1, the embedding of  $\mathbb{P}_q^1 \times \mathbb{P}_q^1$  in  $\mathbb{P}_q^3$  is the non-degenerate quadric given by  $Z_{00}Z_{11} - Z_{01}Z_{10}$ . In this case our formula (which gives the dimension to be  $p^{2e} + 1$ ) agrees with the known formula. See [6, Example 1.2, p. 355].

## **APPENDIX**

In this section we use Theorem 2.13 and Maple to compute the dimension  $c_k^n(l,q)$  of  $C_k^n(l,q)$ , the code given by (n-l) flats in  $\mathbb{P}_q^n$ .

$$c_k^n(1, p^e) = 1 + \binom{n+p-1}{n}^e$$

$$c_k^4(2, p^2) = 1 + \frac{1}{36} p^2(p+1)^2 (9p^4 - 4p^3 + 8p^2 - 4p + 9)$$

$$c_k^n(2,4) = 1 + \frac{1}{12}(n+2)(n+1)(3n^2 + n + 6)$$

$$c_k^n(3,4) = \frac{(n+2)}{36}(n^5 + n^4 + 2n^3 + 17n^2 + 15n + 36)$$

$$c_k^n(4,4) = 1 + \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2880} (5n^6 - 11n^5 + 25n^4 + 155n^3 + 210n^2 + 576n + 1440)$$

$$c_k^n(5,4) = 1 + \frac{(n+1)}{302,400} (21n^9 - 91n^8 + 211n^7 + 1169n^6 + 4144n^5 + 4466n^4 + 65,464n^3 + 120,456n^2 + 257,760n + 302,400)$$

$$c_k^n(6,4) = 1 + \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{7,257,600} (15n^{10} - 181n^9 + 1406n^8 - 4986n^7 + 15,911n^6 - 183,549n^5 - 270,916n^4 - 2,409,044n^3 - 3,260,016n^2 - 1,146,240n + 3,628,800)$$

$$c_k^n(2,9) = 1 + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2880} (5n^6 + 90n^5 + 473n^4 + 852n^3 + 1268n^2 + 1632n + 2880)$$

$$c_k^n(3,9) = 1 + \frac{(n+3)(n+2)(n+1)}{3,628,800} (7n^9 + 252n^8 + 2508n^7 + 4998n^6 + 5313n^5 + 45,318n^4 + 157,052n^3 + 327,432n^2 + 364,320n + 604,800)$$

$$c_k^n(4,9) = 1 + \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{4,877,107,200} (3n^{14} + 207n^{13} + 4745n^{12} + 39,111n^{11} + 67,147n^{10} + 35,841n^9 + 3,019,995n^8 + 7,031,853n^7 + 57,976,822n^6 + 128,101,692n^5 + 282,873,560n^4 + 1,024,071,936n^3 + 1,891,398,528n^2 + 2,295,336,960n + 2,438,553,600).$$

#### REFERENCES

- E. F. Assmus, Jr. and J. D. Key, "Designs and Their Codes," Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 103, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1992.
- 2. M. Bardoe and P. Sin, The permutation modules for  $GL(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q)$  acting on  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$ , *J. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- N. Calkin, J. D. Key, and M. J. De Resmini, Minimal weight and dimension formulas for some geometric codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 17 (1999), 105–120.
- N. Hamada, The rank of the incidence matrix of points and d-flats in finite geometries, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I 32 (1968), 381–396.
- J. Harris, "Algebraic Geometry, A First Course," Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1992.
- 6. E. Moorhouse, Some *p*-ranks related to geometric structures, *in* "Proceedings of Conference in Honour of T. G. Ostro on Coding Theory," pp. 353–364, 1996.