

CHARACTERIZATION OF SELF-COMPLEMENTARY GRAPHS WITH 2-FACTORS*

S. BHASKARA RAO¹

Department of Mathematics, University Campus, Kalina, Bombay 400 029, India

Received 22 September 1975

Revised 1 April 1976

Let G be a self-complementary graph (s.c.) and π its degree sequence. Then G has a 2-factor if and only if $\pi - 2$ is graphic. This is achieved by obtaining a structure theorem regarding s.c. graphs without a 2-factor. Another interesting corollary of the structure theorem is that if G is a s.c. graph of order $p \geq 8$ with minimum degree at least $p/4$, then G has a 2-factor and the result is the best possible.

0. Introduction

Clapham [1] proved that every self-complementary graph (abbreviated s.c. graph) has a hamiltonian chain. It has been shown by Rao [10] that every s.c. graph of order $p \geq 8$ has an l -cycle for every integer l , $3 \leq l \leq p - 2$.

A k -factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph of G which is regular of degree k . Clapham's result [1] implies that every s.c. graph of even order has a 1-factor. The aim of this paper is to characterize s.c. graphs having a 2-factor.

Let G be a s.c. graph of order p and σ be a permutation of the vertices which maps G onto its complement \bar{G} . Such a permutation is referred to as a *complementing permutation* of G . (For properties of s.c. graphs and complementing permutations, see [1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 13, 14].) Let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$ be the decomposition of the permutation σ into disjoint cycles. It is known that the length of σ_i is a multiple of 4 for every i except possibly one i_0 (say) and the exceptional one has length 1 (the latter can occur only in the case $p = 4N + 1$). Let σ_i have length $p_i = 4n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $i \neq i_0$ (possibly). Let

$$\sigma_i = (a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \dots, a_{i,p_i}), \quad i \neq i_0.$$

We may assume that $(a_{i,1}, a_{i,3}) \in E(G)$ (for if not, $(a_{i,2}, a_{i,4}) \in E(G)$ and we can relabel the vertices appropriately), and this implies that $(a_{i,1}, a_{i,j+2}) \in E(G)$ for all odd j . We call the vertices $a_{i,1}, a_{i,3}, \dots, a_{i,p_i-1}$ the *odd vertices* of σ_i and denote the set by A_i ; the vertices $a_{i,2}, a_{i,4}, \dots, a_{i,p_i}$ are the *even vertices* of σ_i and we denote the set by B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $i \neq i_0$. The vertices of $A_i \cup B_i$ are the *vertices* of σ_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $i \neq i_0$.

This research was supported by Research Associateship, grant No. F-16-12/74(RF) of the University Grants Commission, New Delhi 110 001.

¹ Current address: Math.-Stat. Division, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Calcutta 700035, India.

We label the vertices such that in each cycle consecutive odd vertices are joined by an edge. Define a directed graph $D(\sigma)$ whose vertex set is the set of all cycles of σ and the cycles σ_i and σ_j ($i \neq j$) are joined by an arc (σ_i, σ_j) , if there is an edge in G from some even vertex of σ_i to some odd vertex of σ_j , if $i, j \neq i_0$; if $i = i_0$, then (σ_i, σ_j) is an arc of $D(\sigma)$ if the unique vertex of σ_i is joined to some odd vertex of σ_j ; if $j = i_0$, then (σ_i, σ_j) is an arc of $D(\sigma)$ if some even vertex of σ_i is joined to the vertex of σ_j . It is shown in [1] that $D(\sigma)$ is a complete directed graph. Further, if (σ_i, σ_j) with $i, j \neq i_0$ is an arc of $D(\sigma)$ then every even vertex of σ_i is joined to some odd vertex of σ_j ; and every odd vertex of σ_i is joined to some even vertex of σ_j ; if $i = i_0$, then σ_i is joined to every odd vertex of σ_j and to no even vertex of σ_j ; if $j = i_0$, then σ_j is joined to every even vertex of σ_i and to no odd vertex of σ_i .

We make use of the following lemma repeatedly in our discussion.

Lemma 0.1. (Clapham [1]; compare Rao [10]). *Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be a path in $D(\sigma)$ where all $i_j \neq i_0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, then G has a chain containing the vertices of all σ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and no vertex outside, in which two consecutive odd vertices of σ_i appear consecutively and whose end vertices are consecutive even vertices of σ_n .*

The condensation D^* of a directed graph D has for its vertices the strong components of D , and two vertices α, β of D^* are joined by an arc $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ if for some $a \in V(\alpha)$ and $b \in V(\beta)$, (a, b) is an arc of D .

As always, $K = K_n$ denotes the complete graph of order n , $K^c = K_n^c$ denotes the empty graph; i.e. the graph with no edges. Similarly, $K = K_{m,n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with two independent sets having m and n vertices, respectively. $K^c = K_{m,n}^c$ denotes the empty graph on $n+m$ vertices.

If X and Y are sets of vertices of G , $G[X, Y]$ denotes the subgraph of G , generated by X, Y , i.e. the graph with $X \cup Y$ as its vertices, which includes exactly those edges of G , having one end vertex in X and the other in Y . We write $G[X, X]$ for $G[X, X]$.

1. The structure of s.c. graphs without 2-factors: the case $p = 4N$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a s.c. graph of order $p = 4N$ (> 4) and σ , a complementing permutation of G . Suppose the digraph $D(\sigma)$ is strongly connected. Then G has a 2-factor.*

Proof. First suppose $n_i > 1$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $G[A_i, B_i]$ is a regular graph of regularity n_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $G[A_i, B_i]$ has r -factor, for every r , $1 \leq r \leq n_i$ (see Harary [4, p. 85]), in particular, since $n_i > 1$, it has a 2-factor, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore, G has a 2-factor. Thus we may take that some cycle of σ is of length 4. Since $D(\sigma)$ is a strongly connected complete digraph, by Camion's theorem [4, p. 207], it has a hamiltonian circuit, $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ (say), where $n_i = 1$. Now let μ_i be a hamiltonian chain of G given by Lemma 0.1 in which the vertices

$a_{1,1}, a_{1,3}$ appear consecutively and whose end vertices are $a_{k,j}, a_{k,j+2}$ with j even, where as always the suffixes are to be taken modulo the length of the cycle of σ in which they appear. Since (σ_s, σ_i) is an arc of $D(\sigma)$, there exists an odd i such that $e_1 = (a_{k,i}, a_{1,i}) \in E(G)$. Then $e_2 = (a_{k,j+2}, a_{1,j+2}) \in E(G)$. Note that $i = 1$ or 3 and $n_1 = 1$. Now

$$\mu = \mu_1 - (a_{1,1}, a_{1,3}) + e_1 + e_2$$

is a 2-factor of G . This completes the proof.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a s.c. graph of order $p = 4N (> 4)$. Then G does not have a 2-factor if and only if $V(G)$ can be partitioned into two sets V_1, V_2 of order $4N_1, 4N_2$ (say) respectively where $N_1 + N_2 = N$ such that the following conditions hold.*

- (0) $H_i = G[V_i]$ is a s.c. graph, $i = 1, 2$.
- (1) Let L be the set of all vertices of H_2 whose degree in H_2 is at least $2N_2$; and $R = V_2 - L$. Then $G[L] = K$ and $G[R] = K^c$.
- (2) $G[V_1, L] = K$ and $G[V_1, R] = K^c$.
- (3) If $N_2 > 1$, then H_1 does not have a 2-factor.

Proof. First we prove the sufficiency. Since H_2 is a s.c. graph of order $4N_2$, we have $|L| = |R| = 2N_2$. Now if $N_2 = 1$, then by (2), G has a vertex of degree 1 and therefore G does not have a 2-factor. Thus we may take that $N_2 > 1$. If now G has a 2-factor, then by (1) and (2), note that, because of $|L| = |R|$, a 2-factor of G cannot contain any edge connecting H_1 with H_2 , it follows that H_1 also has a 2-factor, contradicting (3).

To prove the necessity, let G be a s.c. graph of order $p = 4N (> 4)$ without a 2-factor, and σ , a complementing permutation of G . By Lemma 1.1, $D(\sigma)$ is not strongly connected. Since $D(\sigma)$ is a complete digraph, the condensation of $D(\sigma)$ is a nontrivial transitive tournament (Harary et al. [5, p. 298]). Let C_1, \dots, C_s be the strong components of $D(\sigma)$ arranged in such an order that every even vertex of all $\sigma_s \in V(C_s)$ is adjacent in G to all odd vertices of every σ_i in $V(C_i)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq s$, where $s \geq 2$. Define V_1 to be the set of all vertices of the cycles of σ in $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^s V(C_i) = W_1$ (say); and V_2 to be the set of all vertices of the cycles of σ in $V(C_s) = W_2$ (say). We show that V_1, V_2 satisfy the conditions (0) through (3) of the statement of the theorem. Clearly $G[V_i] = H_i$ is a s.c. graph of order $4N_i$ (say), $i = 1, 2$; with $N_1 + N_2 = N$. We first prove three assertions (a), (b) and (c) below and then complete the proof.

(a) $(a_{u,i}, a_{v,j}) \notin E(G)$, whenever $\sigma_u \in W_1, \sigma_v \in W_2$ and i, j even.

Suppose $e_1 = (a_{u,i}, a_{v,j}) \in E(G)$, with u, v, i, j as above. Then $e_2 = (a_{u,i+2}, a_{v,j+2}) \in E(G)$. Let $\sigma_w \in V(C_w)$ where $1 \leq l_0 \leq s-1$. Note that there is a $\sigma_w - \sigma_s$ path in $D(\sigma)$, containing all the vertices of $\bigsqcup_{l=1}^{l_0} V(C_l)$ and none of $\bigsqcup_{l=l_0+1}^s V(C_l)$, where $\sigma_w \in V(C_1)$. Now obtain, by Lemma 0.1, a chain μ_1 in G by combining the cycles in this $\sigma_w - \sigma_s$ path, for which $a_{u,i}, a_{v,i+2}$ are end vertices.

Similarly, obtain a chain μ_2 in G by combining the cycles of σ in $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n_0} V(C_i)$ in which two consecutive odd vertices of a cycle of σ in $V(C_{i_0+1})$ appear consecutively and whose end vertices are the consecutive even vertices a_{n_0}, a_{n_0+2} . Now a hamiltonian cycle μ in G may be obtained by defining

$$\mu = \mu_1 + e_1 + e_2 + \mu_2,$$

and this is a contradiction.

(b) $(a_{u_i}, a_{w_j}) \notin E(G)$, whenever $\sigma_v, \sigma_w \in W_2$, $v \neq w$ and i, j even.

Suppose $e_1 = (a_{u_i}, a_{w_j}) \in E(G)$, where v, w, i, j are as above. Then $e_2 = (a_{n_1-2}, a_{w_j-2}) \in E(G)$. Let ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r be a hamiltonian circuit (the case $r = 2$ is also included) in C_i with $\rho_1 = \sigma_v$ and $\rho_l = \sigma_w$, $2 \leq l \leq r$. Let μ_1 be a hamiltonian chain in ρ_1 in which two consecutive odd vertices of ρ_1 , say $a_{u_{2\alpha}}, a_{u_{2\alpha+2}}$ (α odd) appear consecutively and whose end vertices are $a_{u_{2\alpha}}, a_{u_{2\alpha-2}}$. Obtain a chain μ_2 , by combining the cycles ρ_2, \dots, ρ_r , in which two consecutive odd vertices of $\rho_2, b_{2,2\beta}, b_{2,2\beta-2}$ (say) appear consecutively and whose end vertices are $a_{w_{2\beta}}, a_{w_{2\beta-2}}$. Let μ_3 be a hamiltonian chain in H , whose end vertices are consecutive even vertices of some cycle σ_u (say) of σ in $V(C_{i-1})$, $a_{u_{2\theta}}, a_{u_{2\theta+2}}$ (say). We now consider two cases.

Case (i) $l = r$. Then

$$\mu^* = \mu_3 + (a_{u_{2\theta}}, b_{2,2\beta}) + (a_{u_{2\theta+2}}, b_{2,2\beta+2}) + \mu_2 - (b_{2,2\beta}, b_{2,2\beta+2}) + e_1 + e_2 + \mu_1,$$

is a hamiltonian cycle in G . Thus we may take

Case (ii) $r \geq l + 1$. Since (ρ_r, ρ_l) is an arc of $D(\sigma)$ and α is odd, $(a_{b_{r,t}}, b_{r,t}) \in E(G)$ for some even t . Now let μ_4 be a chain obtained by combining the cycles $\rho_{l+1}, \dots, \rho_r$ of σ in which two consecutive odd vertices of ρ_{l+1} appear consecutively and whose end vertices are the consecutive even vertices $b_{r,t}, b_{r,t-2}$ of ρ_r . Then μ_4 and μ^* of case (i) may be combined by defining

$$\mu = \mu^* + \mu_4 + (a_{u_{2\theta}}, b_{r,t}) + (a_{u_{2\theta+2}}, b_{r,t+2}) - (a_{b_{r,t}}, a_{u_{2\theta+2}}).$$

Now μ is a hamiltonian cycle of G , a contradiction.

(c) $(a_{u_i}, a_{w_j}) \notin E(G)$, where $\sigma_v \in W_2$ and i, j even.

This is clearly true if $n_v = 1$. So we may take that $n_v > 1$. Now it is enough to show that $(a_{2j}, a_{w_j}) \notin E(G)$, whenever j is even, $4 \leq j \leq 4n_v$. First let $j \neq 2n_v + 2$. Then $G[B_v]$ has a 2-factor μ_0 (say). Let μ_1 be the cycle $(a_{u_1}, a_{u_3}, \dots, a_{u_{4n_v-1}})$. Let (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r) be a hamiltonian circuit in C_i with $\rho_1 = \sigma_v$. Obtain a hamiltonian chain μ_2 in H , whose end vertices are consecutive even vertices of a cycle $\sigma_u \in V(C_{i-1})$. Now if $r = 1$, then μ_2, μ_1, μ_0 can be combined to yield a 2-factor of G . Thus we may take that $r > 1$. Since (ρ_r, σ_v) is an arc of $D(\sigma)$, $(a_{b_{r,t}}, b_{r,t}) \in E(G)$ for some even t . Now let μ_3 be a chain obtained by combining the cycles ρ_2, \dots, ρ_r , in which two consecutive odd vertices of ρ_2 appear consecutively and whose end vertices are $b_{r,t}, b_{r,t-2}$. Then μ_3, μ_2, μ_1 and μ_0 can be combined suitably to get a 2-factor of G .

Thus we may take $j = 2n_v + 2$. Then

$$F = \{(a_{2i}, a_{2i-1}), (a_{2i+2}, a_{2i+1}), i \text{ odd}, 1 \leq i \leq 4n_r\} \\ + \{(a_{2i}, a_{2i-2}), i \text{ even}, 1 \leq i \leq 4n_r\}.$$

is a 2-factor of $G[A_r \cup B_r]$. This F and the chains μ_2, μ_3 described above may be combined to yield a 2-factor of G itself, a contradiction.

Now we are ready to prove the necessity of conditions (1) through (3). Let A^*, B^* be the sets of the odd vertices or even vertices of the cycles of σ in $V(C_r)$, respectively. Since H_1, H_2 are s.c. graphs and $\sigma(A^*) = B^*, \sigma(B^*) = A^*$, and C_r being the bottom most strong component of the complete digraph $D(\sigma)$, it follows, by assertion (a), that $G[V_1, A^*] = K$ and $G[V_1, B^*] = K'$. By assertions (b) and (c), $G[B^*] = K'$, hence $G[A^*] = K$. Now it is clear that L equals A^* and R equals B^* . Thus by what has been proved above it follows that conditions (0), (1) and (2) are satisfied. If $N_2 > 1$ and H_1 has a 2-factor, then since C_r is a strong component, it follows, by Lemma 1.1, that the s.c. graph H_2 has a 2-factor. This in turn implies that G also has a 2-factor, contradicting the hypothesis. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following remark and Lemma will be used in Section 2.

Remark 1.3. The 2-factors obtained in the proofs of assertions (b) and (c) have two consecutive odd vertices of a cycle of σ in $V(C_r)$ appearing consecutively in them.

Lemma 1.4. Let G be a s.c. graph of order $4N$, and σ a complementing permutation of G . Suppose $D(\sigma)$ is strongly connected. Then G has a 2-factor in which two consecutive odd vertices of a cycle of σ appear consecutively, if and only if $G[A] \neq K$, where A is the set of all odd vertices of σ .

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of assertions (b) and (c) of Theorem 1.2.

2. The structure of s.c. graphs without 2-factors: the case $p = 4N + 1$

Lemma 2.1. Let G be a s.c. graph of order $4N + 1$, and σ a complementing permutation of G . Suppose the unique fixed point σ_0 of σ belongs to the bottom strong component C_r (say) of $D(\sigma)$ (the case $D(\sigma)$ is strong is not excluded). Then G has a hamiltonian cycle.

Proof. Let ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r with $\rho_r = \sigma_0$ be a hamiltonian circuit in C_r ($r = 1$ is possible). Note that $\sigma - \sigma_0$ is a complementing permutation of the s.c. graph $G - \sigma_0$ which is of even order. By Lemma 0.1, there exists a hamiltonian chain μ_1 (say) in $G - \sigma_0$ whose end vertices are consecutive even vertices of ρ_{r-1} if $r \geq 2$, or consecutive even vertices of a cycle of σ in $V(C_{r-1})$ if $r = 1$. Since σ_0 is joined to all even vertices of ρ_{r-1} if $r \geq 2$, and also to all even vertices of every cycle of σ in $V(C_{r-1})$, the vertex σ_0

can be incorporated at the ends of the hamiltonian chain μ , to get a hamiltonian cycle in G . This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a s.c. graph of order $4N + 1$. Then G does not have a 2-factor if and only if G can be partitioned into two sets V_1, V_2 of order $4N_1 + 1, 4N_2$ respectively where $N_1 + N_2 = N$ and $N_1 \geq 0, N_2 \geq 1$, such that the conditions (0) through (3) of the statement of Theorem 1.2 hold.*

Proof. The proof of the sufficiency is exactly similar to the proof of the sufficiency of Theorem 1.2.

To prove the necessity, let G be a s.c. graph of order $4N + 1$ without a 2-factor and σ , a complementing permutation of G and σ_0 the unique fixed point of σ . By Lemma 2.1, $\sigma_0 \notin V(C)$, the bottom strong component of $D(\sigma)$. Now define H_1, H_2 as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the vertex $\sigma_0 \in V(H_1) = V_1$, it follows that H_1 is a s.c. graph of odd order, $4N_1 + 1$ say. Let H_2 be of order $4N_2$, then $N_1 + N_2 = N$. If $N_1 = 0$, then we assert that $G[A] = K$ where A is the set of all odd vertices of $\sigma - \sigma_0$ which is a complementing permutation of $G - \sigma_0$. Suppose $G[A] \neq K$. Note that $D(\sigma - \sigma_0)$ is strongly connected. Hence by Lemma 1.4, $G - \sigma_0$ has a 2-factor F in which two consecutive odd vertices of some cycle σ ($\neq \sigma_0$) of σ appear consecutively. Then σ_0 may be incorporated in between these two odd vertices of F to get a 2-factor of G , contradicting the hypothesis. Thus $G[A] = K$. Since $\sigma(A) = B$, we have $G[B] = K'$. Further, since $G\{\sigma_0, A\} = K$, we have $G\{\sigma_0, B\} = K'$. Thus G satisfies the properties (0) through (3) with $V_1 = \{\sigma_0\}$, $L = A, R = B$ and $V_2 = A \sqcup B$. Therefore, henceforth we may take that $N_1 \geq 1$.

We now prove the three assertions (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 1.2. Suppose (a) does not hold with $\sigma_0 \in V(C_m)$ and $\sigma_l \in V(C_l)$. Let $\sigma_0 \in V(C_i)$, $1 \leq i, m \leq s - 1$. We consider three subcases according as $l < m$, $l = m$, or $l > m$.

Case (i) $l < m$. Then in $D(\sigma - \sigma_0)$, C_{m+1} is the immediate successor of C_m and C_l is the bottom strong component. Then, as in the proof of assertion (a) of Theorem 1.2, we obtain a 2-factor F_0 of $G - \sigma_0$ in which two consecutive odd vertices of some cycle of σ in $V(C_{m+1})$ appear consecutively. Now σ_0 may be incorporated in between these odd vertices of F_0 to get a 2-factor of G , a contradiction.

Case (ii) $l = m$. Let ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r be a hamiltonian circuit in C_m with $\rho_1 = \sigma_0$ and $\rho_i = \sigma_0$, $2 \leq i \leq r$. If $l = 2$, then as in case (i) we get a 2-factor of G . Thus we may take that $2 < l \leq r$. Now $G_l = G - \bigsqcup_{i=2}^l \rho_i$ is a s.c. graph with $\sigma - \bigsqcup_{i=2}^l \rho_i$ as a complementing permutation. As in the proof of (a) of Theorem 1.2 it can be shown that G_l has a 2-factor F_l (say). Now ρ_2, \dots, ρ_r can be combined to get a cycle F_2 (note $\rho_r = \sigma_0$). Then $F_l + F_2$ is a 2-factor of G , a contradiction.

Case (iii) $l > m$. Now $C_1, \dots, C_{m-1}, C_{m+1}, \dots, C_l$ may be combined to get a F_1 in G (note $\sigma_0 \in C_l$). Also C_m, C_{l+1}, \dots, C_s may be combined, as in the proof of (a) of Theorem 1.2, to get a 2-factor F_2 of the corresponding graph. But then $F_1 + F_2$ is a 2-factor of G , a contradiction.

In case assertions (b) or (c) of Theorem 1.2 are not valid, we get, by Remark 1.3, a 2-factor F_n of $G - \sigma_n$ in which two consecutive odd vertices of a cycle of σ in the bottom most strong component of $D(\sigma - \sigma_n)$ (which is C_i) appear consecutively. Then σ_n may be incorporated in between these odd vertices of F_n to get a 2-factor of G , contradicting the hypothesis that G does not have a 2-factor. Thus the assertions of (a), (b) and (c) in Theorem 1.2 are valid in the case $p = 4N + 1$ also. Now as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, it can be shown that H_1, H_2 satisfy the conditions (0) through (3) of Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3. Characterization of s.c. graphs with 2-factors

In this section we prove the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a s.c. graph of order p , and $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_p)$ be its degree sequence. Then G has a 2-factor if and only if $\pi - 2 = (d_1 - 2, \dots, d_p - 2)$ is graphic.*

We use the following three theorems

Theorem 3.2. (Kundu [8], Kleitman, Wang [6]). *Let π and $\pi - k$ be both graphic. Then there is a realization of the former which has one of the latter as a subgraph.*

Theorem 3.3. (Koren [7], Compare Rao, Rao [9, p. 187-188]). *Let $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_p)$ be a graphic nonincreasing sequence. Let $\delta(j, \pi) = j(j-1) + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \min(d_r, j) - \sum_{i=1}^j d_i$. Suppose $\delta(j, \pi) = 0$ for some j , $1 \leq j < p$. If $d_{i+1} > j$, let $r = r(j)$ be an index such that $d_r \geq j \geq d_{r+1}$. If $d_{i+1} \leq j$, let $r = j$. For any realization $H = H(u_1, \dots, u_p)$ of π with degree of $u_i = d_i$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, define*

$$S = \{u_1, \dots, u_j\}, \quad T = \{u_{r+1}, \dots, u_p\}, \quad U = \{u_{j+1}, \dots, u_r\}.$$

Then

- (1) $H[S] = K_j$,
- (2) $H[T] = K^c$. If $U \neq \emptyset$,

then

- (3) $H[S, U] = K_j$,

and

- (4) $H[T, U] = K^c$.

Theorem 3.4. (Koren [7]). *Suppose $H(u_1, \dots, u_p)$ realizes π , $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_j\}$, $p > j \geq 1$, $T = \{u_{r+1}, \dots, u_p\}$, ($r \geq j$), $U = \{u_{j+1}, \dots, u_r\}$ and conditions (1), (2) hold for S and T , and if $U \neq \emptyset$, then conditions (3), (4) hold as well. Then $\delta(j, \pi) = 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof is by induction on p . For $p = 4$, the result is vacuously true. Assume the result for all values less than p and let G be a s.c. graph with degree sequence $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_p)$ such that $\pi - 2$ is also graphic. Suppose G does not have a 2-factor. Then by Theorems 1.2 and 2.2, $V(G)$ can be partitioned

into two sets V_1, V_2 of order $4N + \delta, 4N_2$ (where $\delta = 0$ or 1 according as p is $4N$ or $4N + 1$ respectively) such that the conditions (0) through (3) of Theorem 1.2 hold. Put

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{u_1, \dots, u_{2N_2}\}, \\ U &= \{u_{2N_2+1}, \dots, u_{4N+\delta}\}, \\ T &= \{u_p, \dots, u_p\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = 2N_2 + 4N_1 + 1 + \delta$.

Now it is not difficult to check that for $u \in S, v \in U$ and $w \in T$, we have $\text{degree } u > \text{degree } v > \text{degree } w$ where the degree is to be taken in the graph G . Further, G satisfies conditions (1) through (4) of Theorem 3.3. Hence, by Theorem 3.4, $\delta(2N_2, \pi) = 0$. Now by Theorem 3.2, π has a realization G^* (say) such that G^* has a 2-factor. Since $\delta(2N_2, \pi) = 0$, it follows by Theorem 3.3, that G^* satisfies the conditions (1) through (4) of Theorem 3.3 (with H replaced by G^*). Since G^* has a 2-factor, it is evident that the graphs $G^*[U], G^*[S \sqcup T]$ have 2-factors. By the structure of G and G^* it is also evident that degree sequence of $G^*[U] = \text{degree sequence of } G[U] = \text{degree sequence of } H_1 = \pi_1$ (say); and also degree sequence of $G^*[S \sqcup T] = \text{degree sequence of } G[S \sqcup T] = \text{degree sequence of } H_2 = \pi_2$ (say).

Since $G^*[U], G^*[S \sqcup T]$ have 2-factors it follows that $\pi_i - 2$ is graphic, $i = 1, 2$.

Thus H_i is a s.c. graph with degree sequence π_i such that $\pi_i - 2$ is graphic, $i = 1, 2$. Hence by induction hypothesis, H_i has a 2-factor F_i (say), $i = 1, 2$. But then $F_1 + F_2$ is a 2-factor of G , a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a s.c. graph of order $p \geq 8$ such that minimum degree of $G \geq p/4$, then G has a 2-factor.*

Proof. Suppose G does not have a 2-factor. Then let V_1, L, R be as in Theorems 1.2 and 2.2. It is clear that $q(H_2[L, R]) = 2N_2^2$ (where $q = \text{number of edges}$). It follows that for some vertex w of R , $q(H_2[L, \{w\}]) \leq N_2$. Since $G[R]$ is the empty graph, we have $q(G[L, \{w\}]) \leq N_2$. Thus minimum degree in $G \leq N_2$. Since $p = 4N_1 + 4N_2 + \delta$, it can be easily seen that $N_2 < p/4$, a contradiction to the hypothesis.

To show that the result is the best possible, we consider two cases:

Case (i) $p = 4N$. A required graph G whose vertex set is $V = \{u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ may be constructed as follows: Define $V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$, $V_2 = V - V_1$,

$G[V_1]$ is the s.c. graph of order 4,

$L = \{u_5, \dots, u_{2N-2}\}$, $R = V_2 - L$;

$G[L] = K$, $G[R] = K'$, $G[V_1, L] = K$,

$G[V_1, R] = K'$ and

$G[L, R]$ is the disconnected graph having exactly two components each of which is regular of degree $N - 1$. Clearly, G is a s.c. graph of order $4N$ in which minimum degree is $N - 1$. Further, G does not have a 2-factor.

Case (ii) $p = 4N + 1$. A required graph G whose vertex set is $V = \{u_0, \dots, u_{4N}\}$ may be constructed as follows:

$$V_1 = \{u_0\}, V_2 = V - V_1;$$

$$L = \{u_1, \dots, u_{2N}\}, R = V_2 - L;$$

$$G[L] = K, G[R] = K', G[V_1, L] = K, G[V_1, R] = K', \text{ and}$$

$G[L, R]$ is the disconnected graph having exactly two components each of which is regular of degree N . G is a s.c. graph in which minimum degree is N and G does not have a 2-factor.

4. Epilog

The problem of characterizing s.c. graphs with k -factors seems to be much deeper. In this connection we take the risk of conjecturing the following:

Conjecture. *Let G be a s.c. graph of order p , π its degree sequence. Then G has a k -factor if and only if $\pi - k$ is graphic.*

In a forthcoming paper Rao [12] we characterize, by using the techniques developed in the present paper, hamiltonian s.c. graphs. For a characterization of the degree sequences of self-complementary graphs, see Clapham and Kleitman [2].

References

- [1] C.R.J. Clapham, Hamiltonian arcs in self-complementary graphs, *Discrete Math.* 8 (1974) 251–255.
- [2] C.R.J. Clapham, D.J. Kleitman, The degree sequences of self-complementary graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* 20 (1976) 67–74.
- [3] R.A. Gibbs, Self-complementary graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* 16 (1974) 106–123.
- [4] F. Harary, *Graph Theory* (Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972).
- [5] F. Harary, R.Z. Norman, D. Cartwright, *Structural Models: An Introduction to the Theory of Directed Graphs* (Wiley, NY 1965).
- [6] D.J. Kleitman, D.L. Wang, Algorithms for constructing graphs and digraphs with given valencies and factors, *Discrete Math.* 6 (1973) 79–88.
- [7] M. Koren, Sequences with a unique realization by simple graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* in print.
- [8] S. Kundu, The k -factor conjecture is true, *Discrete Math.* 6 (1973) 367–376.
- [9] A.R. Rao, S.B. Rao, On factorable degree sequences, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* 13 (1972) 185–191.
- [10] S.B. Rao, Cycles in self-complementary graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B*, in print.
- [11] S.B. Rao, Characterization of forcibly self-complementary degree sequences, *Discrete Math.* submitted for publication.
- [12] S.B. Rao, Solution of the hamiltonian problem for self-complementary graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B*, in print.
- [13] G. Ringel, Selbstkomplementäre Graphen, *Arch. Math.* 14 (1963) 354–358.
- [14] H. Sachs, Über selbstkomplementäre Graphen, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 9 (1962) 270–288.
- [15] W.T. Tutte, A short proof of the factor theorem for finite graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* 6 (1954) 347–352.
- [16] W.T. Tutte, Spanning subgraphs with specified valencies, *Discrete Math.* 9 (1974) 97–108.