ON SOME CLASSIFICATION PROBLEMS—I

By S. JOHN

Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

SUMMARY. A classification procedure is described and its performance characteristics are studied. The precedure described is one which can be adopted in situations where the only information on alternative populations is contained in samples.

1. Introduction

In problems of discrimination we have an individual, known to belong to one or other of k populations and we want to awign it to its correct population. Such problems of classification often arise in several branches of science. The technique of discriminant functions which was devised by Fisher (1936) has proved to be invaluable in tackling classification problems. But the construction of the discriminant function is possible only when we know the values of the parameters characterizing the populations to be discriminated between. This raises the question as to what is to be done when such knowledge is absent. In this paper we describe a classification procedure suited to such situations and make a detailed study of the chances of error involved in this procedure.

Let us first consider the case of two populations P_1 and P_2 . We shall, auppose P_1 and P_3 to be multivariate normal with means $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_p^{(1)})$, $\mu_1^{(2)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_p^{(1)})$ respectively and a common dispersion matrix Σ . We shall, in this paper, suppose that Σ is known. We have an individual with measurements $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$ and we want to assign him to P_1 or P_2 . To this end we draw samples of size N_1 and N_2 from P_1 and P_2 and perform tests of significance to see whether x could have come from P_1 at P_2 . Suppose the test rejects the hypothesis that x came from P_1 at a level α_1 and the hypothesis that x came from P_1 at a level α_2 . We assign x to P_1 or P_2 according as $\alpha_1 \le \alpha_2$. Let $x^{(1)}$ be the vector of sample means in the first sample and let $x^{(1)}$ be the vector of sample means in the first sample and let $x^{(1)}$ be the vector of sample means in the second sample. We may, for the tests of significance, use the criteria $(N_1/N_1+1)(x^{(1)}-x)^{(2)}-(x^{(1)}-x)^{(2)}$ and $(N_1/N_1+1)(x^{(2)}-x)^{(2)}-(x^{(2)}-x)^{(2)}$ respectively, each being distributed as a chi-square with p degrees of freedom under the respective null hypotheses. In this case our procedure amounts to assigning x to P_1 or P_2 according as

$$(N_1/N_1+1)(z^{(1)}-x)\sum^{-1}(\overline{z}^{(1)}-x)' \leq (N_2/N_2+1)(z^{(2)}-x)\sum^{-1}(\overline{z}^{(2)}-x)' \dots$$
 (1.1)

In the following sections we shall study in some detail the performance characteristics of such a procedure. A similar study of other classification procedures will be made in subsequent papers.

2. PROBABILITIES OF MISCLASSIFICATION

If x really came from P_1 what is the probability of wrongly assigning it to P_1 ? If x really came from P_2 what is the chance of wrongly assigning it to P_1 ? In other words, we require

$$Pr(z_1 > z_2 | x \in P_1)$$
 and $Pr(z_1 < z_2 | x \in P_2)$... (2.1)

where $z_1 = (N_1/N_1 +$

$$z_1 = (N_1/N_1 + 1)(\bar{z}^{(1)} - x) \Sigma^{-1}(\bar{z}^{(1)} - x)'$$
 ... (2.2)

and
$$z_1 = (N_1/N_1+1)(\bar{z}^{(1)}-x) \Sigma^{-1}(z^{(2)}-x)'$$
. ... (2.3)

We shall explain how to evaluate $Pr(z_1 > z_2 | x \in P_1)$; $Pr(z_1 < z_2 | x \in P_2)$ can be evaluated similarly.

Put
$$y^{(1)} = (N_1/N_1 + 1)^{i}(\bar{x}^{(1)} - x)A$$
 ... (2.4)

and

$$y^{(2)} = (N_2/N_2+1)!(\bar{x}^{(2)}-x)A$$
 ... (2.5)

where A is a $(p \times p)$ matrix such that $A' \Sigma A = I$.

We can now write

$$z_1 = y^{(1)}y^{(1)'}, \quad z_2 = y^{(2)}y^{(2)'}.$$
 ... (2.6)

The joint distribution of $(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})$ is multivariate normal with means $(0, \theta)$ and dispersion matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & \rho I \\ \rho I & I \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\theta = \left(\frac{N_s}{N_s + 1}\right)^{i} (\mu^{(s)} - \mu^{(1)}) A \qquad ... (2.7)$$

and

$$\rho = \frac{N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/2}}{(N_1+1)^4(N_2+1)^4}.$$
 ... (2.8)

We now make one more transformation and put

$$w^{(1)} = y^{(1)}C, \quad w^{(2)} = y^{(2)}C \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad (2.9)$$

where C is an orthogonal matrix whose first column is

$$\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\left\lfloor \frac{\hat{\Sigma}}{\delta_{i+1}}\theta_i^2\right\rfloor^4}, \frac{\theta_2}{\left\lfloor \frac{\hat{\Sigma}}{\delta_{i-1}}\theta_i^2\right\rfloor^4}, \cdots, \frac{\theta_p}{\left\lfloor \frac{\hat{\Sigma}}{\delta_{i-1}}\theta_i^2\right\rfloor^4}\right)$$

In terms of the variables w(1) and w(2),

$$z_1 = w^{(1)}w^{(1)}, \quad z_2 = w^{(2)}w^{(2)}, \quad \dots \quad (2.10)$$

ON SOME CLASSIFICATION PROBLEMS-I

The joint density function of $(w^{(1)}, w^{(2)})$ splits up into p factors and is, in fact,

$$w_1^{(1)}, w_1^{(2)}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(w_i^{(1)}, w_i^{(2)})$$
 ... (2.11)

where

$$f(a,b) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1-\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} [a^2 - 2\rho a (b-\delta) + (b-\delta)^2]} \qquad ... \quad (2.12)$$

$$g(a,b) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1-a^b)!} e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^b)}[a^2-2\rho ab+b^b]}$$
 ... (2.13)

and

$$\delta = (\sum_{i=1}^{g} \theta_i^2)^i = \{ (N_g/N_g + 1)(\mu^{(2)} - \mu^{(1)}) \Sigma^{-1}(\mu^{(2)} - \mu^{(1)})^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots (2.14)$$

 δ is thus a simple multiple of the distance between P_1 and P_2 .

Now put

$$u = [2(1-\rho)]^{-1}(w^{(2)}-w^{(1)}) \qquad ... (2.15)$$

$$v = [2(1+\rho)]^{-1}(w^{(2)}+i\sigma^{(1)}).$$
 ... (2.16)

Clearly $z_1 > z_2$ if and only if uv' < 0. Thus we get the result

$$Pr(z_1 > z_1 | x \in P_1) = Pr(uv' < 0).$$
 ... (2.17)

We now address oursolves to the task of evaluating Pr(uv' < 0). We observe that u_1, u_1, \dots, u_p ; v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p are all independently distributed normal variables. The variance of each is unity. All of them except u_1 and v_1 , have got zero expectation. But

$$E(u_1) = [2(1-\rho)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta = \eta \text{ (say)}$$
 ... (2.18)

and

$$E(v_1) = [2(1+\rho)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta = \zeta \text{ (say)}.$$
 ... (2.19)

First we shall find Pr(uv' < 0|u) and finally get Pr(uv' < 0) by finding E[Pr(uv' < 0|u)].

$$Pr(uv' < 0 | u) = \int_{-\infty}^{-\{u_1(\Sigma u_t^1)^{-1}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-t^3/2} dt$$

$$= \int_{\{u_1(\Sigma u_t^1)^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-t^3/2} dt. \qquad ... (2.20)$$

Vol. 22] SANKHYÄ: THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS [PARTS 3 & 4

We now make the substitution

$$u_1 = r \cos t$$
, $y = (u_1^2 + u_2^2 + ... + u_n^2)^2 = r \sin t$ (2.21)

Thon

$$Pr(uv' < 0 | u) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$
 ... (2.22)

We observe that Pr(uv' < 0 | u) depends on u only through t. Therefore if $\varphi(t)$ is the density function of t.

$$Pr(uv' < 0) = \int \left(\int_{t \ cont}^{\infty} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \right) \psi(t) dt.$$
 ... (2.23)

We have thus to find the distribution of t.

3. DISTRIBUTION OF \$

The joint density of u_1 and χ^2 is easily seen to be

$$2^{-(p-1)/2} \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} (\chi^2)^{(p-3)/2} e^{-\chi^2/2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u_1-v)^2} \dots$$
 (3.1)

Making the substitution

$$u_1 = r \cos t, \quad \chi = r \sin t \qquad \qquad \dots \tag{3.2}$$

we find that the joint density of r and f is

$$\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-(p-1)/2} \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}} e^{-r^2/2 + \frac{\pi}{4}r \cos t} r^{p-1} \sin^{p-2} t.$$
 (3.3)

Expanding $e^{rr \cos t}$ in powers of r and integrating out r we get the density function of t as

$$\varphi(t) = \pi^{-1} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} e^{-\eta^2/2} \sin^{-p-2} t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma[(p+s)/2]}{\Gamma(s+1)} (2\eta^2)^{s/s} \cos^s t \quad \dots \quad (3.4)$$

Substituting in (2.23) we get

$$Pr(uv' < 0) = \pi^{-1} \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} e^{-\eta^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma((p+s)/2)}{\Gamma(s+1)} (2\eta^4)^{s/s} h_s(\zeta) \dots$$
 (3.5)

whore

$$h_i(\zeta) = \int_0^\pi \sin^{p-1} t \cos^i t \left(\int_{t \cos t}^m (2\pi)^{-1} e^{-x^2/2} dx \right) dt.$$
 ... (3.0)

ON SOME CLASSIFICATION PROBLEMS-I

We shall obtain a series expansion for $h_{\ell}(\zeta)$ valid for all s.

$$h_{i}(\zeta) = \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{p-1} t \cos^{s} t \left[(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma \cot t}^{\pi} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx \right] dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{p-1} t \cos^{s} t \left[\frac{1}{2} - \int_{0}^{\cot t} (2\pi)^{-1} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx \right] dt \qquad ... (3.7)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{p-2} t \cos^{s} t dt - - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{k}} \frac{\xi^{2^{k}+1}}{k!!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k}+1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{p-2} t \cos^{2k+1+r} t dt$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+s}{2}\right)} & \text{(if s is oven)} \\ -(2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\frac{1}{2})^{k} \frac{\xi^{2^{k}+1}}{k!(2^{k}+1)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2^{k}+s+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2^{k}+p+s+1}{2}\right)} & \text{... (3.8)} \end{cases}$$

(if s is odd).

It will not be difficult for the reader to verify that the term by term integration involved is justified.

4. BOUNDS FOR THE PROBABILITY

In (3.8) we have a very simple expression for $h_i(\xi)$ if s is even and an infinite series if s is odd. We shall now determine upper and lower bounds for $h_i(\xi)$ for sodd. These will in their turn determine upper and lower bounds for Privv' < 0.

If s is odd, we have from (3.7)

$$\begin{split} h_i(\zeta) &= -\int\limits_0^\pi \sin^{p-2}t \cos^it \left(\int\limits_0^{t \cot t} (2\pi)^{-1} e^{-u^2/2} du\right) \\ &= -2\int\limits_0^1 (1-x^2)^{\frac{p-3}{2}} x^i \left(\int\limits_0^{t} (2\pi)^{-1} e^{-u^2/2} du\right) dx. \qquad \dots \quad (4.1) \end{split}$$

Vol. 22] SANKHYÄ: THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS [Parts 3 & 4

Observe that $\int_{0}^{tx} (2\pi)^{-k} e^{-u^{2}/2} du$ is a concave function of x. Hence we have

$$h_s(\xi) > -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right)}\int_{0}^{\lfloor p(q)\rfloor} (2\pi)^{-1}e^{-u^2/2}du \quad ... \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$a(s) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+2}{2}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{p+s+1}{2}\right)} \dots (4.3)$$

Further it is obvious that, for s odd

$$h_s(\zeta) \leqslant 0. \qquad \dots \tag{4.4}$$

Using (3.8) and (4.2) we obtain from (3.5) the following bounds for Pr(uv' < 0)

$$\pi^{-1} \ e^{-\eta^2/2} \ \Big[\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=0}^m \frac{\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2s+1)} \ (2\eta^2)^s - \sum_{s=0}^m \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(2s+2)} \left(\int\limits_0^{1s(2s+1)} (2\pi)^{-1} \ e^{-u^2/2} du \right) (2\eta^2)^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \Big]$$

$$< Pr(uv' < 0) < \frac{1}{2}\pi^{-1} e^{-v^2/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2s+1)} (2\eta^s)^s.$$
 ... (4.5)

These bounds can be written in a simpler form using the duplication formula for the gamma function

$$\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(2x) = 2^{\frac{4x-1}{2}}\Gamma(x). \Gamma(x+\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2} - e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{(\eta^2/2)^{i+\frac{1}{4}}}{\Gamma(i+3/2)} \left(\int\limits_{0}^{|a|(2s+1)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-u^2/2} \ du \right) \leqslant \Pr(uv' < 0) \leqslant \tfrac{1}{2}. \ \dots \ \ (4.6)$$

ON SOME CLASSIFICATION PROBLEMS-I

These bounds are easier to calculate than the exact expression for P(uv' < 0) itself.

A slightly more simple, but less sharp, lower bound for Pr(uv' < 0) is obtained if we use the fact that, for odd s

$$h_i(\zeta) \leqslant -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right),\ \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+s}{2}\right)}\int\limits_0^t\ (2\pi)^{-1}\,e^{-u^2/2}\,du$$

$$\frac{1}{2} - e^{-v^2/2} \left(\int_0^1 (2\pi)^{-1} e^{-u^2/2} \ du \right) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\eta^2/2)^{i+1}}{\Gamma(s+3/2)} \right] \le \Pr(uv^i < 0) \le \frac{1}{2} \dots (4.7)^{i+1}$$

It is possible to give yet another lower bound. (I am indebted to Dr. C. it. Rao for pointing out this). This is obtained by noting that

$$h_{2s+1}(\zeta) \le |h_{2s+1}(\zeta)| \le h_{2s}(\zeta).$$

Hence we get after some simplification,

$$\frac{1}{2} - e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\pi^2/2}{s})^{i+1}}{s!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(s+p/2)} \leqslant Pr(uv' < 0). \qquad \dots \quad (4.8)$$

If we separate even and odd terms, the exact formula for Pr(uv' < 0) itself can be expressed more simply as

$$Pr(u\sigma' < 0) = \frac{1}{2} + n^{-1} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} e^{-\eta^{2}/2} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{(2s+1)!} (2\eta^{4})^{i+1} \hat{h}_{2s+1}(\xi)$$
... (4.0)

5. CLASSIFICATION WHEN THERE ARE MORE THAN TWO POPULATIONS

Generalizing the procedure discussed above, we may compute

$$z_i = (N_i/N_i+1)(x^{(i)}-x)\Sigma^{-1}(\bar{x}^{(i)}-x)'$$
 (i = 1, 2, ..., k) ... (5.1)

and assign x to P_j if $x_j < z_i$ $(i=1,2,...,k,i\neq j)$. Here $\tilde{z}^{(i)}$ is the vector of means computed from a sample of size N_i taken from P_i and Σ is the common dispersion matrix assumed to be known. Let us consider the probability of incorrect classification involved in the above procedure. Assume that x really belongs to P_1 . We shall give an upper bound to the probability of incorrect classification. Similar upper bounds can be given for the probabilities of misclassification of individuals from P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k .

Vol. 22] SANKHYÄ: THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS [Pasts 3 & 4 Let E_i (i=2,3,...,k) be the event that $z_i < z_1$. Then the chance of misclassifying x is $P_T(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i)$.

Now,
$$P7 \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} E_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} Pr(E_i)$$
. (Usponsky, 1937). ... (5.2)

But $P(E_i)$ (i = 2, ..., k) is the probability of misclassification in the situation where there are only two populations P_1 and P_i . We have already explained how this probability can be computed.

REFERENCES

Fishka, R. A. (1930): The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. Ann. Eugen., 7, 179.
Usresaw, J. V. (1931): Introduction to Mathematical Theory of Probability, 30, McGraw Hull Book Company Inc., Now York and London.

Paper received: November, 1959.