

ON WEAK LIMITS OF SEMISTABLE LAWS

By K. RAMA MURTHY

Indian Statistical Institute

SUMMARY. Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of semistable laws on a real separable Banach space B converging weakly to a law μ on B . If μ_n has parameters r_n and α_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\liminf r_n > 0$, then we show that μ is semistable. In general, a weak limit of semistable laws need not be semistable. In fact, we show that every infinitely divisible law on B is the limit of a sequence of semistable laws.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of semistable laws on a real separable Banach space B converging weakly to a probability measure (p.m.) μ on B . From the stable case considered by Kumar (1973), one would like to ask if μ is necessarily semistable. We show that if μ_n has parameters r_n and α_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), and if $\liminf r_n > 0$, then μ is, indeed, semistable. However, if no condition is imposed on $\{r_n\}$ then μ need not be semistable. In fact, we show that the class of semistable laws on B is dense in the class of all infinitely divisible (i.d.) laws on B , for the topology of weak convergence! We first state some basic definitions and notations (c.f. Rajput and Rama Murthy (1987)).

We write $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ to indicate that the p.m.'s μ_n converge weakly to the p.m. μ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The space of all p.m.'s on the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of B has a metric under which a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ converges to μ if and only if $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$.

Let $0 < r < 1$ and μ be a p.m. on (B, \mathcal{B}) . We say that μ is r -semistable if there exist $\{x_n\} \subseteq B$, $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq (0, \infty)$, positive integers k_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and a Borel p.m. ν on B such that

$$\frac{k_n}{k_{n+1}} \rightarrow r$$

and

$$a_n \cdot \nu^{k_n} * \delta_{x_n} \rightarrow \mu.$$

(Here, $a_n \mu$ is the measure $\mu \circ T_a^{-1}$ where $T_a : B \rightarrow B$ is defined by $T_a x = ax$). Such a μ is i.d.. Further, a given i.d. law μ is r -semistable if and only if

$$\mu^{r^n} = r^{n/2} \cdot \mu * \delta_{x_n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

AMS (1980) subject classification : 60B11.

Key words and phrases : Banach space, Weak limits, Infinitely divisible laws, Levy measures, Semistable laws.

for some sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq B$. If this equation holds, we say that μ is r -semistable with index α or r -SS(x) for short. If $\alpha \neq 1$, then the characteristic function (ch.f.) $\hat{\mu}$ of μ is given by

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \exp. \left(i \langle x_0, y \rangle - \int_{\Delta_0} |\langle x, y \rangle|^\alpha k_\alpha(\langle x, y \rangle) d\Gamma(x) \right)$$

for all $y \in B^*$, the topological dual of B , where $\langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the evaluation of y at x , Γ is the restriction of the Lévy measure F of μ to

$$\Delta_0 \equiv \{x \in B : r^{1/\alpha} \langle \|x\| \rangle \leq 1\}$$

and k_α is a complex valued function on $R \setminus \{0\}$ with the following properties : $k_\alpha(-t) = \bar{k}_\alpha(t)$, $k_\alpha(e^t)$ is periodic on $(0, \infty)$ with period $-\frac{1}{\alpha} \log r$, k_α is continuous on $R \setminus \{0\}$ and there exist positive constants C_0 and C_1 with

$$C_0 \leq \text{Re. } k_\alpha(t) \leq |k_\alpha(t)| \leq C_1 \text{ for all } t \in R \setminus \{0\}.$$

(R stands for the real line and Re. for the real part of a complex number). If μ is symmetric, then a similar result holds for $\alpha = 1$.

The Lévy measure F of μ can be recovered from its restriction Γ to Δ_0 by the formula :

$$F(A) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} r^k \Gamma(r^{k/\alpha} A \cap \Delta_0).$$

Further, F satisfies the relations :

$$r^{n/\alpha} F = r^n F \quad (n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots).$$

2. THE MAIN THEOREMS

Theorem 1 : Let $0 < r_n < 1$, $0 < \alpha_n < 2$ and $\lim_n \inf r_n > 0$. Let μ_n be an r_n -SS(α_n) p.m. on (B, \mathcal{B}) for each n and let μ_n be symmetric if $\alpha_n = 1$. If $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$, then μ is semistable.

Proof : It is well known that weak limits of i.d. laws are i.d. . Hence, μ is i.d. . To show that μ is semistable we begin with the defining relation :

$$\mu_n^{r_n} = r_n^{1/\alpha_n} \cdot \mu_n \circ \delta_{x(n)} \quad \dots (1)$$

where $\{x(n)\} \subseteq B$. We split the proof into four cases.

$$\text{Case 1 : } 0 < \lim_n \inf r_n < \lim_n \sup r_n < 1$$

$$\text{and } 0 < \lim_n \inf \alpha_n < \lim_n \sup \alpha_n < 2.$$

In this case we may suppose (by going to a subsequence, if necessary) that $r_n \rightarrow r$ and $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ with $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$. We claim that

$$\mu^r = r^{1/\alpha} \cdot \mu * \delta_x \text{ for some } x \in B.$$

For this, we first note that $r_n^{1/\alpha_n} \cdot \mu_n \rightarrow r^{1/\alpha} \cdot \mu$. Indeed, let f be a bounded continuous function: $B \rightarrow R$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a compact set K in B with $\mu_n(K) > 1 - \epsilon$ and $\mu(K) > 1 - \epsilon$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). We may suppose K is absolutely convex. Now, by the uniform continuity of f on K , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ whenever $\|x - y\| < \delta$ and $x, y \in K$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int f \left(r_n^{1/\alpha_n} x \right) d\mu_n(x) - \int f(r^{1/\alpha} x) d\mu(x) \right| \\ & < \left(\sup_{x \in B} |f(x)| \right) (2\epsilon) + \left| \int_K \left\{ f \left(r_n^{1/\alpha_n} x \right) - f(r^{1/\alpha} x) \right\} d\mu_n(x) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_K f(r^{1/\alpha} x) d\mu_n(x) - \int_K f(r^{1/\alpha} x) d\mu(x) \right| \\ & < \left(\sup_{x \in B} |f(x)| \right) (2\epsilon) + \epsilon \mu_n(K) + \left| \int f(r^{1/\alpha} x) d\mu_n(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int f(r^{1/\alpha} x) d\mu(x) \right| + (\sup_{x \in B} |f(x)|) (2\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

if n is so large that $\left| r_n^{1/\alpha_n} - r^{1/\alpha} \right| < \frac{\delta}{M}$, where $M = \sup_{x \in K} \|x\|$.

Since $\mu_n(K) \leq 1$, $\left| \int f(r^{1/\alpha} x) d\mu_n(x) - \int f(r^{1/\alpha} x) d\mu(x) \right|$, and ϵ is arbitrary, we have proved that

$$r_n^{1/\alpha_n} \cdot \mu_n \rightarrow r^{1/\alpha} \cdot \mu.$$

Next, we show that $\mu_n^r \rightarrow \mu^r$. Since $\{\mu_n\}$ is tight, it follows from the relation

$$\mu_n = \mu_n^r * \mu_n^{1-r} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

that $\{\mu_n^r\}$ is shift tight. Further, the ch.f. of μ_n^r converges to the ch.f. of μ^r as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\mu_n^r \rightarrow \mu^r$. Finally, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1) we see that there exists $x \in B$ with $\mu^r = r^{1/\alpha} \cdot \mu * \delta_x$. This completes the proof of the theorem in case 1.

Case 2: $\limsup_n r_n = 1$.

In this case, we suppose that $r_n \rightarrow 1$ and $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$. Let $t > 0$ and $\{k_n\}$ be a sequence of positive integers with $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r_n^{k_n} \rightarrow t$ (e.g.; $k_n = \{(\log t)/(\log r_n)\}$). Iteration of (1) leads to

$$\mu_n^{k_n} = r_n^{k_n \alpha_n} \cdot \mu_n * \delta_{y(n)} \quad \dots (2)$$

for some sequence $\{y(n)\} \subseteq B$. As in Case 1 we obtain

$$\mu^t = t^{1/\alpha} \cdot \mu * \delta_y \quad \dots (3)$$

for some $y \in B$, if $\alpha \neq 0$. If $\alpha = 0$ then $\mu^t = \delta_y$. Thus, μ is degenerate if $\alpha = 0$ and stable (hence semistable) if $\alpha \neq 0$.

Case 3: $\liminf_n \alpha_n = 0$ and $0 < \liminf_n r_n < \limsup_n r_n < 1$.

Assuming that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ and $r_n \rightarrow r$ ($0 < r < 1$), we get $\mu^r = \delta_x$. μ is thus degenerate, and hence semistable.

Case 4: $\limsup_n \alpha_n = 2$ and $0 < \liminf_n r_n < \limsup_n r_n < 1$

We assume that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 2$ and $r_n \rightarrow r$ ($0 < r < 1$). As in the above cases we get $\mu^r = r^{1/2} \cdot \mu * \delta_x$ for some $x \in B$. We show that μ is Gaussian (and hence r -S $S(2)$ for any $r \in (0, 1)$). For this, it suffices to show that the Levy measure F of μ vanishes identically. Now, the symmetrization \bar{F} of F , defined by $\bar{F}(A) = F(A) + F(-A)$ ($A \in \mathcal{B}$), satisfies $r \bar{F} = r^{1/2} \cdot \bar{F}$ and

$$\int \{1 - \cos \langle x, y \rangle\} d\bar{F}(x) = \int_{\delta_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{-k} \{1 - \cos r^{k/2} \langle x, y \rangle\} d\bar{F}(x).$$

However, if $\langle x, y \rangle \neq 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{-k} \{1 - \cos r^{k/2} \langle x, y \rangle\} \geq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{-k}}{4} r^k \langle x, y \rangle^2 = \infty,$$

where k_0 is chosen so large that $1 - \cos r^{k/2} \langle x, y \rangle \geq \frac{r^k \langle x, y \rangle^2}{4}$ for $k \geq k_0$ and $x \in \Delta_0$. Hence

$$\int \{1 - \cos \langle x, y \rangle\} d\bar{F}(x) = 0 \text{ or } \infty$$

for all y . However, $\int \{1 - \cos \langle x, y \rangle\} d\bar{F}(x) < \infty$ for all y , since \bar{F} is a symmetric Levy measure. It follows that $\int \{1 - \cos \langle x, y \rangle\} d\bar{F}(x) = 0$ for all $y \in B^*$ and hence $\bar{F} \equiv 0$. Of course, this implies $F \equiv 0$ too.

The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

Theorem 2: Let μ be an i.d. p.m. on (B, \mathcal{B}) . Then, there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}$ in $(0, 1)$ converging to 0, a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in $(0, 2)$ converging to 2 and a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of p.m.'s on (B, \mathcal{B}) such that μ_n is r_n -SS(α_n) for each n , and $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$.

We prove a slightly stronger statement that if $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences with $0 < \alpha_n < 1$, $0 < \beta_n < 2$, $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$, $\lim_n \beta_n = 2$, $\lim_n \alpha_n^{2/\beta_n} = 0$, then there is a subsequence $\{n_j\}$ of the integers such that for some sequence $\{\mu_j\}$ of p.m.'s we have $\mu_j \rightarrow \mu$ and μ_j is α_{n_j} -SS(β_{n_j}) for each j .

Proof of Theorem 2: Since μ is i.d., we may write

$\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2 * \mu_3$, where μ_2 is a centered Gaussian p.m. and the ch. f.'s of μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_3 are given by

$$\hat{\mu}_1(y) = \exp. (i < x_0, y >)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_2(y) = \exp. (-\frac{1}{2} \int < x, y >^2 d\mu_2)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_3(y) = \exp. \left\{ \int \left\{ e^{i < x, y >} - 1 - i \int_{||x|| \leq \delta} (x) < x, y > dF(x) \right\} \right\}$$

with $\delta > 0$, $x_0 \in B$ and F the Levy measure of μ . (c.f. Araujo and Gine, 1980, p. 137).

We begin by noting that μ_1 is r -SS(α) for any $r \in (0, 1)$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Next, we show that whenever $r_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha_n \rightarrow 2$

$$\exp. \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int < x, y >^2 d\mu_2 \right)^{\alpha_n/2} \right\}$$

is the ch.f. of an r_n -SS(α_n) p.m. λ_n with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \mu_2$. Indeed, there is a p.m. ψ_n on $(0, \infty)$ whose Laplace Transform is $\exp. \{-C_n t^{\alpha_n/2}\}$, where $C_n = 2^{\alpha_n/2-1}$ (c.f. Feller, 1971, p. 424).

If we define $\Phi_n(A) = \psi_n(\alpha \in (0, \infty) : \sqrt{\alpha} \in A)$, then $\lambda_n(A) = \int_0^{\infty} \mu_2(\alpha^{-1}A) d\Phi_n(\alpha)$ satisfies our requirements. (one verifies directly, from the def. of weak convergence that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \mu_2$).

In view of the discussion in the above paragraph, and the fact the convolution of any two r -SS(α) p.m.'s is r -SS(α), it suffices to consider the case when $\mu = \mu_3$, i.e., when the ch.f. of μ is given by

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \exp. \left\{ \int \left\{ e^{i < x, y >} - 1 - i \int_{||x|| \leq \delta} (x) < x, y > dF(x) \right\} \right\}.$$

Now, restricting F to $\{x \in B : \|x\| > 1/n\}$ and using Proposition 2.1 of Araujo and Gine (1980, p. 45), we reduce the proof to the case when F vanishes identically in a neighbourhood of the origin in B . However, in this case F is a finite measure and hence there is a sequence of measures with finite supports (contained in $B \setminus \{0\}$) converging weakly to F . Once again, proposition 2.1 of Araujo and Gine, (1980) can be used to reduce the proof to the case when F itself has finite support. In this case μ is the convolution of a finite number of i.d. p.m.'s each having a degenerate Lévy measure. We may thus take F in the form $a \delta_{x_0}$ with $a > 0$ and $x_0 \in B$. However, it is clear that in this case, μ is supported by the 1-dimensional space spanned by x_0 . So, we assume that μ is an i.d. law on R with Lévy measure $a \delta_{x_0}$ ($a > 0, x_0 \in R$). Now

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \exp. a (e^{itx_0} - 1),$$

or
$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \exp. a (e^{itx_0} - 1 - itx_0)$$

according as $|x_0| > \delta$ or $|x_0| \leq \delta$. However, when $|x_0| > \delta$ we may write $\hat{\mu}(t) = \exp(iatx_0) \exp. a(e^{itx_0} - 1 - itx_0)$ and $\exp(iatx_0)$ is the ch.f. of an $r-S S(x)$ p.m. for any r and a . Thus, we may suppose

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \exp. a(e^{itx_0} - 1 - itx_0).$$

We now define

$$\hat{\mu}_n(t) = \exp. a \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r_n^{-k} \left\{ e^{itx_0 r_n^{k/\alpha_n}} - 1 - itx_0 r_n^{k/\alpha_n} \right\} \right\}$$

where $r_n \rightarrow 0$, $\alpha_n \rightarrow 2$, $0 < r_n < 1$, $0 < \alpha_n < 2$ and $r_n^{2/\alpha_n - 1} \rightarrow 0$, but $\{r_n\}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ are otherwise arbitrary. μ_n is an $r_n-S S(\alpha_n)$ p.m. on R with Lévy measure $F_n \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a r_n^{-k} \delta_{x_0 r_n^{k/\alpha_n}}$. (It is easily seen that $\int_{|x| > \delta} |x| dF_n(x) < \infty$ for n so large that $\alpha_n > 1$. Since $\exp. a \left\{ \int_{|x| \leq \delta} (itx - itxI(x)) dF_n(x) \right\}$ is the ch.f. of a degenerate law, it follows that $\hat{\mu}_n$ is indeed a ch.f.). To complete the proof of Theorem 2, it suffices to show that $\hat{\mu}_n(t) \rightarrow \hat{\mu}(t)$ for each t . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_n^{-k} \left\{ e^{itx_0 r_n^{k/\alpha_n}} - 1 - itx_0 r_n^{k/\alpha_n} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_n^{-k} \frac{1}{2} t^2 x_0^2 r_n^{2k/\alpha_n} \\ & = \frac{t^2 x_0^2}{2} \frac{r_n^{2/\alpha_n - 1}}{1 - r_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for each t . Also

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} r_n^{-k} \left\{ e^{itx_0 r_n^{k/\alpha_n}} - 1 - itx_0 r_n^{k/\alpha_n} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} r_n^{-k} \left\{ 2 + |t x_0| r_n^{k/\alpha_n} \right\} \\ & = \frac{2 r_n}{1-r_n} + |t x_0| \frac{r_n^{1-(1/\alpha_n)}}{1-r_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for each t . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp. a r_n^{-\alpha} \left(e^{itx_0 r_n^{\alpha}} - 1 - itx_0 r_n^{\alpha} \right) \\ & = \exp. a \left(e^{itx_0} - 1 - itx_0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\hat{\mu}_n(t) \rightarrow \hat{\mu}(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $t \in R$. The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Dr. S. Natarajan for helpful comments on the organization of the paper.

REFERENCES

- ARAUJO, A. and GINE, E. (1980): *The Central Limit Theorem for Real and Banach Valued Random Variables*, Wiley, New York.
- FELLER, W. (1971): *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. II, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York.
- KUMAR, A. (1973): A note on the convergence of stable and class L probability measures on Banach spaces. *Ann. Prob.*, 1, 716-718.
- Rajput, B. S. and Rama Murthy, K. (1987): Spectral representation of semistable processes and semistable laws on Branch spaces. *Jour. Mult. Anal.*, 21, 139-157.

Paper received: November, 1985.