

A REVISION OF RISLEY'S ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA RELATING TO THE DARJEELING HILL TRIBES

By ARUN KANTI BHATTACHARYYA

Indian Statistical Institute

SUMMARY. The anthropometric data relating to Bengal Castes and Tribes and Chittagong Hill Tribes collected by Sir H. H. Risley in 1886-88 and published by him in 1891 were scrutinized by Mahalanobis (1933-34) and his opinion was that the only fundamental objection to Risley's data was that the individual figures and averages given by Risley are seriously inconsistent. He concluded that the mistakes had crept in during calculation of averages and indices and in printing and the rectified data are singularly free from mistakes and can be used with safety. Author has scrutinized Risley's data relating to the Darjeeling Hill Tribes and reached similar conclusion. Rectified data which can be used with safety for the Darjeeling Hill Tribes are given in this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sir H. H. Risley collected a huge body of anthropometric data in 1886-88 and published them in 1891. Since then a great deal of controversy has taken place regarding the reliability of these data. Mahalanobis (1933) considered this problem and his opinion was that the only fundamental objection to Risley's data was that the individual figures or averages given by Risley were seriously inconsistent. Mahalanobis (1933, 1934) published the results of a careful scrutiny of Risley's data relating to the tribes and castes of Bengal and of Chittagong Hill tribes. He claimed that the real defect in Risley's data had crept in during the subsequent stages of calculating indices and averages, and of printing, and that practically all the discrepancies in individual measurements and indices could be corrected with certainty, leaving the data singularly free from inconsistencies.

In the present paper, it is proposed to pursue this idea of Professor Mahalanobis and to examine the reliability of Risley's data relating to Darjeeling Hill tribes, so far as this can be done by internal comparisons and checks within the body of the data. The method of scrutiny was broadly similar to that explained by Mahalanobis (1933) in his paper.

2. MATERIAL

Risley's data consist of 11 measurements along with age, district of birth and name of each subject and 10 indices calculated on the basis of measurements. In the present paper, age, 2 measurements viz. stature and weight, height-weight index and the facial angle are not scrutinized.

Table 1 gives the list of characters for which measurements were taken and definition of indices, which are scrutinized in the present paper, along with the symbols used in the text and in the tables.¹

¹Risley's spelling of all names of castes and tribes, his anthropological terminology, which differ in many respects from modern usage, are retained in this paper. All measurements are given in millimetres.

SANKHYĀ : THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS : SERIES B

TABLE 1A. LIST AND SYMBOLS OF CHARACTERS

symbol	character	symbol	character
Nh	nasal height	Fb	minimum frontal breadth
Nw	nasal breadth	Bz	maximum bizygomatic breadth
Bb	bimolar breadth	Hvi	height, vertex to inter-superciliary point
Nm	nasomalar breadth	Hvt	height, vertex to tragus
Cl	cephalic length	Hvc	height, vertex to chin
Cb	cephalic breadth		

TABLE 1B. LIST AND SYMBOLS OF INDICES

symbol	indices	formula	symbol	indices	formula
NI	nasal index	$\frac{Nw}{Nh} \times 100$	VeI	vertico-cephalic index	$\frac{Cb}{Hvc} \times 100$
NmI	nasomalar index	$\frac{Nm}{Bb} \times 100$	VbI	vertico-bimolar index	$\frac{Bb}{Hvc} \times 100$
CI	cephalic index	$\frac{Cl}{Cb} \times 100$	VfI	vertico-frontal index	$\frac{Fb}{Hvc} \times 100$
FzI	fronto-zygomatic index	$\frac{Fb}{Bz} \times 100$	VzI	vertico-bizygomatic index	$\frac{Bz}{Hvc} \times 100$

The material scrutinized in the present paper covers 388 individuals belonging to 8 different tribes of Darjeeling Hills. Bimolar breadth and Nasomalar breadth were not measured for 33 individuals, spread over 5 different tribes, and the Vertico-bimolar and Nasomalar indices are, therefore, not available in such cases. In addition, Risley also gave the average values of the different characters and indices separately for each tribe.

3. THE RESULTS OF SCRUTINY—INDIVIDUAL FIGURES

Professor Mahalanobis in his paper described in detail the procedure followed in scrutinizing the data and classified all possible reasons of discrepancies. He also gave list of symbols used in describing the reason of mistakes and classification of corrections of individual figures. The same method and the same symbols are adopted in this paper. For easy reference, Mahalanobis's list of symbols used for specifying reasons of discrepancies is reproduced in Table 2.

All doubtful figures were carefully scrutinized and corrected. Corrections of individual measurements and indices are listed in Table 3 along with the corresponding probable sources of error. Columns (2) to (5) refer to the specification of individual and character in Risley's volumes. Column (11) shows the nature of discrepancies and cross checks available, if any, for ascertaining that the adopted values are correct. It is here that the symbols listed in Table 2 are used. Column (12) gives the classification of the corrections under the heads (A-1) or (A-2) as defined below.

A REVISION OF RISLEY'S ANTHROPOMLTRIC DATA

TABLE 2. LIST OF SYMBOLS USED FOR DESCRIBING NATURE OF MISTAKES

PM[(r.0)/(1)]	Printing mistake : transposition of (0) and (1)
PM[(0)/(1)]	Printing mistake : (0) used in place of (1)
PM[(9)/(0)]	Printing mistake : (9) interchanged for (0)
PM[a.c.(5)(2)]	Printing mistake : adjoining columns interchanged, (5) for (2)
PM[a.r.(5)(2)]	Printing mistake : adjoining rows interchanged, (5) for (2)
WT[(120)/(127)]	Wrong Table entry under (120) instead of under (127)
WF[a.c.(201)/(183)]	Wrong figure (201) used from adjoining column instead of correct figure (183)
WF[a.r.(201)/(183)]	Wrong figure (201) used from adjoining row instead of correct figure (183)
AM[c.10]	Arithmetical mistake in carrying ten
AM[CT \rightarrow RT]	Arithmetical mistake : corrected total equal to Risley's total
AM[CT = RT]	Arithmetical mistake : corrected total agrees approximately with Risley's total
CO[(212) Hvt(VcI, VfI, VzI); (122) Bm(NmI)]	Cross checks : (212) Hvt agrees with VcI, VfI and VzI; also (122) Bm agrees with NmI
CI[(155) Cb(VcI)]	Cross check : (155) for Cb agrees with VcI.
CI(188)[CT = RT]	Adopting CI(188) the corrected total is identically equal to Risley's total
CI(202)[CT \rightarrow RT]	Adopting CI(202) the corrected total shows better agreement with Risley's total
Cb(145)[CI \neq CI(74.1)]	Retaining Cb (145) no suitable value of CI will yield given CI (74.1)

(A-1) There is no doubt regarding the validity of the corrections. In this category have been placed obvious printing mistakes, and mistakes caused by entering the index-table in the adjoining column or row, where the correction is supported by cross checks.

(A-2) There is practically no doubt regarding the validity of the correction. For example, when the mistake has occurred by a wrong entry in index-table by a not unlikely confusion between figures such as 3 and 8, 1 and 7, etc., and where the correction is supported by cross checks.

It will be seen that no correction is doubtful and all the discrepancies in individual measurements and indices are, however, reconciled with practical certainty.

All discrepancies of -0.1 in individual indices which were caused by arithmetical mistakes in rounding off were corrected but these corrections could not be shown in Table 3. Such discrepancies occurred in 1453, evenly distributed over different tribes and indices, out of 3088 individual indices. But any discrepancy of -0.1 which was caused by any other reason, e.g., printing mistake, wrong table entry etc., were considered, duly corrected and shown in Table 3.

From Table 3, it may be seen that there were only 7 mistakes out of 4202 individual measurements. This gives an incidence rate of 1.67 per thousand figures, which is in general agreement with rates per thousand observed by Professor Mahalanobis for Bengal castes and

SANKHYĀ : THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS : SERIES B

TABLE 3. LIST OF INDIVIDUAL CORRECTIONS

ref. no.	page	tribe	chase- ter and no.	table	Ridley's calen- dary value	adap- tively valued value	discre- pancy value	compon- ent parts	reasons of discrepancy and cross-checks used ^a			classi- fication of cor- rections ^b
									(6)	(7)	(8)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
1	232	Gurungs	VII	I	6	61.6	52.1	+ 0.5	Fs111 Hwy213	WT(I(110)(111)CC(I(111)Fv1);(213)Hrvn		A.2
2*	234	"	Cb	II	8	168	—	+ 20	148	PM(I(6)(4)[C(I(148)CbCL,Vel)] WF(r,(218)(148)CbCL,Vel)] Hrvn(Vel))		A.2
3	233	"	VII	I	17	59.9	51.38	+ 0.5	Fs111 Hwy216	WT(I(110)(111)Fv1);(216)	A.1	
4	"	"	VII	I	24	68.9	66.91	- 10.9	—	PM(a,r,(6)(9)[C(I(111)Fv1); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(216))		A.1
5	"	"	VII	I	26	64.9	64.87	+ 10.1	Bs141 Hwy217	PM(I(6)(4)[C(I(141)Bv1); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(217))	A.1	
6	"	"	Vcl	I	28	62.0	59.58	- 2.4	Chs143 Hwy240	WT(I(149)(113)CC(I(149)Bv1); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(240))	A.2	
7	238	Khasi	FzI	I	4	74.8	74.28	- 0.5	Fb104 Hs140	WT(I(139)(140)CC(I(140)Fv1);(140) Bat(Vz1))	A.1	
8	"	"	Nl	I	6	83.3	82.36	- 0.9	Nw12 Nm15	PM(I(3)(21);Aic(1,0))	A.2	
9	"	"	VII	I	6	45.8	45.41	- 0.4	Fb104 Hwy220	WF(a,r,(169)(10)(10)Fv1);(220)Yv1;	A.1	
10	"	"	Cl	I	7	81.0	81.96	+ 1.0	Chs150 Chs183	WT(I(185)(185)CC(I(185)Chs183); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(221))	A.2	
11	"	"	FsI	I	7	78.1	80.28	+ 2.2	Fh113 Bs112	WT(I(16)(113)CC(I(16)Bs112); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(222))	A.2	
12	"	"	Nml	I	10	163.7	163.77	- 0.9	Nm110 Bb166	PM(I(6)(4)[C(I(163)Nm110); Nm110(Hrvn(Vel))];(223))	A.2	
13	"	"	CJ	I	11	79.3	79.07	+ 0.4	Cb149 Chs187	PM(a,r,(78)(790);Aic(1,0)C(I(149)Cb(Vel)) Ch(I(149)C(I(149)Cb(Vel)) ≠ Cl(78,3))	A.2	
14	237	"	Cl	I	16	82.6	82.41	- 0.2	Chs150 Chs182	PM(I(9)(4)[C(I(150)Chs150);(160)C(I(150)Chs182)]; Wf(I(110)(111)Fv1);(224))	A.2	
15	"	"	Nml	I	22	102.8	101.29	- 7.5	Nm118 Bs107	WT(I(110)(111)Fv1);(225))	A.2	
16	"	"	Val	I	22	70.7	71.71	+ 1.0	Ba142Fv1;V8	WT(I(140)(142)Fv1);(226))	A.1	
17	"	"	Cl	I	24	78.0	68.42	- 9.5	Chs130 Chs190	WT(I(59)(130)CC(I(130)Chs130); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(227))	A.2	
18	"	"	Vcl	I	24	60.7	59.36	- 1.3	Chs130 Hwy210	WT(I(141)(129)CC(I(129)Chs130); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(228))	A.2	
19	"	"	Nl	I	26	72.0	70.0	+ 4.0	Nv38 Nm150	WT(I(60)(150)CC(I(150)Nm150); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(229))	A.2	
20	"	"	VhI	I	27	47.7	46.81	- 0.9	Bs103 Hwy220	WF(r,(227)(229)Fv1);(230)Yv1;	A.1	
21	"	"	Nl	I	29	76.5	77.56	+ 2.1	Ns38 Ns19	WT(I(37)(38); Fs111(Hrvn(Vel))];(231))	A.3	
22	"	"	Nml	I	30	108.0	109.61	+ 0.6	Nm114 Bs114	PM(I(6)(4)[C(I(114)Nm114); Nm114(Hrvn(Vel))];(232))	A.1	
23	240	Lepcha	FzI	I	6	75.4	75.67	+ 0.2	Fs90 Hs131	PM(I(75)(75)CC(I(75)Fs90); Fs90(Hrvn(Vel))];(233))	A.1	
24	"	"	VbI	I	7	62.3	62.80	+ 0.5	Bs113 Hwy214	WT(I(12)(113)CC(I(113)Bs113); Fs113(Hrvn(Vel))];(234))	A.1	
25	"	"	VzI	I	7	62.9	63.08	+ 0.2	Bs135 Hwy214	WT(I(12)(115);(219)Fv1);(235))	A.1	
26	241	"	Nml	I	13	107.1	116.07	+ 9.0	Nm120 Bs112	WT(I(20)(120);(219)Fv1);(236))	A.2	

A REVISION OF RISLEY'S ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

27	"	"	CI	I	14	87.0	88.0	+ 0.6 Cb154 Cl176	WT(1165/[1165];(174/[176])Cf([154]B6[VdA])]	A-2
28	"	"	CI	I	18	83.3	83.87	+ 0.6 Cb154 Cl176	WT(1165/[1165];(174/[176])Cf([154]B6[VdA])]	A-2
29	"	"	CI	I	19	67.2	67.92	+ 0.2 Cb151 Cl176	WT(1165/[1165];(165/[165])Cf([151]B6[VdA])	A-2
30	"	"	CI	I	25	85.6	85.6	+ 0.2 Cb151 Cl176	WT(1165/[1165];(165/[165])Cf([151]B6[VdA])	A-2
31	"	"	VbI	I	26	46.8	47.08	+ 0.3 Bb97 Hvc206	WT(207/[207];(206)Hvc([165/[165]B6[Nm1]);	A-1
32	"	"	FvI	I	24	47.0	44.34	+ 0.3 Fb100 Bb134	DWf(131/[131];(130)Fb([165/[165]B6[VdA]);	A-1
33	"	"	VbI	I	24	47.0	44.34	+ 0.3 Fb100 Bb134	DWf(131/[131];(130)Fb([165/[165]B6[VdA]);	A-1
34	"	"	NI	I	47	72.7	72.22	- 0.6 N39 Nb64	PMf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
35	"	"	FvI	I	61	74.8	74.30	- 0.6 Fb107 Bb144	WT(1143/[1143];(107)Fb([VfD];(144)B6[VdA])	A-2
36	"	"	CI	I	66	85.3	86.24	- 1.0 Cb150 Cl178	WT(1163/[1163];(160)Cf([160]B6[VdA])	A-2
37	248	Limbus	NmI	I	6	110.8	110.28	- 0.5 Nm118 Bb107	FmLc([1108]/(1109);(1109)B6[Nm118];	A-2
38	"	"	VII	I	5	49.8	49.28	- 0.5 Fb103 Hvc209	PMf(8/[8];(8)Hvc([165/[165]B6[VdA]);	A-1
39	"	"	NmI	I	6	104.8	104.42	- 0.4 Nm118 Rhb13	PMf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
40	249	"	VII	I	13	62.8	63.36	+ 0.6 Fb111 Hvc208	WT(1161/[1111];(111)Cf([111]B6[VdA];	A-1
41	"	"	NmI	I	24	111.1	110.14	+ 0.4 Nm110 Bb122	WT(1126/[1126];(112)Cf([111]B6[VdA];	A-2
42	"	"	FvI	I	24	111.1	108.65	+ 4.4 Bb112 Hvc231	WT(1102/[1102];(112)Cf([111]B6[VdA];	A-2
43	"	"	CI	I	25	69.0	69.45	+ 0.6 N39 Nb64	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
44	"	"	VbI	I	27	73.9	74.2	+ 10.5 Cb140 Cl190	PMf([6-([140]/[140])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
45	"	"	VbI	I	27	68.0	67.45	+ 46.83	WT(1101/[1111];(111)Cf([111]B6[VdA]);	A-1
46	"	"	VbI	I	28	45.0	49.65	+ 4.6 Bb111 Hvc224	WT(1122/[1122];(112)Cf([111]B6[VdA]);	A-1
47	260	"	NmI	I	31	111.9	105.26	- 8.0 Nm112 Bb109	WT(1132/[1132];(112)Cf([111]B6[VdA];	A-2
48	"	"	FvI	I	34	74.5	74.28	- 1.2 Fb104 Bb130	CO([164]/[164])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA];	A-2
49	"	"	VII	I	34	47.4	47.84	+ 0.4 Fb102 Bb135	WT(1110/[1110];(111)Cf([111]B6[VdA];	A-2
50	"	"	CI	I	38	91.4	91.32	- 0.1 Cb168 Cl173	WT(1122/[1122];(112)Cf([111]B6[VdA];	A-2
51	"	"	CI	I	37	86.2	86.11	- 0.1 Cb165 Cl180	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-3
52	"	"	CI	I	48	77.4	77.08	- 0.3 Cb148 Cl192	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-3
53	261	"	FvI	I	48	77.4	79.71	- 2.0 Fb110 Bb139	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-3
54	"	"	CI	I	48	66.6	83.24	- 3.4 Cb149 Cl179	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-3
55*	266	Magpie	Bs	I	14	146	113	+ 0.6 Bb113 Hvc231	WTf([6-([145]/[145])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-1
56*	"	"	NmI	I	14	148.1	48.91	+ 7.9 Nm113 Bb101	WTf([6-([145]/[145])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-1
57	"	"	FvI	I	18	108.3	116.83	- 1.4 Fb102 Bb135	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
58	"	"	CI	I	18	77.0	76.05	+ 6.5 Fb139 Cl183	WTf([6-([145]/[145])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
59	"	"	VII	I	25	67.2	70.77	+ 2.8 Fb134 Cl181	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
60	"	"	NmI	I	25	107.8	67.91	- 5.9 Fb139 Cl183	WTf([6-([145]/[145])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-2
61	"	"	VII	I	25	107.8	109.80	+ 3.0 Nm112 Bb105	WTf([6-([144]/[144])Cf([165/[165]B6[VdA])]	A-1

SANKHYĀ : THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS : SERIES B

TABLE 3. LIST OF INDIVIDUAL CORRECTIONS—contd.

sr. no.	page	tribo- ter	charac- ter and no.	Ridley's value	calcu- lated value	adopted value	dise- pancy [6]-[6]	component parts	reasons of discrepancy and cross-checks used*	absolu- tization of cor- rections
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
										(12)
63	297	Mangor	Nml I	27	100.0	111.1	+ 2.1	Nml108 Bb100	WF(r.[114]/(110))/C([100]Bb[Nml])	A-1
64	"	"	Nml I	28	107.5	103.1	- 4.6	Nml108 Bb100	WF(r.[114]/(110))/C([100]Bb[Nml])	A-1
65	"	"	Cl I	28	80.3	80.4	+ 0.1	Ch143 Cl84	PF([6](4)/er WT([147]/(148))	A-1
66	"	"	Nml I	29	100.1	115.15	+ 6.1	Nml114 Bb109	WF(r.[109]/(114))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	A-1
67	"	"	Nml I	30	108.7	104.84	- 3.9	Nml114 Bb103	WT(r.[110]/(109))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	A-1
68*	201	"	Bb II	31	187.1	104.0	- 80.1	—	WT(r.[110]/(109))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	[CT → RT] [A-1]
69	258	"	Nml I	31	110.2	68.32	- 102.8	- 7.4 Nml110 Bb102	WT(r.[111]/(110))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	A-1
70	"	"	Nml I	32	100.8	100.8	+ 0.0	Nml108 Bb102	WT(r.[110]/(110))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	A-1
71	"	"	Nml I	33	100.6	100.7	+ 0.1	Nml108 Bb102	WF(r.[114]/(110))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	A-1
72*	261	"	Nml II	34	283.0	203.3	- 80.3	—	WT([112]/(114))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	[A-1]
73	258	"	Nml I	34	105.6	107.54	+ 1.9	Nml114 Bb103	WT([112]/(114))/C([100]Bb[Vml])	A-1
74	"	"	Cl I	34	88.6	80.68	- 8.0	8.9 Cb142 Cl176	PM([9]/[0], AMe.[10]/C([142]Cf[9]/el))/D([142])	A-2
75	262	Murni	VbI I	6	62.5	53.00	+ 0.5	Bb115 Hve217	WF(r.[114]/(115))/C([115]Bb[Nml]); (217)Hve217, VbI, VbII	A-1
76	"	"	VbI I	6	75.0	76.00	- 0.2	Nml8 Bb100	WF(r.[110]/(108))/C([110]Bb[Nml]); WF(r.[110]/(108))/C([110]Bb[Vml])	A-2
77	"	"	VbI I	6	54.7	54.28	+ 0.4	Bb114 Hve210	WF(r.[111]/(110))/C([110]Bb[Vml])	A-1
78	"	"	Pm I	7	70.2	81.4	+ 2.2	Fm114 Bb140	WT([111]/(110))/C([110]Bb[Vml])	A-2
79	"	"	VbI I	7	45.5	52.6	+ 7.1	—	WT([110]/(110))/C([110]Bb[Vml])	A-2
80	"	"	Nl I	9	72.6	66.07	- 6.4	Nc37 Nl66	WT([6]/(5))/C([121]Bb[Vml])	A-3
81	"	"	Cf I	13	81.3	77.08	- 4.2	Cs148 Cf192	WT([182]/(192))/C([148]Cs[Vml])	A-2
82	"	"	VbI I	13	78.0	61.96	- 26.6	Bb119 Hve239	CC([119]Bb[Nml]); (239)Hve239	A-2
83	"	"	VbII I	13	48.0	48.47	+ 0.5	Fb111 Hve239	WT([110]/(111))/C([111]Fb[Vml])	A-1
84	263	"	VbI I	14	49.7	60.22	+ 0.5	Fb111 Hve231	WT([111]/(111))/C([111]Fb[Vml])	A-1
85	"	"	Cl I	20	86.2	80.66	- 5.6	1.5 Ch160 Cl80	WT([183]/(180))/C([160]Ch[Vml])	A-2
86	"	"	VbI I	21	54.4	54.62	+ 0.2	Bb124 Hve227	PM[e.c.(6544)/(644)]C([124]Bb[Vml]); (227)Hve227, VbI, VbII	A-1
87	"	"	VbI I	23	63.7	57.00	+ 3.3	Bb122 Hve214	WF(r.[110]/(122))/C([122]Bb[Vml]); (214)Hve214, VbI, VbII	A-2
88*	207	"	Hvt II	24	186	—	- 146	—	WT([111]/(111))/C([111]Hvt[Vml])	A-1
89*	"	"	Hvo II	24	263	—	- 203	- 40	WT([111]/(111))/C([111]Hvt[Vml])	A-2
90*	204	"	Pb II	29	183	—	- 103	- 60	WT([111]/(111))/C([111]Pb[Vml])	A-1
91	264	"	Nml I	37	107.0	107.84	+ 0.8	Nml110 Bb102	PM[n.r.(1070)/(1070)]C([102]Bb[Vml])/Bb102	A-2

A REVISION OF RISLEY'S ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

92	265	"	CT	47	77.9	81.8	+ 3.9 Cb148 Cb181	A-2	
93	"	"	Fb1	48	74.3	77.7	+ 3.4 En101 Fb190	A-2	
94	"	"	Nm1	63	168.9	108.7	- 0.7 Nm12 Bb103	A-2	
95	"	"	Cl	1	64	80.8	80.11	- 0.7 Cb145 Cl181	A-2
96	"	"	Fb1	1	60	66.2	76.3	+ 20.1 Fb103 Bz135	A-2
97	"	"	Va1	1	61	63.6	63.87	+ 0.3 Cb145 Hve237	A-1
98	"	"	NI	1	62	70.2	72.34	WT([129.0][237])[Cg149][Cb145];	A-1
99	"	"	NI	1	64	70.1	76.7	WT([135.0][345])[Cg149][Cb145];	A-2
100	271	Newar	Va1	1	69.0	68.0	+ 0.6 Nw23 Nn43	A-2	
101	"	"	Va1	1	65.0	62.23	- 2.8 Bz145 Hve233	A-2	
102	273	Tibetan of E. Himal- ayas	Cl	1	9	84.3	85.85	WT([123.0][231.0][233.0][234.0])[Cg149][Cb145];	A-2
103	"	"	Va1	1	4	32.3	62.38	WT([170.0][140.0][60.0][Va1])	A-2
104	"	"	NI	1	5	71.5	74.60	+ 3.0 Nv38 Nb51	A-2
105	276	"	Va1	1	26	61.7	66.1	+ 4.4 Cb142 Hve230	A-2
106	"	"	Cl	1	36	81.0	80.64	- 0.6 Cb149 Cl185	A-2
107	"	"	Vb1	1	40	81.6	62.5	+ 0.9 Cb149 Cb185	A-2
108	276	"	NI	1	45	69.8	69.64	- 0.2 Nv39 Nv56	A-2
109	"	"	Cl	1	50	69.3	64.3	+ 1.1 Nv39 Nb51	A-2
110	277	"	Vb1	1	61	69.5	68.39	- 0.4 Cb148 Cb185	A-2
111	"	"	VII	1	61	61.8	61.36	+ 0.4 Fb113 Hve230	A-2
112	278	"	NI	1	76	81.1	78.18	- 2.9 Nv43 Nv55	A-2
113	279	"	Va1	1	85	59.1	69.20	+ 0.1 Cb148 Hve230	A-2
114	"	"	Va1	1	96	58.5	61.82	+ 3.3 Cb140 Hve241	A-1
115	"	"	NI	1	96	67.4	67.27	- 0.1 Nv37 Nb55	A-2
116	"	"	NI	1	101	68.9	67.79	- 1.1 Nw40 Nb50	A-1
117	"	"	VII	1	102	62.0	63.11	+ 0.7 Fb111 Hve230	A-2
118	"	"	NI	1	103	64.7	95.12	+ 10.4 Nv43 Nv41	A-1
119	"	"	Vb1	1	103	78.3	78.41	+ 0.1 Fb109 Bz139	A-1
120	"	"	Vb1	1	104	65.0	60.00	- 6.0 Bb113 Hve236	A-1
121	"	"	Va1	1	106	66.0	69.88	- 6.3 Cb142 Hve234	A-1
							-	PM(tr([60.0][140.0][60.0][Cb145]);	A-1
								([230.0][140.0][60.0][Cb145]);	A-1

¹ Symbols are listed in Table 2.

^a Classifications are explained in Section 3.

^b Figures in columns (8), (9) and (9) are given in millimeters.

SANKHYĀ : THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS : SERIES B

TABLE 4. CORRECTIONS IN AVERAGE VALUES

character	no. of individuals	Risley's mean (as printed)	corrected mean (adopted)	character	no. of individuals	Risley's mean (as printed)	corrected mean (adopted)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Gurung Tribe							
NI	28	78.5	79.3	NI	50	74.1	74.9
NmI	23	109.9	109.9	NmI	45	105.9	106.9
CI	28	81.6	78.0	CI	50	84.3	84.6
FzI	28	77.3	77.4	FzI	50	75.1	75.3
VcI	28	67.7	67.7	VcI	50	69.7	69.8
VbI	23	53.4	54.2	VbI	45	49.6	49.8
VfI	28	49.2	49.4	VfI	50	48.3	48.5
VzI	28	63.6	63.8	VzI	50	64.3	64.4
Nh	28	48.9	48.9	Nh	50	50.2	50.2
Nw	28	38.4	38.5	Nw	50	37.2	37.3
Bb	23	117.0	117.1	Bb	45	109.1	108.2
Nm	23	126.6	128.1	Nm	45	116.7	116.7
Cl	28	181.3	184.9	Cl	50	181.6	181.4
Cb	28	148.1	146.6	Cb	50	153.1	152.2
Fb	28	107.7	107.7	Fb	50	106.2	106.4
Bz	28	139.2	139.2	Bz	50	141.3	141.2
Hvi	28	83.7	83.8	Hvi	50	81.3	81.2
Hvt	28	133.3	133.0	Hvt	50	133.2	133.3
Hvo	28	218.7	218.6	Hvo	50	219.6	219.7
Khambu Tribe							
Mangar Tribe							
NI	32	76.6	77.0	NI	35	76.6	77.2
NmI	32	107.1	107.1	NmI	35	108.7	109.0
CI	32	81.0	81.1	CI	35	79.0	79.2
FzI	32	76.3	74.8	FzI	35	75.4	75.0
VcI	32	68.0	68.1	VcI	35	67.3	67.5
VbI	32	49.3	49.5	VbI	35	49.3	49.5
VfI	32	47.9	48.0	VfI	35	47.6	47.8
VzI	32	62.7	64.3	VzI	35	63.1	63.8
Nh	32	50.0	50.1	Nh	35	49.6	49.6
Nw	32	38.3	38.4	Nw	35	38.0	38.1
Bb	32	107.2	107.4	Bb	35	106.9	106.5
Nm	32	115.0	115.1	Nm	35	115.7	115.7
Cl	32	182.4	182.6	Cl	35	183.6	183.6
Cb	32	147.8	147.8	Cb	35	145.2	145.2
Fb	32	104.1	104.2	Fb	35	102.8	102.9
Bz	32	136.3	139.5	Bz	35	136.2	137.3
Hvi	31	80.1	80.2	Hvi	35	79.9	79.9
Hvt	32	132.7	132.8	Hvt	35	133.2	133.2
Hvo	32	217.3	217.3	Hvo	35	215.6	213.3
Lepcha Tribe							
Murmi Tribe							
NI	57	67.2	70.5	NI	65	75.2	74.8
NmI	54	108.1	108.2	NmI	58	108.9	109.0
CI	57	70.9	81.0	CI	65	79.5	80.0
FzI	57	75.2	75.4	FzI	65	75.9	76.6
VcI	57	67.6	68.6	VcI	65	68.4	67.5
VbI	54	49.0	49.1	VbI	68	51.0	50.9
VfI	57	47.6	47.8	VfI	65	51.9	48.5
VzI	57	63.3	63.5	VzI	65	66.7	63.4
Nh	57	51.6	52.0	Nh	65	49.7	50.6
Nw	57	34.7	36.5	Nw	65	37.4	37.7
Bb	54	106.4	106.5	Bb	68	111.5	111.5
Nm	54	115.1	115.2	Nm	68	121.5	121.6
Cl	57	183.5	183.6	Cl	65	188.0	184.3
Cb	57	146.7	148.5	Cb	65	149.6	147.3
Fb	57	103.5	103.6	Fb	65	113.5	104.8
Bz	57	137.5	137.6	Bz	65	145.9	138.4
Hvi	57	78.5	78.5	Hvi	65	81.0	82.0
Hvt	57	133.5	133.6	Hvt	65	141.8	136.5
Hvo	57	217.0	217.0	Hvo	65	218.6	218.6

A REVISION OF RISLEY'S ANTHROPOMETRIC DATA

TABLE 4. CORRECTIONS IN AVERAGE VALUES—*contd.*

character	no. of individuals	Risley's mean (as printed)	corrected mean (adopted)	character	no. of individuals	Risley's mean (as printed)	corrected mean (adopted)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Newar Tribe							
NI	13	73.3	73.5	NI	108	73.9	74.9
NmI	13	110.2	109.8	NmI	95	109.1	109.0
CI	13	81.5	81.7	CI	108	81.0	81.1
FzI	13	75.6	75.7	FzI	108	77.9	78.0
VcI	13	67.1	67.2	VcI	108	67.1	67.1
VbI	13	49.1	49.2	VbI	95	53.1	53.4
VfI	13	47.1	47.2	VfI	108	48.8	48.8
VzI	13	62.3	62.4	VzI	108	62.6	62.6
Nh	13	50.7	50.8	Nh	108	51.8	51.8
Nw	13	37.2	37.2	Nw	108	38.3	38.5
Bb	13	108.6	108.6	Bb	95	119.8	120.0
Nm	13	119.7	119.2	Nm	95	130.8	130.9
Cl	13	181.9	181.9	Cl	108	186.0	187.1
Cb	13	148.3	148.4	Cb	108	151.4	151.6
Fb	13	104.3	104.3	Fb	108	110.2	110.3
Bz	13	137.9	137.9	Bz	108	141.3	141.5
Hvi	13	85.7	85.8	Hvi	108	83.8	84.0
Hvt	13	135.0	135.0	Hvt	108	137.4	137.5
Hvo	13	221.0	221.1	Hvo	108	225.4	226.6
Tibetans Tribe of E. Himalayas							

N.B.— Figures for measurements are given in millimetres.

tribes (1.31) and for Chittagong Hill tribes (2.27). But for individual indices the position is somewhat different. There were 114 mistakes out of 3038 individual indices for Darjeeling Hill tribes i.e. 37.52 per thousand. For Bengal and Chittagong Hills these figures were, according to Professor Mahalanobis, 13.47 and 15.10 per thousand respectively. This increase in number of mistakes in case of Darjeeling Hills may be partly explained by the occurrence of 10 mistakes in one index, viz. NmI of Manger Tribe, caused by shifting of 10 consecutive rows of one of the component parts, viz. Nm in reading index-table and also that Professor Mahalanobis had ignored all discrepancies of 0.1 but, here, discrepancies of 0.1 caused by reasons other than rounding off, were considered. Nevertheless then, mistakes were more frequent in the data for Darjeeling Hills than in those of Bengal and Chittagong Hills. It may be seen from Table 3 that the mistakes were more or less evenly distributed over different tribes and indices.

4. RESULTS OF SCRUTINY—AVERAGE VALUES

Totals and average values were recalculated on the basis of Risley's values as printed, but incorporating any corrections given in column (8) of Table 3 also, in case of indices, the corrections by 0.1. The results are shown in Table 4.

5. CONCLUSION

Frequent occurrences of discrepancies of magnitude 0.1 or 0.2 (especially of -0.1) shows that arithmetic calculations had been stopped at the last figure retained, and was not carried through for one place more in order to obtain the correct value of the last figure retained.

Discrepancies in individual figures, not due to rounding errors, were very few and these could be corrected with more or less certainty. But discrepancies in indices (3.752%) were much more frequent compared to discrepancies in measurements (0.167%) which shows that mistakes had occurred in calculating the indices rather than in recording original data.

SANKHYĀ : THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS : SERIES B

One may conclude, therefore, that just as in the case of data for Bengal and Chittagong Hills, examined by Professor Mahalanobis, Risley's primary data for the tribes of Darjeeling Hills are singularly free from mistakes and may be used with safety after using the corrections given in the present paper. Since rounding errors of 0.1 in individual indices are not listed, one will have to recalculate the indices to use them.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I have great pleasure in acknowledging the help I have received from Dr. N. Bhattacharyya in the preparation of this paper and from Shri B. Mahalanobis in detecting and classifying the primary mistakes in Risley's data.

REFERENCES

- RISLEY, H. H. (1931) : *The Tribes and Castes of Bengal*.
MAHALANOBIS, P. C. (1933) : A revision of Risley's anthropometric data relating to the tribes and castes of Bengal. *Sankhyā*, 1(1), 76-105.
— (1934) : A revision of Risley's anthropometric data relating to the Chittagong Hill tribes. *Sankhyā*, 1(2 & 3), 267-276.

Paper received : April, 1968.

Revised : March, 1969.

CORRIGENDUM

An Application of the Confrontation Theorem on Northeast Frontier Railway : By Jagjit Singh, *Sankhyā*, Series B, 30, 291-302.

1. First para of page 293 and last three sentences commencing with the words "the last or x -th up train . . . will therefore be" should read as "the total of $(2x-1)$ up trains on the board of which x were started in time T and $(x-1)$ were already on the board before the commencement of the first train of the cycle will have the following total number of crossings."

2. *Figure 3 :*

As per article printed
No. of confrontations

$$n = \frac{x}{2}(2x-1) = 15$$

As it should be

$$N = x(2x-1)$$